



MINUTES

**Ordinary Council meeting
Monday, 23 May 2022**

Order of Business

1	Opening karakia	3
2	Apologies	3
3	Public forum	3
3.1	Mr Matt Nicholson - Links Ave.....	3
4	Acceptance of late items	4
4.1	Acceptance of late item - Baypark, Blake Park, Tauranga Domain - Masterplans and Indicative Actions	4
5	Confidential business to be transferred into the open	4
6	Change to the order of business	5
7	Confirmation of minutes	5
7.1	Minutes of the Council meeting held on 2 May 2022	5
8	Declaration of conflicts of interest	5
9	Deputations, presentations, petitions	5
9.1	Kingswood Road petition Carolyn Lee and Peter Ross	5
10	Recommendations from other committees	6
	Nil	
11	Business	6
11.1	Community Stadium - feasibility study and next steps	6
11.8	Baypark, Blake Park, Tauranga Domain - Masterplans and Indicative Actions	8
11.2	Three Waters Reform.....	9
11.3	Executive Report.....	11
11.4	Growth & Land Use Projects Progress Report - May 2022.....	12
11.5	Transport Strategy and Planning Progress Report - May 2022.....	13
11.6	Traffic & Parking Bylaw (2012) Amendment 37	14
11.7	Long-term Plan 2021 - Actions Report	15
12	Discussion of late items	15
13	Public excluded session	15
13.1	Public Excluded Minutes of the Council meeting held on 2 May 2022	15
13.2	Exemption to Open Competition - Demolition of Vessels, Chatham Explorer and Loyal	16
13.3	Exemption from Open Competition for the Relocation of the Central City Bus Interchange	16
13.4	Interim Litigation Report	16
14	Closing karakia	16

**MINUTES OF TAURANGA CITY COUNCIL
ORDINARY COUNCIL MEETING
HELD AT THE BAY OF PLENTY REGIONAL COUNCIL CHAMBERS, REGIONAL HOUSE,
1 ELIZABETH STREET, TAURANGA
ON MONDAY, 23 MAY 2022 AT 9.30AM**

PRESENT: Commission Chair Anne Tolley, Commissioner Shadrach Rolleston, Commissioner Stephen Selwood, Commissioner Bill Wasley

IN ATTENDANCE: Marty Grenfell (Chief Executive), Tony Aitken (Acting General Manager: People & Engagement), Paul Davidson (General Manager: Corporate Services), Barbara Dempsey (Acting General Manager: Community Services), Nic Johansson (General Manager: Infrastructure), Christine Jones (General Manager: Strategy & Growth), Gareth Wallis (General Manager: Central City Development), Paul Dunphy (Director of Spaces and Places), Dianne Bussey (Contractor – Three Water Reforms), Cathy Davidson (Manager: Directorate Services), Stephen Burton (Director of City Waters), Carlo Ellis (Manager: Strategic Māori Engagement), Andy Mead (Manager: City & Infrastructure Planning), Will Hyde, (Senior Transportation Engineer), Alister Talbot (Team Leader, Transport Strategy and Planning), Josh Logan (Team Leader: Corporate Planning), Coral Hair (Manager: Democracy Services), Robyn Garrett (Team Leader: Committee Support), Sarah Drummond (Committee Advisor), Anahera Dinsdale (Committee Advisor), Janie Storey (Committee Advisor)

1 OPENING KARAKIA

Commissioner Rolleston opened the meeting with a karakia.

2 APOLOGIES

Nil

3 PUBLIC FORUM

3.1 Mr Matt Nicholson - Links Ave

Key Points

- Considered the first road closure trialled to help safety of children at local schools was not fit for purpose and while it may have achieved some of its outcomes it did not meet them all.
- Second trial was confusing, the signage inadequate and was not well publicised. 8,500 road users were fined and many more warned, which resulted in making people feel like criminals.
- There was minimal consultation with those affected; Links Ave users were isolated from families and friends and did not feel heard by the Council.
- One resident was denied a waiver three times to exit her own street.
- Fines were imposed at a time of unaffordability with high inflation and increasing costs and people should not have to choose between paying fines and buying food.
- Residents felt their concerns remained unheard and unconsidered.
- All fines should be refunded.
- Safety was not just a Links Ave issue, it affected a wider area. The street's capacity for pedestrian traffic was not suitable and there were a number of children now walking on the street.

