



ATTACHMENTS

**Strategy, Finance and Risk Committee
meeting
Separate Attachments 1**

Monday, 13 November 2023

Table of Contents

8.2 Hearings Report for the Traffic and Parking Bylaw

Attachment 1 Submissions on the Traffic and Parking Bylaw 4

8.3 Hearings Report for the Street Use Policy

Attachment 1 Submissions on the Street Use Policy 31

Submissions on the Traffic and Parking Bylaw

#	Hearings	Business	First Name	Last Name	Do you think we should make it clear that council permission is required to create a second driveway?	Do you have any other thoughts on clarifying this rule about second driveways that you want to share?	Do you think we should add an option in the bylaw for drivers to potentially provide their registration number for free, timed car parks?	Do you have any other comments you would like to provide on this suggested parking management tool?
1			Katherine	Lawrence	Don't know / unsure		Strongly Disagree	Free car parking is a no stress no worry activity - please don't add any stress to this unstressful type of parking
2			Cathy	Donnelly	Strongly agree		Neither agree nor disagree	
3			duane	knight	Agree		Strongly agree	
4			Nathan	Bradshaw	Agree		Strongly agree	
5			Kimberley	Pilbrow	Neither agree nor disagree		Agree	
6			Ann	Saville	Strongly agree	none	Agree	none
7			Maree	Walker	Disagree	It should be up to the property owner to decide. Especially if they have more than one vehicle or a caravan/motor home to move from property.	Agree	
8			Colin	Beard	Strongly agree		Agree	Keeps free loaders moving
9			Jillian	Peck	Agree	The sight line when the driveway is beside a private road so if you are exiting the private road you have a clear vision pasted the driveway	Agree	
10			Laura	Currie	Strongly agree		Agree	I think as long as there are a number of available free parks, then this is fine. You do not want to scare people away from the CBD when they are only going for a coffee and cannot park for free for two hours.
11			No	No	Strongly agree		Strongly Disagree	Requiring registrations to park is an unnecessary collection of a drivers location data. In a world of finishing privacy, our council should not be adding this problem by collecting unnecessary data. I support our council minimising data collected where ever possible.
12			Mitchell	Hales	Strongly disagree		Strongly Disagree	
13			Geoff	Ellett	Agree		Agree	Any monitoring should be restricted to high demand periods only
14			Barry	White	Neither agree nor disagree		Neither agree nor disagree	As long as you put in enough machines around the place to do it. Keep parking free in the mount but just make it 180 parking limit during peak times. Most people can get in walk round the mount/have their brunch/coffee etc and get out in three

#	Hearings	Business	First Name	Last Name	Do you think we should make it clear that council permission is required to create a second driveway?	Do you have any other thoughts on clarifying this rule about second driveways that you want to share?	Do you think we should add an option in the bylaw for drivers to potentially provide their registration number for free, timed car parks?	Do you have any other comments you would like to provide on this suggested parking management tool?
								hours. That should free up parking for more people and might even encourage people to explore further than just the mount (ie Tauranga central etc)
15			Lanya	Carson	Agree	If we can get vehicles to park elsewhere than on the street then yes	Agree	
16			pam	Lees	Agree		Agree	Paying for parking is huge for everyone who still wants to enjoy our city and beaches be fair to visitors and locals
17			Tony	New	Agree		Disagree	
18			Lloyd	Tuck	Agree		Strongly agree	
19			Vanessa	Millar	Agree	Best to check for overkill to ensure safety of our footpaths.	Strongly Disagree	I think this is smoke and mirrors to hide that council will remove free parking and start charging anyway. Constantly wanting more money and that's the reason no-one I know ever goes into the CBD.
20			Bev	Wilson	Neither agree nor disagree		Disagree	
21			M	Bedford	Strongly agree		Agree	Need to put timed parking at Memorial Park as can't even take the kids there during the day as no parking.
22			R	F	Disagree		Strongly Disagree	More parking options are needed in the CBD. Think about workers. It sucks having to pay to just to go to work.
23			Paul	Mercer	Agree		Strongly Disagree	As a resident in the area between the CBD and 11th ave I strongly disagree with the changes happening to free on street parking and the introduction of time limit parking in the area without making any other options available for residents or introducing a residents parking permit. A lot of the current properties do not have enough off street parking for the amount of occupants so residents have no option but to park on the street, especially since the berm parking restrictions were put in place. I strongly urge you to introduce a residents parking permit for this area before the time limit restrictions are put in place. Thank you.
24			Kate	Barry Piceno	Strongly agree		Strongly Disagree	minimum cost benefit ratio, overdoing Council regulations ruins the City
25			John	Savelkouls	Strongly agree		Agree	

