



MINUTES

**Ordinary Council meeting
Monday, 11 September 2023**

Order of Business

1	Opening karakia	4
2	Apologies	4
3	Public forum	4
4	Acceptance of late items	4
5	Confidential business to be transferred into the open	4
5.1	Infrastruncture Funding and Financial Act (IFF) for funding Te Manawatahi o Te Papa - Adoption of Consultation Document.....	4
6	Change to the order of business	5
7	Confirmation of minutes	5
7.1	Minutes of the Council meeting held on 21 August 2023	5
8	Declaration of conflicts of interest	5
9	Deputations, presentations, petitions	5
	Nil	
10	Recommendations from other committees	5
	Nil	
11	Business	5
13.3	Infrastructure Funding and Financing Act (IFFA) for funding Te Manawataki o Te Papa – Adoption of Consultation Document	5
11.1	Mount Maunganui Parking Strategy.....	6
11.2	Significant Forecasting Assumptions	7
11.3	2024-2034 Long-Term Plan - Adoption for Audit of the Draft Infrastructure Strategy	8
11.4	Draft User Fees and Charges Schedule for the draft 2024-34 Long-term Plan.....	9
11.5	2024-2034 Long-term Plan - Adoption for Audit of Draft Groups of Activities and Performance Measures sections	10
11.6	Papamoa East / Wairakei / Te Tumu Investments: Strategic Considerations and Funding Approaches	11
11.7	Draft Financial Information for the 2024-34 Long term Plan	13
11.8	2024-2034 - Long-term Plan - Adoption for Audit of draft Long-term Plan Consultation Document	15
12	Discussion of late items	16
13	Public excluded session	16
13.1	Papamoa East Interchange – Contract Award	16
13.2	Opal Dr Wastewater Pump Station Budget Approval	16
	Confidential Attachment 1 11.6 - Papamoa East / Wairakei / Te Tumu Investments: Strategic Considerations and Funding Approaches	17
	Confidential Attachment 2 11.6 - Papamoa East / Wairakei / Te Tumu Investments: Strategic Considerations and Funding Approaches	17
	Confidential Attachment 3 11.6 - Papamoa East / Wairakei / Te Tumu Investments: Strategic Considerations and Funding Approaches	17

Confidential Attachment 5 11.6 - Papamoa East / Wairakei / Te Tumu Investments:
Strategic Considerations and Funding Approaches 17

14 Closing karakia 17

**MINUTES OF TAURANGA CITY COUNCIL
ORDINARY COUNCIL MEETING
HELD AT THE BAY OF PLENTY REGIONAL COUNCIL CHAMBERS, REGIONAL HOUSE
1 ELIZABETH STREET, TAURANGA
ON MONDAY, 11 SEPTEMBER 2023 AT 9.30AM**

PRESENT: Commission Chair Anne Tolley, Commissioner Shadrach Rolleston, Commissioner Stephen Selwood, Commissioner Bill Wasley

IN ATTENDANCE: Marty Grenfell (Chief Executive), Paul Davidson (Chief Financial Officer), Barbara Dempsey (General Manager: Community Services), Nic Johansson (General Manager: Infrastructure), Christine Jones (General Manager: Strategy, Growth & Governance), Sarah Omundsen (General Manager: Regulatory and Compliance), Reece Wilkinson (Parking Strategy Manager), Sarah Holmes (Corporate Planner), Fiona Nalder (Principal Strategic Advisor), Kathryn Sharplin (Manager: Finance), Josh Logan (Team Leader: Corporate Planning), Andy Mead (Manager: City Planning & Growth), Frazer Smith (Manager: Strategic Finance & Growth), Tracey Hughes (Financial Insights & Reporting Manager), Alison Law (Manager: Spaces and Places), Coral Hair (Manager: Democracy & Governance Services), Sarah Drummond (Governance Advisor), Anahera Dinsdale (Governance Advisor), Janie Storey (Governance Advisor)

1 OPENING KARAKIA

Commissioner Shad Rolleston opened the meeting with a karakia.

2 APOLOGIES

Nil

3 PUBLIC FORUM

Nil

4 ACCEPTANCE OF LATE ITEMS

Nil

5 CONFIDENTIAL BUSINESS TO BE TRANSFERRED INTO THE OPEN

5.1 Infrastructure Funding and Financial Act (IFF) for funding Te Manawatahi o Te Papa - Adoption of Consultation Document

RESOLUTION CO16/23/1

Moved: Commissioner Bill Wasley

Seconded: Commissioner Stephen Selwood

That the report Infrastructure Funding and Financial Act (IFFA) for funding Te Manawatahi o Te Papa - Adoption of Consultation Document be transferred to the public part of the meeting and as a change to the order of business it would be taken first.