- Narrow footpaths for kids walking and biking; there was a flow on effect onto Golf Road which had resulted in a safety issue for children.
- The road was used by 7500 motorists a day, traffic had to go somewhere and had been pushed onto the already congested surrounding streets.
- If the trial was there to protect children why was it operating at times when there were not children going to or from school.
- Mr Nicholson tabled the petition.

At 9.41am the meeting adjourned.

At 10.12am the meeting reconvened.

In response to questions

- Two issues on the table - the first being remissions of fines on which staff would provide a report to the 13 June 2022 Council meeting. Second issue was the ongoing issues around the trial which was currently at the mid-point of a four-month trial. Council would come back to community with an update following the trial.
- A group of people, including those with an interest in or affected by Links Avenue, would come together to find what a solution might look like for residents and the wider community. This was still being worked on and would also be discussed at the 13 June 2022 Council meeting.
- The trial would continue as a change of behaviours was starting to be seen.
- If petitioners wished to speak in the public forum at the Council meeting they must follow the process and register. To avoid duplication, a decision would then be made as to who would speak.
- Thanks to all for attending the meeting as Councillors had been struggling for two terms to deal with the issue.
- It must now be dealt with as it was a safety issue for children going to and from school and no one wanted to see a child hurt.
- Council understood the disruption to lives but asked everyone to follow the rules while a sensible solution was found.

Attachments

- 1 Links Ave petition

4 ACCEPTANCE OF LATE ITEMS

4.1 Acceptance of late item - Baypark, Blake Park, Tauranga Domain - Masterplans and Indicative Actions

RESOLUTION CO9/22/1

Moved: Commissioner Bill Wasley

Seconded: Commissioner Shadrach Rolleston

That the Baypark, Blake Park, Tauranga Domain - Masterplans and Indicative Actions late item be accepted.

CARRIED

5 CONFIDENTIAL BUSINESS TO BE TRANSFERRED INTO THE OPEN

Nil

6 CHANGE TO THE ORDER OF BUSINESS

Nil

7 CONFIRMATION OF MINUTES

7.1 Minutes of the Council meeting held on 2 May 2022

RESOLUTION CO9/22/2

Moved: Commissioner Stephen Selwood

Seconded: Commissioner Bill Wasley

That the minutes of the Council meeting held on 2 May 2022 be confirmed as a true and correct record.

CARRIED

8 DECLARATION OF CONFLICTS OF INTEREST

Nil

9 DEPUTATIONS, PRESENTATIONS, PETITIONS

9.1 Kingswood Road petition Carolyn Lee and Peter Ross

Key Points

- Concerned with behaviour of drivers in the street and seeking Council to provide a safer environment within the area.
- Burnouts happened quite often; cars had also lost control and damaged fences etc with instances of dangerous driving and speeding becoming more frequent.
- Neighbourhood included many young families so there was a big concern for the safety of children.
- Many motorists entering Kingswood Road tended to accelerate and often reached speeds of 80km.
- Petitioner had seen four accidents caused due to speed.
- There was nowhere to safely cross the road for families and people coming from the Clifton Terrace reserve because of the excessive speed of some vehicles.
- Petition with 105 signatures showed the concern expressed by residents.
- Volunteers were prepared to install speed humps themselves if needed.
- Suggested that traffic islands be installed as pedestrian refuges for people crossing the road or speed humps similar to Sutherland Road to slow the traffic.
- Kingswood Ave was a cul-de-sac not a thoroughfare.

In response to questions

- Currently there were no pedestrian crossings on the section of road.
- Traffic going over speed humps could be quite noisy.
- Drivers try to make the street as straight as possible by cutting corners, with the Woodford Road and Clifton Terrace intersections being danger zones.
- Danger to motorists coming out of side roads with traffic travelling so fast.

Discussion points raised

- It was noted that there was funding included in the Annual Budget (being decided on 24 May 2022) to implement safety improvement works in Kingswood Rd.