#	Hearings	Business	First Name	Last Name	Do you think we should make it clear that council permission is required to create a second driveway?	Do you have any other thoughts on clarifying this rule about second driveways that you want to share?	Do you think we should add an option in the bylaw for drivers to potentially provide their registration number for free, timed car parks?	Do you have any other comments you would like to provide on this suggested parking management tool?
26			Des	Rastrick	Disagree	If they choose to have more than one driveway, they pay for it and it's made to a certain standard	Agree	
27			Maxine	Smith	Neither agree nor disagree		Strongly Disagree	
28			Kevin	May	Strongly agree		Don't know / unsure	
29			Dani	van Dijk	Strongly agree	Many issues and neighbour disputes are happening due to unregulated crossings. They are unsafe and not constructed correctly.	Strongly Disagree	
30			Marie	Petersen	Strongly agree	It makes sense to limit the number of driveways - safer for pedestrians and cyclists	Disagree	Just make parking easier, having parking that will eventually be paid for (which is what we think you are heading to by asking us for our reg no) means we will just stay away. Look at Tga Central - its a dead zone.
31			Ewen	Castle	Agree		Strongly Disagree	
32			IAN	BROTHERS	Strongly agree		Strongly agree	
33			Scott	Payne	Strongly agree	If you are not totally clear then you can not charge people or ask them to amend the work. Clear communication is essential	Agree	
34			Lois	Stapleton	Strongly agree		Strongly Disagree	No we should not. If there is a possible infringement, the traffic wardens are quite able to mark tyres as they always have.
35			Ady	van der Beek	Neither agree nor disagree		Neither agree nor disagree	As a longtime surfer of the Mount I suggest you need to exempt the coast and Main Beach. When we go out for a wave we are often out for three hours.
36			Tracey	Cairns	Strongly agree		Strongly agree	
37			Tessa	Dawson	Strongly disagree		Strongly Disagree	
38			Alicia	Gordon	Strongly agree		Disagree	
39			W	F	Disagree		Disagree	
40			Grant	Wilson	Agree		Strongly Disagree	
41			Malcolm Geoffrey	Hanson	Disagree		Disagree	
42			Peter	Baylis	Agree		Neither agree nor disagree	Where are workers meant to park if you are going to be severe in enforcement?
43			Marcia	Van Der Peet	Agree	Ensure increased driveways do not negatively impact those with limited mobility, especially the elderly.	Strongly Disagree	Short cut to charging parking fees near the beach which I strongly disagree with. You are spending a fortune to regenerate the CBD at the same time charging high parking fees, the beach is an activity that families can participate in without a high costs and