CARRIED

6 CHANGE TO THE ORDER OF BUSINESS

Item 13.3 – Infrastructure Funding and Financial Act (IFFA) for funding Te Manawataki o Te Papa – Adoption of Consultation Document would be held as the first item in 11 Business.

7 CONFIRMATION OF MINUTES

7.1 Minutes of the Council meeting held on 21 August 2023

RESOLUTION CO16/23/2

Moved: Commissioner Bill Wasley

Seconded: Commissioner Shadrach Rolleston

That the minutes of the Council meeting held on 21 August 2023 be confirmed as a true and correct record.

CARRIED

8 DECLARATION OF CONFLICTS OF INTEREST

Commissioner Wasley noted that he was a pool owner in relation to Item 11.4 which included a potential targeted rate for pool owners.

9 DEPUTATIONS, PRESENTATIONS, PETITIONS

Nil

10 RECOMMENDATIONS FROM OTHER COMMITTEES

Nil

11 BUSINESS

13.3 Infrastructure Funding and Financing Act (IFFA) for funding Te Manawataki o Te Papa – Adoption of Consultation Document

Staff Paul Davidson , Chief Financial Officer

Tabled document “Updated consultation document with track changes”

Key points

- Consultation document to raise the ratepayer funding for Te Manawataki o Te Papa, with the options of a levy or by way of a rating mechanism.
- The information was continuing to be updated, with the figures reflecting the financial markets as of 8 September 2023.
- Based on the current information the cost for an average residential property would range between \$107-\$128 and \$369 \$440 for a commercial property.
- The model was sensitive to growth assumptions and lowering in growth would flatten the curve of the graph but increased the amount that came in earlier timeframes and this was reflected in the consultation document.

In response to questions

- The transport levy was based on a 50% split for residential and commercial, whereas this was based on 70% residential and 30% commercial.

Discussion points raised

- The benefits of an IFFA levy were in the intergenerational equity as to who pays, with 1.5 generations over 30 years contributing to these costs, the transparency of a separate charge, certainty of funding and removing the loan funding from the Council's balance sheet
- The Council was demonstrating that it was making use of all available funding sources and the public now had the opportunity to have their say on the options for funding Te Manawataki o Te Papa.
- Recommendation (d) was removed as the matter was being discussed in the public part of the meeting.

RESOLUTION CO16/23/3

Moved: Commissioner Stephen Selwood

Seconded: Commissioner Bill Wasley

That the Council:

- (a) Receives the report "Infrastructure Funding and Financing Act (IFFA) for funding Te Manawataki o Te Papa - Adoption of Consultation Document".
- (b) Approves to continue with the IFFA process and for consultation on the proposal to commence on the 14th September and finish on the 6th October 2023.
- (c) Adopts the attached draft Consultation Document in Attachment 1 which summarises the proposal and an alternative option.

CARRIED

Attachments

- 1 Tabled document "Updated consultation document with track changes"

11.1 Mount Maunganui Parking Strategy

Staff Nic Johansson, General Manager: Infrastructure Services
Reece Wilkinson, Parking Strategy Manager

Key points

- Parking Management Plan for Mount Maunganui had been commissioned as it was needed especially during summer.
- There was some anxiety in the community, but no sweeping changes were mooted. This was the start of the discussion with the community.
- Mount Maunganui faced issues with high occupancy rates and time limits which were not being followed.
- Mount Maunganui would soon become a city centre in its own right, which meant there was a need to work towards better transport choices.
- Workers in the area were concerned about what would be available for them to park, but as there had never been an incentive for off street parking, this proposal was an opportunity to slowly start that process.
- The preference was to start with a low rate of paid parking to get people used to the idea and to monitor it to see if it resulted in a change of behaviour.
- There was an option for separate worker rates which could be implemented with a pay by plate programme, rather than setting aside specific locations.