RESOLUTION CO9/22/3

Moved: Commissioner Bill Wasley

Seconded: Commissioner Stephen Selwood

That the Council receives the Kingswood Road petition.

CARRIED

10 RECOMMENDATIONS FROM OTHER COMMITTEES

Nil

11 BUSINESS**11.1 Community Stadium - feasibility study and next steps**

Staff Gareth Wallis, General Manager: Central City Development

External Dean Kimpton, Nigel Tutt and Hugh Hutchison from Priority 1

Key points

- Partnership approach, while the project was on Council land, TCC would be a partner but not run the process; other organisations involved included Sport BOP, BOPRC.
- Not just a sports stadium – it would have other uses including cultural events and concerts.
- Feasibility study only at this stage and was not a finished product - there was much work still to be done.
- Believed stadium was feasible and strongly recommended to proceed to the next stage of detailed design work.
- Noted key requirements to enable constant community use of domain - it would work equally well for all users, maximise revenue generation, complement wider network and CBD precinct, carve a unique niche for city and be world leading in its approach.
- Recommended location Wharepai Domain, seen as ideal location for what was trying to achieve.
- Sight line between the Domain and Mauao a key consideration, particularly for stunning views for on-air broadcasting.
- Had considered geotechnical issues along the boundary with the highway; also the interaction with tree lines and residential areas.
- A three sided stadium would retain the Mauao sightline and be protected from prevailing conditions rather than making it a four sided or covered stadium.
- Could unlock the potential of the whole domain when needed - e.g. tent sites, stages etc.
- Capacity of 8,000 permanent seating, this could be scaled up to 18,000; or up to 40,000 upper limit if in full event mode.
- Recommend Open-air boutique “people stadium”, three sided with ability to put full sided.
- Outlined next steps aimed at opening the stadium in 2026.
- Processes to be followed were outlined including a Charitable Trust being explored to run the stadium.

In response to questions

- Would need to reconsider timing for consultation to be held with the community in terms of the next LTP; the initial consultation was factored into the timeline and again as part of consenting, with a budget allocated for that consultation.
- The closest example for uniqueness of the project was the Central Coast Stadium but no real benchmark in NZ, there were a couple in Australia and Europe.

- Research on crowd numbers was factored into design – three-sided design would allow a ‘closed-in’ feel and enhanced viewer experience.
- Project would have a significant number of gateways - the next being the business case where further analysis would indicate whether it needed to be included in an LTPA next year or LTP in 2024.

Discussion points raised

- Project has had a long gestation and was included in the Te Papa Spatial Plan as a possibility.
- Boutique, multi-functional arena, with good connections to the CBD that would not be just a sports stadium.
- Covered stadium on this site ruled out as it would not be suitable .
- Need to consider how the exhibition space interacted with the development of the civic centre.
- Bay Oval was an example of an orphaned trust - if the trust ran out of money and the project could not be completed, it would fall back on the Council. Need to think carefully about the establishment of a trust, its function and purpose, the ongoing management, ownership and the financial oversights that needed to be in place.
- A master planning process was underway for other key reserves/sportsfields e.g. Baypark, Bay Oval. The timing was critical to provide a holistic and helicopter view of key facilities throughout the city to ensure they worked well.
- Need to give consideration to the connection of the Domain area to the CBD and whether there was a need to look at the northern end of Cameron Rd to achieve connection to the area in more comprehensive manner.
- Synergy between the development of the stadium, the civic centre redevelopment and the private investment planned as outlined by Priority 1 Blueprint report released recently.
- Need to get it right, know the event and exhibition markets, make sure all facilities worked together and did not compete with each other.
- Need to give considerable thought to the appropriate management and governance arrangements/operating model for the Stadium, including being appropriately resourced.

RESOLUTION CO9/22/4

Moved: Commission Chair Anne Tolley

Seconded: Commissioner Bill Wasley

That the Council:

- (a) Receives the Tauranga Multi-Function Stadium Feasibility Study (Attachment 1) and appendices (Attachment 2).
- (b) Agrees in principle that the Tauranga Domain is a suitable site for a community stadium.
- (c) Approves Council’s participation in the project’s next steps, including development of the business case and further design work.
- (d) Continues to engage directly with mana whenua and affected parties, including existing users of the Tauranga Domain, and with wider stakeholders as appropriate.

