

Sub #	Position	Additional Comments	First Name	Last Name
15	Strongly support	I think this is essential to prepare for the development of what could be Tauranga's most visited historical site with National and international appeal. It is regrettable that it has taken almost 160yrs to see the significance of the Battle of Gate recognised appropriately.	Larry	Baldock
33	Strongly support	Pukehina hina is a highly significant historic site. I support the proposal. I can be contacted for further comment if required.	Richard	Hart
35	Strongly support	Given the important cultural and historical significance of the site and the history of land confiscations in Tauranga, I strongly support reclassification of the reserve to enable development for the Pukehinahima cultural centre. In addition I support the Council consulting with Ngai Tamarāwaho directly through a hapū engagement hui, to hear directly from the hapū with regard to their support for the proposal.	Kylie	Willison
37	Strongly oppose	I will file a submission before the closing date	Barry	Scott
39	Strongly support	At last a modicum of progress towards properly recording some of the history of Aotearoa New Zealand and of Tauranga in particular in a form that will be truthful and instructive. Many of us may not like the truth about the original and subsequent (even upto fairly recent times) European settlement and development of this district. Some may even doubt the veracity of some accounts but it is only fair and reasonable that both sides of the story be told. In any argument or disagreement there can be no real resolution if only one side gets to speak.	Michael	Batchelor

56	Neutral	<p>The concerns that I have are :-</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. As this is to be a commercial venture then the proposed lease should also be on a commercial basis. 2. Funding and Opex of the development need to be the responsibility of Ngāi Tamarāwaho and the Pukehinahina Charitable Trust. TCC involvement must finish with the provision of the land. 3. I would hope that any history presented would be factual and not a new found one eg. TCC website claims that Te Ranga was "retaliation from British forces after their defeat at the Battle of Gate Pā the previous month". This is not true, Maori did repel the the first attack at Gate Pa but knowing their position was so compromised that they could not survive the attack that would come in morning they abandoned it in the night. They then started building a new Pa fortification at Te Ranga including rifle trenches. Colonel Greer who with troops was leading a reconnaissance party stumbled onto the Pa development and attacked immediately. This was a resounding defeat for Maori and signaled the end of the NZ Wars. 	Richard	Prince
63	Strongly oppose	See attached submission	Rob	Paterson

ANNEXURE

SUBMISSION BY ROB PATERSON OF TAURANGA RETIRED


I STRONGLY OPPOSE THIS PROPOSAL

This proposed Gate Pa land reclassification from recreation reserve to historic reserve and the resultant proposed lease to Maori interests should be of concern all Tauranga citizens in fact to everyone. The main issue is that it looks like there has been some sort of loose 'understanding' reached in 1999 between Council and maori interests to provide land for an historic and cultural centre. I believe it is fair to say, few if any TCC residents and ratepayers were aware of this cosy little deal and certainly not that it might relate to the ex-Gate Pa Bowling Club land at Gate Pa some 23 years later. Until more information is available about the exact intention as to how the land will be developed what the parking situation will be, most importantly who will meet the entire cost of developing the proposed grandiose complex and also meet ongoing annual OPEX (operating costs) the proposal should be put on hold.

Any development of the land must not be at Council or TCC Ratepayers cost and if anything proceeds then vested maori interests must be solely responsible for the entire cost of developing the land and the construction costs of complete building complex itself relating to the site as essentially, it's for their benefit and they will control things and be the effective owners. It is understood that in late 2021 TECT indicated they would seriously look at providing funds to assist with this proposal. There also needs to be a concrete assurance this edifice proposed to be called the New Zealand Wars Museum or some such name accurately portrays New Zealand history in a factual and truthful way. It is about time some openness transparency and accountability prevailed in these types of deals. I ask how many more skeletons of this nature TCC have in the closet that still remain to be played as race based 'aces in the hole' cards,

Currently this simply looks like another museum project with a race-based tinge and how long have parties been negotiating this deal. Word on the street is that this is a done deal no matter what the public think

I strongly oppose the plan until such time as all the matters pertaining thereto are clearly set out and full disclosure about everything concerning this site and its development is made to the Tauranga public

 7/10/22

Submitter ROB PATERSON (575 3345)