In response to questions

- There were four different zones suggested for the town centre, high density residential, the beachfront and suburban residential.
- One suggestion was to charge for parking along the beachfront during the peak of summer and leave it open during winter as there were people who parked early to keep a space on the beachfront.
- The businesses want a sizeable portion for their customers and people walking the Maunga also find it difficult to get a park. The proposal was to find a balance and a compromise for the variety of users.
- In relation to a query regarding the provision of high density residential parking not being at the cost of those wanting to use the parks for a short time or residents. Council was not obligated to provide on street parking for apartments and the plan needed to change that.
- There was a thought to seek approval to start working on a permit system trial for longer term residents within the town centre where these would become less users over time. It would need to be implemented in such a way that it only applied to people in the area before the parking plan, so that anyone buying a property would know that they were not be able to park on the street.
- In answer to a query ensuring that workers, shoppers, tourists and recreation users were accommodated within the contestable spaces it was noted that the area was growing so quickly, something needed to be done and it was anticipated that the plan would integrate all users.
- There were private parking spaces within the commercial area that businesses could lease to generate income.
- While the engagement of the plan could not be part of the Long Term Plan consultation document, it was part of the concept setting and would run concurrently.

Discussion points raised

- Commissioners noted that framing the engagement was important, as was the need to reach out to all stakeholders.
- Resolution (b) was amended to incorporate the points noted.

RESOLUTION CO16/23/4

Moved: Commissioner Stephen Selwood

Seconded: Commissioner Bill Wasley

That the Council:

- (a) Receives the report "Mount Maunganui Parking Strategy".
- (b) Approves engagement for development and implementation of the Mount Maunganui Parking Management Plan to be undertaken concurrently with the LTP with the affected community (Mount Maunganui and users of the Mount) and that the results be reported back to Council.

CARRIED

11.2 Significant Forecasting Assumptions

Staff Paul Davidson, Chief Financial Officer
Sarah Holmes, Corporate Planner
Josh Logan, Team Leader: Corporate Planning

Tabled – Attachment 1 – corrected table on pages 23-24 of the agenda Capital and maintenance cumulative cost inflation assumptions provided in a more readable format.

Key points

- The figures provided in the tabled information was as up to date as possible and included inflation assumptions.

RESOLUTION CO16/23/5

Moved: Commissioner Bill Wasley

Seconded: Commissioner Stephen Selwood

That the Council:

- (a) Receives the report "Significant Forecasting Assumptions".
- (b) Approves the Recommendation from the Strategy, Finance and Risk Committee that Council:
 - (i) Adopts the full updated Draft Significant Forecasting Assumptions (Attachment 1) to form part of the supporting documentation for the purpose of public consultation for the proposed Long-term Plan 2024-2034 in November 2023.
 - (ii) Authorises the Chief Executive to make minor amendments to the documentation to ensure accuracy and correct minor drafting errors.
- (c) Approves the Significant Forecasting Assumptions and associated mitigation actions for audit as set out in **Attachment 1**.

CARRIED

Attachments

- 1 Attachment 1 corrected table

11.3 2024-2034 Long-Term Plan - Adoption for Audit of the Draft Infrastructure Strategy

Staff Christine Jones, General Manager: Strategy, Growth & Governance
Fiona Nalder, Principal Strategic Advisor

Key points

- The information was a key part of the Long Term Plan package along with the Financial Strategy setting out Council's intent for infrastructure over the next 30 years.
- There was a key focus on population growth, greenfield developments, climate change and resilience and delivering for our communities within the scope and timeframes for the delivery of these.

Discussion points raised

- Commissioner Selwood stated that the intention of introducing Infrastructure Strategy's for local government was to provide greater long term certainty of the investment pathway, consider how infrastructure would support land use change over time and develop a culture around long term and robust asset management.
- Commissioners expressed their concern that the Infrastructure Strategy was almost too high level and strategic and they expected more content that one would see in the Infrastructure Development Code or at least the relationship between the Strategy and the Code and how the Infrastructure Strategy would support the long term urban development planning for the city. There was more work to be done on the Strategy.
- It was agreed that while it was too late to change the Strategy now, in three years' time when the next Long Term Plan was being reviewed, a better relationship would have developed between the Strategy and other plans.

In response to questions

- It was noted that the test of the Strategy and what could be delivered would be through the audit process with any further iterations coming through that process. The Strategy was compliant with legislation and had been purposefully kept readable. The comments and concerns of the Commissioners would be noted to work on in the future.