CARRIED

CHANGE TO THE ORDER OF BUSINESS

RESOLUTION CO9/22/5

Moved: Commissioner Bill Wasley

Seconded: Commissioner Stephen Selwood

Amends the order of business so the next item of business is 11.8, the late item Baypark, Blake Park, Tauranga Domain - Masterplans and Indicative Actions.

CARRIED**11.8 Baypark, Blake Park, Tauranga Domain - Masterplans and Indicative Actions**

Staff Barbara Dempsey; General Manager: Community Services
Paul Dunphy; Director of Places and Spaces

Key points

- Looking at understanding what TCC needed from an active reserve planning perspective and maximise what already had.
- Report outlined overall suggestions but there needed to be a lot of engagement with key parties such as netball and speedway.

In response to questions

- Commissioners expected a further report back by late August/early September 2022.
- Would do a network approach and next tier down (Tier 2) active reserves e.g. Gordon Spratt Reserve.
- Report would include what city had today and where it needed to be in the next 10 years for both Tiers 1 and 2.

Discussion points raised

- Opportunities offered by change would unfold as engagement with key stakeholders/users took place.
- Fundamental to involve Bay Venues Limited.

RESOLUTION CO9/22/6

Moved: Commissioner Bill Wasley

Seconded: Commissioner Stephen Selwood

That the Council:

- (a) Undertakes masterplans for Baypark, Blake Park, and the Tauranga and Wharepai Domains, with Bay Venues Limited and other key stakeholders, to be reported back by early September 2022; and

Pending finalisation of those masterplans;

- (b) Identifies Baypark as the preferred location for a new Tauranga netball facility, consults and works with the Netball Association towards that objective;
- (c) Works with Bay Venues Limited to explore options for the relocation of the Tauranga Domain athletics track and in doing so, consults with the Bay of Plenty Speedway Association about co-location and relocation options for a facility, subject to the community stadium development proceeding;
- (d) Works with Tauranga lawn bowls and croquet to explore co-location and relocation options, subject to the community stadium development proceeding; and
- (e) Continues to engage directly with affected parties, including existing users of the reserves, and with mana whenua and wider stakeholders as appropriate.

CARRIED**Attachments**

- 1 Presentation - Community Stadium Feasibility Presentation Overview

11.2 Three Waters Reform

Staff Nic Johansson; General Manager: Infrastructure
Stephen Burton; Director of City Waters
Carlo Ellis; Manager: Strategic Māori Engagement
Cathy Davidson, Manager: Directorate Services

Key points

- Attempted to distil the key issues; National Working Group's recommendations around responsibility, governance and accountability.
- Took the Department of Internal Affairs (DIA) some time to respond to the ten concerns raised by TCC; response was largely how they would take into account those concerns in the process.
- Two tier governance model – still a lot of detail needed to be worked through around the regional representative group and how that would be set up; operational board appointed by the regional representative group.
- Two main areas of work – reform and transition. TCC had input into the reform process as much as the government allowed; transition area provided more ability to get alongside in the transition work to represent the community, meet legislation and be fully operational to move into a large entity.
- Summarised nine areas of key concern grouped into reform and transition categories. Majority of reform issues were still of significant concern and legislation needed to be sighted before it could be taken to the community.
- Only privatisation exclusion had been addressed effectively – high bar including a 75% majority of MPs.
- TCC staff were included on the national working groups that were working with the government.
- Te Rangapū were meeting on 25 May 2022 to work through the recommendations; very principle focussed.
- Noted significant issues for Te Rangapū - Te Tiriti o Waitangi and Te Mana o te Wai, natural Māori alliances, discharge to whenua, protect kaitiakitanga, utilisation of Māori land and natural form and function of taiao.
- Next steps included various pieces of legislation being developed; anticipated that the Water Services Bill would be available next month.
- Funding arrangements to support transitional activities were still an issue.
- A number of issues were still to be resolved regarding the interface between the governance and regulatory requirements.
- Discovery requests were still coming from the National Transition Unit (NTU) that required substantial information responses.

