

## Annual Plan Feedback Analysis

Between 03 April to 03 May we have received 291 submissions on the draft Annual Plan.

### Q1. Which infrastructure investments are most important to you, and why?

Infrastructure Important	Number of submissions that mention
Roading/Transport	56
Water Supply	43
Wastewater	39
Stormwater	21
Sports user fees	16
Waste and recycling	13
Community services/ well-being	13
Cycleways	12
Indoor sports facilities	11
Parks and Reserves	9
Do nothing at the moment	7
Development contributions	7
Te Tomokanga	6
Existing infrastructure	5
Land development and housing	5
City centre	3
City Planning	3
Environment quality	3
Staff of TCC	3
Public Transport	3
Intensification	2
Resilience	2
Parking	1
Library	1
Civic building	1
Museum	1
EV charging stations	1
Local Business	1

### Q2. What is your view on the proposed rates increase for 2020/21?

From the 291 submissions received, 143 provided comment regarding their view on Council's proposed rates increase for 2020/21. These submissions have been categorised as presenting the following positions:

	Against	Support	Want higher	Unclear	Left blank
Total	98	24	2	19	148

#### Q2.1 What is your view on the 3.9% increase to overall general rates relating to business as usual activities?

From the 291 submissions received, 103 provided comment regarding their view on Council's proposed rates increase for business as usual activities. These submissions have been categorised as presenting the following positions:

	<b>Against</b>	<b>Support</b>	<b>Want higher</b>	<b>Unclear</b>	<b>Left blank</b>
Total	75	13	2	13	188

**Q2.2 What is your view on the 2.1% increase to overall general rates relating to water and wastewater infrastructure?**

From the 291 submissions received, 98 provided comment regarding their view on Council's proposed rates increase for wastewater infrastructure. These submissions have been categorised as presenting the following positions:

	<b>Against</b>	<b>Support</b>	<b>Want higher</b>	<b>Unclear</b>	<b>Left blank</b>
Total	55	27	2	14	193

**Q2.3 What is your view on the 1.5% increase to overall general rates relating to growth and transport planning?**

From the 291 submissions received, 93 provided comment regarding their view on Council's proposed rates increase for growth and transport planning. These submissions have been categorised as presenting the following positions:

	<b>Against</b>	<b>Support</b>	<b>Want higher</b>	<b>Unclear</b>	<b>Left blank</b>
Total	56	20	2	15	198

**Q2.4 If you consider the rates increases are too high, what projects (from the capital expenditure list in the supporting documents) or services do you recommend reducing to lessen the rates increase?**

<b>Projects or services</b>	<b>Number of submissions that mention</b>
Kerbside waste collection	12
Non-essential projects	12
New Mount information centre	11
Adams Centre	10
Elizabeth Street	7
Cycle paths	7
Wharf Street	7
City centre upgrade	6
All growth projects	6
Forrester Drive Walkway	5
Harington Street	5
Museum	4
Streetlight Renewal and LED upgrade	4

<b>Projects or services</b>	<b>Number of submissions that mention</b>
Tsunami Evacuation projects	4
Digital Services	3
Pay cut for staff	3
Streetscape	3
Bay Venues	3
Cut all contractors	3
Tourism related spending	3
Welcome Bay Supermarket	2
Memorial Park upgrade	2
Accessible Streets	2
Projects not started	2
Papamoa East Interchange	2
Walkways	2
UOW Marine Park	2
Cameron Rd Corridor	2
Redoing city streets and parks	2
Civic complex renewals	1
Local roads upgrades and Improvements	1
Historic village renewals	1
Western Corridor multi modal	1
K Valley Development	1
Marine precinct offloading wharf	1
Develop McLarens Falls	1
Gordon Carmichael reserve – development plan	1
Motoupuhi (Rat island) development	1
Pyes Pa walkways	1
Infrastructure Historic Village	1
TECT park	1
Community development projects	1
Pyes Pa West Growth Area	1
Western Ring Rd 3000 new houses	1
Wairakei Stream Planting	1
Trunk Wastewater	1
Staff training budget	1
Sell land and elderly housing	1

<b>Projects or services</b>	<b>Number of submissions that mention</b>
Library	1
Art Gallery	1
Kulim Park	1
Ecological restoration	1
Domain TV tower	1
TCC office space	1

**Q3. What is your view on reducing the Uniform Annual General Charge to 10%? This reduces the total rates bill for lower-value properties, but will increase the cost for higher-value properties?**

From the 291 submissions received, 104 provided comment regarding their view on Council proposed plan to reduce the UAGC to 10%. These submissions have been categorised as presenting the following positions:

	<b>Against</b>	<b>Support</b>	<b>Want lower</b>	<b>Unclear</b>	<b>Left blank</b>
Total	54	38	0	12	187

**Q4. What is your view on the commercial differential increasing to 1:1.2?**

From the 291 submissions received, 85 provided comment regarding their view on Council proposed plan to increase the commercial differential to 1:1.2. These submissions have been categorised as presenting the following positions:

	<b>Against</b>	<b>Support</b>	<b>Want higher</b>	<b>Unclear</b>	<b>Left blank</b>
Total	40	28	11	6	206

**Q5. Is there anything else you would like to tell us about this annual plan (including Development Contributions Policy, Revenue and Finance Policy and User Fees and Charges)?**

Council's response to the feedback presented by submitters is presented in two deliberations reports titled:

Annual Plan 2020/21 Deliberations – Issues and Options

Annual Plan 2020/21 Deliberations – Other topics.