- A maintenance strategy could be included as an improvement to the document.
- It was noted that all of the information requested was available, but staff were trying to keep a balance to ensure the document was readable and not too detailed. The Asset Management Plans were audited regularly and Council could gain a level of assurance from that.
- In response to a query as to whether any changes would need to go through a separate process, it was noted that only changes that significantly impact either the services or the Long Term Plan would need to be done separately.

RESOLUTION CO16/23/6

Moved: Commissioner Stephen Selwood

Seconded: Commissioner Bill Wasley

That the Council:

- (a) Receives the report "2024-2034 Long-Term Plan - Adoption for Audit of the Draft Infrastructure Strategy".
- (b) Adopts the draft Infrastructure Strategy, as set out in **Attachment 1**, as a supporting document for the draft 2024-2034 Long-Term Plan, for submission to Audit New Zealand.
- (c) Delegates authority to the Chief Executive to make editorial amendments to the draft Infrastructure Strategy, if required, prior to it being submitted to Audit New Zealand.
- (d) Notes a revised version of the Infrastructure Strategy, following any amendments required by Audit New Zealand and for graphic design purposes, will be provided to Council for its approval in early November 2023.

CARRIED

11.4 Draft User Fees and Charges Schedule for the draft 2024-34 Long-term Plan

Staff Paul Davidson, Chief Financial Officer
Sarah Holmes, Corporate Planner
Alison Law, Manager: Spaces and Places

Key points

- The information was bringing together directions from Council for Year 1 of the Long Term Plan.
- The document was included in the statement of proposals for consultation with the changes and a full schedule of these highlighted.

In response to questions

- In response to a query regarding the long term prime spot leases at the Mount Holiday Park and having these made available to more than one group, it was noted that the team were working to reduce the number of long term leasees with the intention of phasing them out.
- Further information would be provided on the future use of The Strand carpark and carparks attached to moorings as over time these would be phased out. This was the new site for the Wharewaka.

Discussion points raised

- Commissioners acknowledged that the fees were being lifted to catchup for years of underinvestment in roading and community facilities and to manage and invest in growth, where they were looking at the commercial and industrial sectors to pay their fair share, as well as the users of Council facilities.
- A mixture of charges was being implemented, including recognising an amount of general public good in having these facilities available and the cost to maintain them.

- Staff were acknowledged for working through the issues and providing a fair and transparent way of introducing the new charges, getting the balance right and ensuring that the levels of service were maintained.
- It was important to keep up with inflation, keep up with the costs incurred and to be informed by benchmarking the fees with what other authorities were charging.

RESOLUTION CO16/23/7

Moved: Commissioner Bill Wasley

Seconded: Commissioner Shadrach Rolleston

That the Council:

- (a) Receives the report 'Draft User Fees and Charges Schedule for the draft 2024-34 Long-term Plan'.
- (b) Adopts the draft user fees and charges in **Attachment 2**, for audit purposes, incorporating any amendments as approved by Council at this meeting.
- (c) Delegates the Chief Financial Officer to approve the final wording of amendments (as per Council direction) prior to public consultation.

CARRIED

11.5 2024-2034 Long-term Plan - Adoption for Audit of Draft Groups of Activities and Performance Measures sections

Staff Christine Jones, General Manager: Strategy, Growth & Governance
Paul Davidson, Chief Financial Officer
Josh Logan, Team Leader: Corporate Planning

Key points

- The groups of activities and performance summary information for each group was reported on per measure with the change process aligning to tell a better story and noting the challenges and focus areas for the next 10 years. Outcome levels were reported in other documents.
- The changes had gone through a quality assurance process noting inflation and impacts.
- An additional resolution (f) was sought to reflect a change to the inflation numbers of around a 1% range for later years. The impact of this on the amount of debt retirement and debt to revenue ratios would be higher than shown in the agenda. This would impact on items 11.7 and 11.8 of the agenda and additional resolutions would be requested to be added to those items.

In response to questions

- Year 1 – 2024-25 would be the test of the new systems and the measure for those, with information being provided to the Strategy, Revenue and Finance Committee.

Discussion points raised

- Commissioners acknowledged that the Long Term Plan was being compiled well ahead of the normal process and that they had asked for a lot of changes. They noted their appreciation for the extraordinary effort that staff were putting into this process.

RESOLUTION CO16/23/8

Moved: Commissioner Stephen Selwood

Seconded: Commissioner Bill Wasley

That the Council:

- (a) Receives the report "2024-2034 Long-term Plan - Adoption for Audit of Draft Groups of Activities and Performance Measures sections".