#	Hearings	Business	First Name	Last Name	Do you think we should make it clear that council permission is required to create a second driveway?	Do you have any other thoughts on clarifying this rule about second driveways that you want to share?	Do you think we should add an option in the bylaw for drivers to potentially provide their registration number for free, timed car parks?	Do you have any other comments you would like to provide on this suggested parking management tool?
								that needs to be protected. What about the jobs of the parking attendants? Stop increasing the costs of your residents, its too much and not everyone who lives here is a wealthy ex-farmer.
44	Yes		Reece	Burgess	Neither agree nor disagree	Seriously who cares, case by case, let people use their brains and if they cannot & the odd driveway creates a notable issue, then intervene instead of adding more bureaucratic process's stifling everyone and creating jobs where none is required. Don't interfere when not required.. what one rare instance has brought this issue up? Deal with that instance and move on without changing "everything".	Strongly Disagree	I got caught out, I follow the rules but got held up running in and out of a shop. This meant I received a fine. My solution "stuff town". The shop will pay by no foot traffic. DONT OVER REGULATE when it just doesn't matter. it's a curtesy system or should be. Go to baypark or any "non" dying shopping centers, do you pay there or policed? are there any issues? No, so I am right by deduction of results proven by present, past and future results. any minor issues in exception to this have never taken much to resolve. Save the money and back off. don't be a smothering parent to fully grown adults, officious and regimented which just says "shop else-where" to any reasonable person. you are our council, start listening, its really very simple. that one parking "violation" from town, a minor delay, has cost the shop 8 further sales as "who can be bothered with parking Nazi's". There was no one around & no need for that.... rules for what? when there will be no shops left, back off ! Less hands on, save money & produce better results for everyone, which is the local economy
45			Jason	Ellis	Disagree		Strongly Disagree	
46			Liz	van der Westhuizen	Agree	Some dwellings lend themselves to 2 driveways eg a corner house. If required, let them have it.	Strongly Disagree	Parking wardens walking the city are few and far between now anyway and have been mostly replaced by the parking enforcement vehicles. What you are suggesting is just a lazy way to

#	Hearings	Business	First Name	Last Name	Do you think we should make it clear that council permission is required to create a second driveway?	Do you have any other thoughts on clarifying this rule about second driveways that you want to share?	Do you think we should add an option in the bylaw for drivers to potentially provide their registration number for free, timed car parks?	Do you have any other comments you would like to provide on this suggested parking management tool?
								make more money from normally law abiding citizens. Stop pushing more people out of the city. So what if 1 or 2 cars park for slightly longer than the allowable free time in a space. Has anyone even checked the free spaces available in the inner city lately? There are lots. This is because nobody is going to the city anymore because TCC is making it more and more difficult. Yes, paid parking is a must in the inner city but stop trying to get blood out of a stone. Workers no longer want to even work in the city because the parking is just too expensive. Sort out the public bus services - make them reliable and punctual, then you wont have a problem with vehicles parking for excessive amounts of time. Dont try and fix a problem by creating more problems.
47			Keith	Macey	Strongly agree	Has to be approved or developers will abuse it.	Strongly agree	Will stop abuse.
48			Brendon	McHugh	Strongly agree		Strongly agree	
49			Israel	Bai	Neither agree nor disagree		Agree	DO NOT CHARGE FOR PARKING IN THE MOUNT - YOU WILL KILL THE PLACE JUST LIKE YOU DID TO TGA CITY!!!
50			Karen	Towes	Strongly agree	Should already be like this	Agree	The future option yes, but not current implementation
51			Holly	Allison	Strongly agree		Strongly agree	
52			Rachael	Arthur	Strongly agree		Agree	
53			Alysha	Webster	Strongly agree	strongly agree because if everyone just put a second driveway in, on-street parking would become a nightmare.	Agree	I agree with this as long as there is no parking charge. I strongly disagree with parking charges for the the Mount Maunganui area.
54			Damian	Van Der Heijden	Strongly disagree	If this is on someone's property and not interfering with another persons they shouldn't have to gain consent in how they use their property at all.	Agree	Fair means fair.
55			Talor	Duncan	Neither agree nor disagree		Neither agree nor disagree	
56			Nadine	Dunlop	Agree	Agree in higher populated areas where more off street parking is required to support local businesses. However residential areas with no businesses within	Strongly Disagree	