In response to questions

- NTU working on response to funding issues around transition costs; working with other local councils to see where costs could be shared and do things together to save costs.
- Recognition of value of the assets built up over generations and queried how the shareholding was calculated and what its purpose was - shareholding based on population rather than value of the assets of the individual councils – seemed to do a disservice to TCC ratepayers when the city's water infrastructure was recognised as being in the top four in the country.
- Concern that there was no place for ratepayers to have a say other than through the submission process to parliament. Still a misunderstanding in the community that the reforms were a local government issue rather than being put upon them by central government.
- TCC's submission to the legislation would be made available to the public for consideration and contribution. Iwi supported this approach - a lot could be done whether reforms go ahead or not and it offered respect to community.
- Would identify issues within the community and how to address these where possible regardless of the outcome of the reform.

- Stormwater reference group had been reconvened; delineation needed as to who had responsibility and where; principles need to be refined. There was a stormwater technical team with one staff member on it in the transition unit; had not seen anything out of that working group yet.
- Consent arrangements e.g. Waiāri – it was unclear how these monitoring groups would transition over and where the relationship would sit, with the entity or the local authority/community. Unknown at this stage but was a current workstream. Important that these relationships be recognised as permanent and given certainty.

Discussion points raised

- Considerable feeling in the community about the reforms. Noted that the organisation had to prudently prepare for the transition process; the cost and uncertainty for staff and the organisation which was separate from any political considerations about the reform itself.
- Serious concerns about how the shareholding of councils was calculated and the limitations of it being based on a population model, without consideration of asset value. Significant reservations around the governance structure proposed and the means to bring iwi to the table as they needed to be represented.
- Government had not clearly explained the benefits/outcomes of the reform process to the community - a strong representation to government to be clear was needed.
- Was a complex issue that would not suit an “agree or not” referendum approach.
- Stormwater management was integral to placemaking in the city, including its contribution to all amenities e.g. reserves used as cycleways. Need to be able to use critical elements of stormwater management in placemaking as they had amenity value.
- Needs to be clarity with the relationship between water entity and the local authority around this issue.
- There was an ongoing lack of clarity around how the puzzle pieces fitted together and who would have the responsibilities and roles. Expect a lengthy transition period to have these matters sorted out.
- All water was a resource regardless of the type or source of that water – need to think about the value of the resource, in terms of what it provides for the environment, the people and what was gained from it. Priority use seemed to have been commercial value and economic development then municipal supply then the environment itself – considered this was wrong prioritising and should be flipped.
- Costs would fall on ratepayers so need to do the very best for the community.
- Noted the Commissioners recognised the pressure the reforms were putting on staff.

RESOLUTION CO9/22/7

Moved: Commission Chair Anne Tolley

Seconded: Commissioner Stephen Selwood

That the Council:

- (a) Receives the report Three Waters Reforms Programme – Impact Assessment on Working Group Recommendations.
- (b) Notes the approach to consider information based on two categories; (1) Reforms, (2) Transition.
- (c) Notes the summarised updates for the ten key concern categories.
- (d) Notes the revised impact assessment by staff on the concerns raised by community, Te Rangapū and commission.
- (e) Notes that a verbal update will be provided by Te Rangapū representative, post discussions on 19 May 2022.
- (f) Notes and confirms that staff continue to work with NTU at a national level and a local level with the establishment of an Entity B Local Transition Team to strengthen TCC’s readiness to successfully move three waters operations and services into the proposed future arrangement.

- (g) Notes the continuation to develop funding requirements for ongoing TCC participation to support funding application/allocation to enable backfilling instances where TCC staff provide input into transition activities.
- (h) Notes the continuation to meet discovery request requirements and support requirements as advised by NTU.
- (i) Requests that TCC's submission to the reform legislation be made available to the community for consideration and discussion.