- (b) Adopts the draft Groups of Activities Plans, as set out in **Attachment 1**, for submission to Audit New Zealand, as they form part of the supporting information for the Draft 2024-2034 Long-term Plan.
- (c) Adopts the draft Performance Measures, as set out in **Attachment 2**, for submission to Audit New Zealand, as they form part of the supporting information for the Draft 2024-2034 Long-term Plan.
- (d) Delegates authority to the Chief Executive to make editorial amendments to the draft Groups of Activities Plans and draft Performance Measures, if required, prior to it being submitted to Audit New Zealand.
- (e) Notes a revised version of the Groups of Activities Plans and Performance Measures, following any amendments required by Audit New Zealand and for graphic design purposes, will be provided to Council for its approval in early November 2023.
- (f) Approves the inclusion of changes to the funding impact statements by groups of activity to reflect the impact of inflation.

CARRIED

11.6 Papamoia East / Wairakei / Te Tumu Investments: Strategic Considerations and Funding Approaches

Staff Christine Jones, General Manager: Strategy, Growth & Governance
Andy Mead, Manager: City Planning & Growth
Frazer Smith, Manager: Strategic Finance & Growth

Key points

- The report had expanded to support decision making on the Pāpāmoia East Interchange, Opal Drive Wastewater Pump Station, the transport and three waters investment programme for the next 10 years for Pāpāmoia East, a set of projects to support existing and future growth and the Te Tumu urban growth area.
- The set of projects supported the growth of Pāpāmoia, Wairakei and Te Tumu areas collectively and could not be individually developed as they were intertwined with the significant retail, office and residential investment within those areas.
- Staff were engaging with the National Transition Unit for Three Waters to ensure the entity was aware of the issues with debt funding that would become their responsibility..
- The funding model includes the \$300M of investment going on the Council's debt to begin with and assumes that once Te Tumu development came forward development contributions would repay the debt. The draft Long Term Plan 2024-34 does not include critical infrastructure for Te Tumu to commence.
- Given the uncertainty around the Te Tumu funding share being available for the Pāpāmoia East Interchange (PEI) and the Opal Drive projects as well as other projects, it was considered an appropriate time to review the funding model for Te Tumu and determine if it was suitable to deal with the issues.
- Three issues in relation to Te Tumu included timing of capital expenditure around the Kaituna stormwater overflow, planning risks, as Te Tumu was not zoned for urban development, and a new policy environment for freshwater management and indigenous biodiversity and implications for the structure plan.
- Staff were working with tangata whenua, some of whom had opposition around the development of that area, and were taking independent planning and legal advice.
- Access and infrastructure with multiple owners in the Kaituna 14 Māori land trust block (TK14) was a risk. Staff were in negotiations with the Trust and slowly proceeding as there were significant process steps that needed to be undertaken. Once the infrastructure corridor had been concluded, it still needed to be voted upon by beneficial owners and then move through to the Māori Land Court. As there was little in the way of precedent, the process was challenging and time consuming.

- A correction was requested by the TK14 Trustees to paragraph 21 of the report changing - *A majority of TK14 beneficiaries who voted, supported these negotiations proceeding, to The majority of trust owners who have been engaged, supported the negotiations proceeding.*
- Options for potential general and targeted rate funding were assessed in the report and it was recommended that 50% of the Te Tumu funding risk share relating to transport projects be transferred to a mix of general rates (flat charge across the city), double the rate for those in the wider benefit area of Pāpāmoa East and triple the rate for those in the full benefit area of Pāpāmoa East.
- Transport projects only were recommended to be funded given the uncertainty around the timing of the proposed waters reform. Should this be significantly delayed staff would look at options to include future water charges.

In response to questions

- Council was building a strong centre around Pāpāmoa East with high density housing that was more sustainable with people working there rather than travelling into the city, thereby taking some pressure off the roading network.
- In response to a query in relation to apportionment of the costs, it was noted that if Te Tumu was to proceed the share would be \$700M before growth commenced which would limit everything else that needed to be done across the whole city. It was therefore considered fair that the whole city should contribute towards the cost.
- There was a timeframe for Council to act to get zoning in place., There were still some uncertainties and staff would report back with an analysis and timeframe.
- In answer to a query as to whether the funding proposal was part of a rethink of how to look at funding growth, it was noted that these costs were not related to growth. In the past the Council has traditionally made rates spreading decisions to fund the costs across the city, but were now looking at a more targeted approach.
- From an infrastructure planning perspective, Council was trying to take a future proofing and staging approach. Wherever possible the Council was requiring the developer to put in infrastructure in place that traditionally Council would have built and collected over time from development contributions. The tools in the legislation were not enabling enough to deal with the level and complexity of growth to reduce the risk on Council. This was a complex web and staff were continuing to explore techniques to reduce these risks.
- In relation to a question as to whether there was a plan B if the three waters did not proceed it was noted that the Council would be consulting with that in mind and would make changes through the final Long Term Plan if needed. It was not possible to put a targeted three waters rates for Year 1 if it was not consulted on, but it could be signalled and introduced in Year 2 with consultation as part of the annual plan.