#	Hearings	Business	First Name	Last Name	Do you think we should make it clear that council permission is required to create a second driveway?	Do you have any other thoughts on clarifying this rule about second driveways that you want to share?	Do you think we should add an option in the bylaw for drivers to potentially provide their registration number for free, timed car parks?	Do you have any other comments you would like to provide on this suggested parking management tool?
						a few hundred meters shouldn't have to abide by this.		
57			Alice	Butler	Strongly agree		Agree	
58			Hayden	G	Disagree		Strongly Disagree	It's pathetic, you shouldn't of taken out that big carpark for the empty concrete pad years ago
59			David	Mankin	Neither agree nor disagree		Strongly agree	
60			Mary	Isaac	Agree		Disagree	
61			Kevin	Cunliffe	Strongly agree	No	Agree	No
62			Luiz	Vasco	Strongly agree		Disagree	
63			Josh	Hough	Neither agree nor disagree		Disagree	You need to fix the city and actually get people there first. Roadworks take months for small sections to be completed, ruining some businesses and reducing where people can park. Having more long term free parking will reduce the amount of people overstaying the free timed parking, not everything should be a money grab
64			Alex	Cairns	Strongly agree		Neither agree nor disagree	I'm sceptical that will work
65			Therese	OBrien	Strongly agree	This will mean vehicles crossings are also done correctly	Strongly agree	Then you could bring back free hour parking in the city and the workers won't be able to use and abuse it the way they used to
66			Te poata	Wickliffe	Disagree	Why is it an issue?	Strongly Disagree	Build a free parking building
67			Leah	W	Agree	Agree so long as residents aren't impact financially checking this	Strongly Disagree	Seems like a way to disadvantage workers who park on the outskirts of the cbd and walk to work.
68			Brian	Cochran	Strongly agree		Strongly agree	
69			Celia	Walker	Agree		Disagree	
70			Isaac	Wong	Strongly disagree		Strongly Disagree	
71			Ailsa	Mitchell	Strongly disagree	Who are you to decide if a second driveway is necessary.	Strongly Disagree	More information on how this would work is needed.
72			borut	zagar	Neither agree nor disagree		Strongly Disagree	A waste of resources for a parking free area
73			Jared	Tutbury	Strongly agree		Disagree	
74			Jesse	Archer	Strongly disagree		Strongly Disagree	
75			What	For	Neither agree nor disagree		Strongly Disagree	
76			Brendan	Hodson	Strongly disagree		Strongly Disagree	This will just promote people to drive to a mall
77			Daneille	Geary	Disagree		Strongly Disagree	
78			Campbell	Phayer	Disagree		Agree	
79			Reni	Watson	Strongly agree		Neither agree nor disagree	

#	Hearings	Business	First Name	Last Name	Do you think we should make it clear that council permission is required to create a second driveway?	Do you have any other thoughts on clarifying this rule about second driveways that you want to share?	Do you think we should add an option in the bylaw for drivers to potentially provide their registration number for free, timed car parks?	Do you have any other comments you would like to provide on this suggested parking management tool?
80			Theresa	Eagle	Neither agree nor disagree		Neither agree nor disagree	
81			Paula	Zinzan	Disagree		Strongly Disagree	
82			Jen	Lowes	Strongly agree		Agree	
83			Cam	Wright	Agree		Neither agree nor disagree	We need better parking options. Town (CBD) is dead because no one can afford to park there. Strategies also need to be put in place for weekday business parking, I live in in the CBD and can hardly drive down the street for all the cars parked along it.
84			Rich	Farrell	Strongly agree		Strongly Disagree	First in first served. Everyone gets to park and move on within the time allocated
85			Makayla	Stewart	Don't know / unsure	Does this refer to making a new second driveway on an existing property or a new property that is being developed. The statement is unclear.	Strongly Disagree	This reads as removing jobs for parking wardens and attempting to limit the ability to park in thr city center which is already difficult. There isn't enough free parking in town for those working and the public transit hasn't been sufficiently invested in to enable that as a reliable option for most people.
86			A	Barclay	Strongly disagree	Again, just another way for council to get revenue. Second driveways are expensive and if someone wants to get one and pay for it - all power to them!	Strongly Disagree	What a bloody hassle for drivers! It's like the council are thinking up as many ways as possible to stop people from shopping outside from a mall or enjoying a nice day out at the beach!
87			Sarah	Thomson	Agree		Agree	
88			M	Rainey	Don't know / unsure		Don't know / unsure	
89			Amy	Stewart	Neither agree nor disagree		Strongly Disagree	You need to make life easier for people not harder if you want them to shop - you dont see people at bayfair or lakes having to register their rego number for free parking. As a mother with a newborn and if im popping outside to grab something from a shop carrying my baby and nappy bag i literally do not have any spare hands to put the rego number into the machine...and if baby is crying how stresfull.... and it involves extra walking to the pay station as their are never enough located. Who cares if you pay a wardens wage... its extra jobs in the community and makes a smoother stress free parking transition. The