CARRIED

Attachments

- 1 Presentation - 3 Waters Reform

11.3 Executive Report

Staff Nic Johansson, General Manager: Infrastructure Services
Paul Davidson, General Manager: Corporate Services
Christine Jones, General Manager: Strategy & Growth
Tony Aitken, Acting General Manager: People and Engagement
Barbara Dempsey, General Manager: Community Services

Key points

- Item 10 - The cost for the section of Opal Drive pipeline currently being renewed was \$7m.
- Noted the success of the heritage collection tours and launch of He Puna Manawa and positive comments being received by staff. Noted success of the interactive Echoes exhibition.
- Removal of exotic trees from Mauao – only one helicopter that can do the work, need to manage in terms of timing with Matariki.
- Business sector and feedthrough airport travel bookings into international sectors continued to increase.
- Objections to property revaluations were being worked through, as were the individual rating arrangements.
- Draft National Adaptation Plan had been released, timeframes would require retrospective endorsement by Council so staff would work with Commissioners as the response was being developed.
- Additional resourcing was being recruited for Takawaenga, partially to support tangata whenua committee appointees and building capacity to work with tangata whenua.
- The Pecuniary Interests Bill was currently only for elected members and excluded appointed members. Commissioners to hold discussion around whether they should be included and require the date of effect and reporting requirements.
- A number of community stakeholder events had been held by regulation teams – e.g. liquor licensing, changes to the Building Act.
- Teams had worked very hard to get building consent applications allocated and down to a manageable level by using contractors for some applications.

In response to questions

- Totara Street improvements – maintenance included in asset management plans and would be maintained at a suitable level once the work had been done. Need to stipulate an appropriate level of service for each development, balancing the level of aesthetics with cost.
- Electric rubbish trucks were substantially heavier than non-electric trucks, but as they were used in a street only once a week there was less pressure impact on the roading network.
- Three spaces would be made immediately available to the Kulim Park Sculpture Trust.
- Disability access and inclusion would be provided in the next report.
- Comparison requested between visitor numbers to the old library and He Puna Manawa.

- Staff were currently looking at planning, design and delivery at the right level for playgrounds/reserves.
- CRM system was an overreaching system that picked up all relationships with a customer in one place, recording interactions, did not replace personal contact. Was initially dealing with organisational or institutional stakeholders rather than individual ratepayers.
- Could take up to three years for a building control officer to be fully qualified, but they could gain competency and partial qualification in 9-12 months. Need to ensure competency prior to being given responsibility as the liability falls onto the Council.
- Some groups of home developers were willing to share predictions around building volume; staff also worked with Master Builders and known developers to gauge building consent workloads.
- The quality of consent applications was an issue particularly with building consent applications, which often had to go back for further information. There were also issues with the supply of materials resulting in amendments being required.

Discussion points raised

- Install project billboards with photos at work sites when a project was being undertaken to tell the residents the story of what was occurring, the reason for it, a timeline for the works and cost - e.g. Opal Drive and Totara Street improvements.
- Acknowledgement of the collaboration between the Incubator and TCC with the development of the Historic Village.
- Requested that the month of completion be provided when reporting on projects.
- Noted the success of the Heritage Collection visits and the Priority 1 series of city walks currently being run. Need to expand the opportunities/initiatives to engage the community with collections and the wider history of the city.
- Noted the economic and social benefit to the city of a one-day event, e.g. the Black Clash.

RESOLUTION CO9/22/8

Moved: Commissioner Shadrach Rolleston

Seconded: Commissioner Bill Wasley

That the Council:

- (a) Receives the Executive Report.

CARRIED

At 12.35pm the meeting adjourned.

At 1.20pm the meeting reconvened.

11.4 Growth & Land Use Projects Progress Report - May 2022

Staff Christine Jones, General Manager: Strategy and Growth
Andy Mead, Manager: City & Infrastructure Planning

Key points

- Report to Council as the next Strategy Finance and Risk Committee had a large agenda.
- Challenge to deliver the city's growth needs at the pace required; also working through SmartGrowth.
- PC27 - two appeals had been received and a third was also expected. The resources needed to respond to the appeals would impact on other projects.
- Working to implement decisions on the Urban Design Panel.
- Waka Kotahi commitments made to Tauriko West business case had been included in the final round for the Infrastructure Acceleration Fund. Problems still remained around wetlands issues and staff were waiting to see how much had been incorporated into the freshwater reforms by the government.