Discussion points raised

- The Commission had focused on providing infrastructure for the existing population and the Opal Drive wastewater plant and the PEI were two critical pieces of infrastructure required for the existing population,
- The PEI should have been constructed at the time the Eastern Link was built as this would have been more cost effective.
- The funding system for paying for growth was so broken that the ratepayer took the risks and underwrote the costs and central government reaped the benefits and the Commission would continue to advocate for change.
- There were significant risks in terms of the new planning frameworks and Te Tumu development had become more difficult under these.
- The issue was how to deal with the debt in a fair and equitable way and it was appropriate that those communities who had been identified as reaping the higher benefits should pay a higher cost.
- It would be a useful exercise to condense the complexity, the risks and liability for ratepayers relating to this level of providing and funding growth into a briefing for the incoming Minister of Local Government to assist understanding at both ministerial and official levels.

RESOLUTION CO16/23/9

Moved: Commissioner Stephen Selwood

Seconded: Commissioner Bill Wasley

That the Council:

- (a) Receives the report "Papamoa East / Wairakei / Te Tumu Investments: Strategic Considerations and Funding Approaches", subject to the paragraph 21 changes being noted.
- (b) Notes for consideration the range of strategic issues relevant to progressing construction of infrastructure in the Eastern Corridor and specifically the Papamoa East Interchange and Opal Drive Wastewater Pump Station.
- (c) Agrees that Te Tumu transport funding risks will be proposed to be managed by a targeted rate based on:
 - (i) 50% of the Te Tumu portion of the transport projects which have the majority of their expenditure in the first 3 years of the Long-Term Plan (including the Papamoa East Interchange).
 - (ii) A flat charge (i.e. not based on CV) per ratepayer across the city with a higher charge (double) in the wider benefit area in Papamoa East and (triple) for those in the full benefit area in Papamoa East.
 - (iii) A 20-year repayment period starting in Year 1 of the 2024-34 Long Term Plan period.
- (d) Consults with the community on the proposed Te Tumu transport related targeted rate through the draft 2024-2034 Long Term Plan.
- (e) Agrees not to propose a targeted rate for waters projects due to the proposed three waters reform and exclusion of waters activities after Year 2 of the 2024-34 Long Term Plan.
- (f) Retains attachments 1 and 2 in public excluded under section 7(2)(ii) of the Local Government Official Information and Meetings Act.
- (g) Retains attachment 3 in public excluded under section 7(2)(g) of the Local Government Official Information and Meetings Act.
- (h) Retains attachment 5 in public excluded under s7(2)(i) of the Local Government Official Information and Meetings Act until the contractual negotiations associated with the Papamoa East Interchange construction contract are complete.

CARRIED

At 11.32am the meeting adjourned.

At 11.45am the meeting reconvened.

11.7 Draft Financial Information for the 2024-34 Long term Plan

Staff Paul Davidson, Chief Financial Officer
Kathryn Sharplin, Manager: Finance
Tracey Hughes, Financial Insights & Reporting Manager

Information was tabled outlining changes to the key financials in the consultation document and Infrastructure Strategy.

Key points

- The key financials were updated to reflect the decision of a new rating category. The Financial Strategy flows from all of the work done to date and in the information tabled the orange shaded lines noted the changes to some of these.
- As Council had an A+ credit rating, borrowing was an appropriate way to fund growth.
- Two graphs were replaced, graph 2, the Annual Debt Profile, which showed total debt peaking at \$1.8B by 2034. The changes reflected a review of debt profile after transferring the three waters debt to the new entity. Most of the water debt was growth debt and once it was removed beyond 2027 most was in non-growth debt.
- The second graph 3 Debt to revenue ratio against proposed borrowing limit was replaced and some of debt retirement has been moved. Not all the financials had been flowed through the model and the graph represented conservative estimates. Further updated information would be provided to Council.
- The Finance Strategy was focused on paying a fair share and telling the story of who pays for what, and these were represented in graphs on pages 190 and 191.
- Recommendation changes were made to reflect the tabled amendments to the financials and to approve the Financial Strategy, funding needs analysis and revenue and the revenue and financing policy.