#	Hearings	Business	First Name	Last Name	Do you think we should make it clear that council permission is required to create a second driveway?	Do you have any other thoughts on clarifying this rule about second driveways that you want to share?	Do you think we should add an option in the bylaw for drivers to potentially provide their registration number for free, timed car parks?	Do you have any other comments you would like to provide on this suggested parking management tool?
								council should be trying its best to make life hassle free and easier for its residents - thats what will make the most impact.
90			Kathryn	Ison	Agree		Disagree	
91			Kathryn	Dawson Banks	Neither agree nor disagree		Strongly Disagree	When parking in free parks often I'm in a rush and this would slow things down for no reason
92			Jim	Benson	Strongly disagree	Doesn't need to be legislated. Allow people to do what they want with their own properties.	Strongly Disagree	Manually monitoring is not accurate. You have cars with cameras that drive around. Forcing people to register for free parking is superfluous and not needed.
93			Raewyn	Bell	Strongly agree	Yesjust needs to be clear and consistent and safe for road users and pedestrians.	Agree	Just need to be mindful of the needs of the disabled and those either mobility stickers. Clear communication needed.
94			Derek	Postlewaight	Agree		Agree	
95			Ryan	Henderson	Don't know / unsure	Keep sandard rule of one vehicle crossing (driveway) per property. If an option council needs to be strict on any granted.	Strongly agree	
96			Karen	Ball	Strongly disagree	Another stupid unnecessary law to implement. Who cares	Strongly Disagree	I just think it is ridiculous. Quite frankly, I feel like it is something else TCC is trying to get money out of residents in Tauranga. The parking is joke as is the whole city
97			Amanda	Byers	Agree		Strongly Disagree	Make parking free in general. Downtown tauranga is a ghost town for many reasons, but mainly because parking is a HUGE issue and also with all the construction work, they have limited our parking even further. To pay for it is ridiculous.
98			Sandy	Orre	Neither agree nor disagree		Strongly Disagree	nope. stuff off.
99			Sandra	Banks	Strongly agree	This depends on how much hardscape is already planned for the site to allow for drainage etc in wet conditions, and if it's really necessary for safe access	Strongly agree	This would definitely make if fairer for all and hopefully easier to find a park.
100			Amber	Wilson			Strongly agree	
101			Jennifer	Moore	Neither agree nor disagree		Disagree	Big brother is watching you. Let us have some privacy.
102			Sheree	Putt	Strongly agree	Because there should allow more space for parking	Strongly agree	That way council keep a eye on cars
103			Sarah	Englund	Strongly agree		Strongly agree	
104			Marlo	Torr	Agree	No	Neither agree nor disagree	No