- Te Tumu – working positively with the Trust; however, it was a long and challenging process with the likelihood of houses not being built until 2030. The project estimates would be revised to meet this timing.
- Industrial Land Strategy was a big piece of work moving forward and integrated with the broader spatial planning work for Mount Maunganui.

In response to questions

- Enabling works for Tauriko West urban development area – Waka Kotahi Board approval but as there was still no provision for public transport included, it did not make sense to move on the enabling works without this being addressed.
- The missing link was with Waka Kotahi and the state highway network for public transport and was sitting with Waka Kotahi's long-term business case; Waka Kotahi was engaging with Priority 1, the Tauranga Crossing and other stakeholders.
- A commitment had been received from Waka Kotahi that they would engage with Tauranga Crossing and Mr Stillwell, and that an early warning be given for the pending resource consent application. It was critical for TCC involvement as it could hold up progression of the enabling works.
- Risk was that TCC would be held up in delivering on the National Standard for Urban Development through lack of resourcing by Waka Kotahi.
- Frustration noted due to the funding restrictions and Waka Kotahi processes in TCC being able to progress delivery of housing which would affect outcomes and impact on potential house buyers.
- There was a need to work through the issues in a much more expeditious way and to work closely with SmartGrowth who had a growth partnership agreement signed with the Crown and central government.
- Acknowledged that government had provided a lot of money through various funding arrangements and projects, but these were not necessarily joined-up processes.
- Need for the SH29 and 29A public transport links to be accelerated in order to unlock the development of the Western Corridor e.g. Tauriko West and Te Tumu.
- TCC was the only city that could not meet its obligations under the NPS for Urban Development. May not be able to fully utilise the IFF funding unless other legislative and funding actions were taken.
- Need for a streamlined and integrated planning approach and to work in parallel with BOPRC in terms of the development of the RPS.

RESOLUTION CO9/22/9

Moved: Commission Chair Anne Tolley

Seconded: Commissioner Stephen Selwood

That the Council:

- (a) Receives the Growth and Land Use Projects Progress Report – May 2022.
- (b) Resolves to write to relevant Ministers detailing the lack of alignment of agencies and legislation around planning and funding urban development, seeking an urgent meeting to discuss the issues.

CARRIED

11.5 Transport Strategy and Planning Progress Report - May 2022

Staff Andy Mead, Manager: City & Infrastructure Planning
Alister Talbot, Team Leader: Transport Strategy and Planning

Key points

- Emissions Reduction Plan would have a considerable impact on a number of TCC projects.

- TSP Partnership would need further consideration; seeking guidance from Waka Kotahi about how certain targets were applied at a project level in a growth area, as these targets needed to be understood at a practical project level.
- Funding had been received to progress the long-term city centre bus facility to allow the business case to be completed.

In response to questions

- The business case for the city centre bus facility would allow consideration of alternative layout options and locations.
- Cameron Road Stage 2/15th Ave/Turret Rd - request to utilise 'check in and check-up' method as the projects moved forward to ensure appropriate discussions were held with the Commissioners throughout the development of the business cases.

Discussion points raised

- Transition of people from cars to public transport would not happen as quickly as the government would like and the incentivising of electric cars would also increase vehicle numbers and movements. There would still be congestion and queuing issues. Public policy was contradictory and not delivering the outcomes required.
- Noted that some of the issues raised in the report be included in the meeting/discussions noted in the resolutions for Item 11.4.
- There was a need to consider the housing developments happening further out from the city and in other parts of the region in terms of impact on the transport system.
- The cost of housing in Tauranga was driving people further out of the city for affordable housing e.g. Matamata, but many still commuted into the city for work and school.

RESOLUTION CO9/22/10

Moved: Commissioner Shadrach Rolleston

Seconded: Commissioner Bill Wasley

That the Council:

- (a) Receives the Transport Strategy and Planning Progress Report – May 2022.