In response to questions

- Waters would be transferred to the new entity with the debt taken away and the assets lost. As government guidance of how to treat this had not been received as yet it would be reiterated with a note advising this under the graph.
- A comparison had not been made with other metros at this stage on the percentage of costs paid by rates but would be done.
- It was noted in the pie graph charts that the expense sits all at once, but the revenue was spread over a longer time than the 10 year programme.

RESOLUTION CO16/23/10

Moved: Commissioner Shadrach Rolleston

Seconded: Commissioner Bill Wasley

That the Council:

- (a) Receives the report "Draft Financial Information for the 2024-34 Long term Plan".
- (b) Approves for submission to Audit the following material:
 - (i) Funding Needs analysis
 - (ii) Revenue and Financing Policy
 - (iii) Financial Strategy
 - (iv) Supporting Financial Information
- (c) Accepts the tabled amendments to the financials which are included in the consultation document and financial strategy to show:
 - (i) the estimated impact of inflation and
 - (ii) to recognise the correction in amount of growth debt repaid as part of waters reform
- (d) Agrees that further refinement of the financials will be completed to reflect this detail in all financial documents including groups of activities and supporting documentation before they are provided to audit later in the week. These will be reported to council in future meetings.
- (e) Approves the draft 2024-34 Long-term Plan financial strategy funding needs analysis and revenue, revenue and financial policy and supporting financial information for the

purposes of submitting it to Audit New Zealand for the audit process with amendments to reflect the decisions made at this 11 September Council meeting with respect to the following reports:

- (i) Draft User Fees and Charges Schedule for the Draft 2024-2034 Long Term Plan
- (ii) Mount Maunganui Parking Strategy
- (iii) Papamoa East / Wairakei / Te Tumu Investments Strategic Considerations and Funding Approaches.
- (iv) the estimated impact of inflation and to recognise the correction in amount of growth debt repaid as part of waters reform.

CARRIED

Attachments

1 Tabled - Amendments to Financials in Consultation Document, Infrastructure Strategy

11.8 2024-2034 - Long-term Plan - Adoption for Audit of draft Long-term Plan Consultation Document

Staff Christine Jones, General Manager: Strategy, Growth & Governance
Josh Logan, Team Leader: Corporate Planning

Tabled replacement pages 28 and 29 of the draft consultation document (pages 31 and 32 of Attachment 2).

Key points

- The tabled replacement pages note that the targeted rate for pool inspections had been updated to better reflect the staff time for this activity.
- An additional recommendation was included b (iv) to note the estimated impact of inflation and recognise a correction in the amount of growth debt repaid as part of the waters reform.

RESOLUTION CO16/23/11

Moved: Commissioner Bill Wasley

Seconded: Commissioner Stephen Selwood

That the Council:

- (a) Receives the report "2024-2034 - Long-term Plan - Adoption for Audit of draft Long-term Plan Consultation Document" with the tabled amendment to pages 28 and 29 of the Consultation Document and noted an update to the targeted rate for pool inspections from \$58.33 to \$107.65.
- (b) Approves the draft 2024-34 Long-term Plan Consultation Document (Attachment 1) for the purposes of submitting it to Audit New Zealand for the audit process with amendments to reflect the decisions made at this 11 September Council meeting with respect to the following reports:
 - (i) Draft User Fees and Charges Schedule for the Draft 2024-2034 Long Term Plan
 - (ii) Mount Maunganui Parking Strategy
 - (iii) Papamoa East / Wairakei / Te Tumu Investments Strategic Considerations and Funding Approaches.
 - (iv) The estimated impact of inflation and to recognise the correction in amount of growth debt repaid as part of waters reform.
- (c) Delegates authority to the Chief Executive to make editorial amendments to the draft 2024-34 Long-term Plan Consultation Document, if required, prior to it being submitted to Audit New Zealand.

- (d) Notes the final version of the 2024-34 Long-term Plan Consultation Document, following any amendments required by Audit New Zealand and for graphic design purposes, will be provided to Council for its approval at the meeting in early November 2023.