CARRIED

11.6 Traffic & Parking Bylaw (2012) Amendment 37

Staff Will Hyde, Senior Transportation Engineer

In response to questions

- Girven Rd/Maranui/Ocean Beach Rd parking was a large building project with construction traffic possibly adding to the issue.
- "No parking on berms" was a matter of safety in order to maintain sightlines for intersections. . It was suggested staff speak to the construction company involved.
- Once the development was completed, private parking would be provided on the site.

RESOLUTION CO9/22/11

Moved: Commissioner Bill Wasley

Seconded: Commissioner Stephen Selwood

That the Council:

- (a) Receives the Traffic and Parking Bylaw (2012) Amendments Report.

- (b) Adopts the proposed amendments to the Traffic and Parking Bylaw (2012) Attachment as per Appendix B, relating to minor changes for general safety or amenity purposes, to become effective from 24 May 2022.

CARRIED

11.7 Long-term Plan 2021 - Actions Report

Staff Christine Jones, General Manager: Strategy and Growth

Key points

- Requested overall time frame for projects including milestones and completion dates.
- Noted that a significant number of actions were completed and an update report would be provided in three months.

RESOLUTION CO9/22/12

Moved: Commissioner Bill Wasley

Seconded: Commissioner Stephen Selwood

That the Council:

- (a) Receives the Long-term Plan 2021 – Actions Report.
- (b) Notes the progress to date as reported in **Attachment 1**.

CARRIED

12 DISCUSSION OF LATE ITEMS

Included in business.

13 PUBLIC EXCLUDED SESSION

RESOLUTION TO EXCLUDE THE PUBLIC

RESOLUTION CO9/22/13

Moved: Commissioner Shadrach Rolleston

Seconded: Commissioner Stephen Selwood

That the public be excluded from the following parts of the proceedings of this meeting.

The general subject matter of each matter to be considered while the public is excluded, the reason for passing this resolution in relation to each matter, and the specific grounds under section 48 of the Local Government Official Information and Meetings Act 1987 for the passing of this resolution are as follows:

General subject of each matter to be considered	Reason for passing this resolution in relation to each matter	Ground(s) under section 48 for the passing of this resolution
13.1 - Public Excluded Minutes of the Council meeting held on 2 May 2022	s7(2)(h) - The withholding of the information is necessary to enable Council to carry out, without prejudice or disadvantage, commercial activities s7(2)(i) - The withholding of the information is necessary to enable Council to carry on, without	s48(1)(a) - the public conduct of the relevant part of the proceedings of the meeting would be likely to result in the disclosure of information for which good reason for withholding would exist under section 6 or section 7

	prejudice or disadvantage, negotiations (including commercial and industrial negotiations)	
13.2 - Exemption to Open Competition - Demolition of Vessels, Chatham Explorer and Loyal	s7(2)(h) - The withholding of the information is necessary to enable Council to carry out, without prejudice or disadvantage, commercial activities	s48(1)(a) - the public conduct of the relevant part of the proceedings of the meeting would be likely to result in the disclosure of information for which good reason for withholding would exist under section 6 or section 7
13.3 - Exemption from Open Competition for the Relocation of the Central City Bus Interchange	s7(2)(h) - The withholding of the information is necessary to enable Council to carry out, without prejudice or disadvantage, commercial activities	s48(1)(a) - the public conduct of the relevant part of the proceedings of the meeting would be likely to result in the disclosure of information for which good reason for withholding would exist under section 6 or section 7
13.4 - Interim Litigation Report	s7(2)(a) - The withholding of the information is necessary to protect the privacy of natural persons, including that of deceased natural persons s7(2)(g) - The withholding of the information is necessary to maintain legal professional privilege	s48(1)(a) - the public conduct of the relevant part of the proceedings of the meeting would be likely to result in the disclosure of information for which good reason for withholding would exist under section 6 or section 7

CARRIED

14 CLOSING KARAKIA

Commissioner Rolleston closed the meeting with a karakia.

The meeting closed at 2.43pm.

The minutes of this meeting were confirmed as a true and correct record at the Ordinary Council meeting held on 27 June 2022.

.....
CHAIRPERSON