CARRIED

Attachments

- 1 Tabled - Targeted Rates Changes

12 DISCUSSION OF LATE ITEMS

Nil

13 PUBLIC EXCLUDED SESSION

Resolution to exclude the public

RESOLUTION CO16/23/12

Moved: Commissioner Bill Wasley
 Seconded: Commissioner Stephen Selwood

That the public be excluded from the following parts of the proceedings of this meeting.

The general subject matter of each matter to be considered while the public is excluded, the reason for passing this resolution in relation to each matter, and the specific grounds under section 48 of the Local Government Official Information and Meetings Act 1987 for the passing of this resolution are as follows:

General subject of each matter to be considered	Reason for passing this resolution in relation to each matter	Ground(s) under section 48 for the passing of this resolution
<p>13.1 - Papamoa East Interchange – Contract Award</p>	<p>s7(2)(h) - The withholding of the information is necessary to enable Council to carry out, without prejudice or disadvantage, commercial activities</p> <p>s7(2)(i) - The withholding of the information is necessary to enable Council to carry on, without prejudice or disadvantage, negotiations (including commercial and industrial negotiations)</p>	<p>s48(1)(a) - the public conduct of the relevant part of the proceedings of the meeting would be likely to result in the disclosure of information for which good reason for withholding would exist under section 6 or section 7</p>
<p>13.2 - Opal Dr Wastewater Pump Station Budget Approval</p>	<p>s7(2)(b)(ii) - The withholding of the information is necessary to protect information where the making available of the information would be likely unreasonably to prejudice the commercial position of the person who supplied or who is the subject of the information</p> <p>s7(2)(h) - The withholding of the information is necessary to enable Council to carry out, without prejudice or disadvantage, commercial activities</p> <p>s7(2)(i) - The withholding of the information is necessary to enable</p>	<p>s48(1)(a) - the public conduct of the relevant part of the proceedings of the meeting would be likely to result in the disclosure of information for which good reason for withholding would exist under section 6 or section 7</p>

	Council to carry on, without prejudice or disadvantage, negotiations (including commercial and industrial negotiations)	
Confidential Attachment 1 - 11.6 - Papamoa East / Wairakei / Te Tumu Investments: Strategic Considerations and Funding Approaches	s7(2)(b)(ii) - The withholding of the information is necessary to protect information where the making available of the information would be likely unreasonably to prejudice the commercial position of the person who supplied or who is the subject of the information	s48(1)(a) the public conduct of the relevant part of the proceedings of the meeting would be likely to result in the disclosure of information for which good reason for withholding would exist under section 6 or section 7
Confidential Attachment 2 - 11.6 - Papamoa East / Wairakei / Te Tumu Investments: Strategic Considerations and Funding Approaches	s7(2)(b)(ii) - The withholding of the information is necessary to protect information where the making available of the information would be likely unreasonably to prejudice the commercial position of the person who supplied or who is the subject of the information	s48(1)(a) the public conduct of the relevant part of the proceedings of the meeting would be likely to result in the disclosure of information for which good reason for withholding would exist under section 6 or section 7
Confidential Attachment 3 - 11.6 - Papamoa East / Wairakei / Te Tumu Investments: Strategic Considerations and Funding Approaches	s7(2)(g) - The withholding of the information is necessary to maintain legal professional privilege	s48(1)(a) the public conduct of the relevant part of the proceedings of the meeting would be likely to result in the disclosure of information for which good reason for withholding would exist under section 6 or section 7
Confidential Attachment 5 - 11.6 - Papamoa East / Wairakei / Te Tumu Investments: Strategic Considerations and Funding Approaches	s7(2)(i) - The withholding of the information is necessary to enable Council to carry on, without prejudice or disadvantage, negotiations (including commercial and industrial negotiations)	s48(1)(a) the public conduct of the relevant part of the proceedings of the meeting would be likely to result in the disclosure of information for which good reason for withholding would exist under section 6 or section 7

CARRIED

Commissioners acknowledged the additional pressure put on staff and noted their appreciation for completing the mammoth job to get the Long Term Plan to this stage so early in the year, and to include the number of additions that had been sought.

14 CLOSING KARAKIA

Commissioner Shadrack closed the meeting with a karakia.

The meeting closed at 12.36 pm.

The minutes of this meeting were confirmed as a true and correct record at the Ordinary Council meeting held on 16 October 2023.

.....
CHAIRPERSON