#	Hearings	Business	First Name	Last Name	Do you think we should make it clear that council permission is required to create a second driveway?	Do you have any other thoughts on clarifying this rule about second driveways that you want to share?	Do you think we should add an option in the bylaw for drivers to potentially provide their registration number for free, timed car parks?	Do you have any other comments you would like to provide on this suggested parking management tool?
105			Carmen	Cristescu	Agree		Strongly Disagree	How about creating more carparks and have them free so people actually come and shop in this dead city
106			Dylan	Larsen		People should be allowed as many driveways as they can possibly manage. Sort out the potholes on our roads and bring back democracy to Tauranga , then we can discuss pointless topics like the number of driveways.	Strongly Disagree	Stop charging for car parks . Why are we having to pay for parking wardens in the first place. Just give up on the current CBD , it's in a rubbish location anyways . You would be better off just starting fresh on the Omani golf course site. Easy access to the highway and bayfair , airport , train line etc . The current CBD location is just dumb.
107			Jasmyn	Morrison	Neither agree nor disagree		Strongly Disagree	There should be more free parking, especially in Tauranga city.
108			Oliver	Haycock	Strongly agree	Access to property needs to be safe and appropriate. Council need to be involved	Strongly agree	Makes sense, we need to share the limited resources and be more accountable for our behaviour
109			Zayne	Davis	Strongly disagree		Strongly Disagree	
110			Wendy	Dumee	Agree		Strongly Disagree	
111			Mrs R	Ormsby	Agree		Disagree	
112			Caa	Acacio	Strongly disagree	The council is very inefficient. It takes too long to manage anything, look at bayfair, a roadwork that took almost 8 years and a lot of that thanks to the council not giving the permissions they needed to give for the work to follow through.	Strongly Disagree	Please go manage things that actually need manage. Don't make people's life more complicated. The town is already frustrated enough with the council.
113			tisean	hirst	Agree		Strongly Disagree	
114			Evette	Robinson	Neither agree nor disagree	I think it should be a property owners right to have 2 driveways as long as they are aware of the utilities under ground and that it maybe lifted if access is needed. This is where the council should have a knowing of this to help guide the property owners on requirements etc.	Strongly Disagree	Free parking is free parking it should not matter the time you are there for. Create opportunities for people to come into town so it does not look like a ghost town and bring money into town for businesses. Not make people stay away because of the cost of parking as the cost to pay is way to high and deters people from going there.
115			WhetuMarama	Atutahi	Strongly disagree		Neither agree nor disagree	
116			Mea	Da Silva	Agree		Strongly Disagree	
117			Donna	Jarden	Strongly agree		Neither agree nor disagree	
118			Katy	Newman	Neither agree nor disagree		Disagree	
119			Denise	Henigan	Strongly agree	Need to be reducing car parking full stop. Of course they should check with council. A double entrance essentially removes car parking for others and encourages people	Strongly agree	

#	Hearings	Business	First Name	Last Name	Do you think we should make it clear that council permission is required to create a second driveway?	Do you have any other thoughts on clarifying this rule about second driveways that you want to share?	Do you think we should add an option in the bylaw for drivers to potentially provide their registration number for free, timed car parks?	Do you have any other comments you would like to provide on this suggested parking management tool?
						to have more vehicles. Council has to more actively police the dickheads who park on grass verges.		
120			Joan	Mitchell	Strongly agree	Safety first	Strongly agree	I would like to have free parking for say 30 minutes to allow people to do quick business such as Library stop, and it would encourage turn around of cars
121			Alec	Whatmough	Strongly disagree	Putting in a driveway is not cheap as it is. Nobody does it for fun, rather it is for good reason, often the safety of others. Nobody needs more bureaucracy, fees and hold-ups.	Disagree	Maybe you should consider why the CBD is in its death throes and address that.
122			Zoe	Trower	Agree		Agree	
123			Callum	Van de Weyer	Agree		Disagree	
124			Nina	McKay	Neither agree nor disagree	No	Strongly Disagree	Free parking should not be threatened by the possibility of being charged by council. The council should invest in other things and paying the wages for traffic wardens is a good use of funds.
125			Stewart	Taylor	Agree	It reduces parking availability so should require permit	Agree	
126			Tanya	C	Agree		Agree	
127			Stefan	Senf	Agree		Agree	
128			Tom	Lehner	Strongly agree	We should be removing additional vehicles and encouraging the use of public transport and bicycles. Additional driveways should be rejected outright.	Strongly Disagree	More admin just to come into the city. No.
129			JOHN	FLEMING	Disagree		Disagree	
130			Dacey	Zelman Fahm	Neither agree nor disagree		Strongly agree	If it streamlines the process
131			Tim	Donaldson	Neither agree nor disagree		Strongly Disagree	
132			Mat	Parnell	Strongly agree	Agree it should be made clear	Agree	Assume the intent of this tool is to increase profit i.e. fine people for parking for longer than the designated time without the need to pay for a warden. If so the cost saving should be passed on to rate payers. Would be very interested to know what profit margins are for TCC parking as a whole
133			John	PATTERSON	Strongly agree	each new driveway consumes at least two roadside parks and should also need to meet council requirements such as sightlines, not just vehicles but for pedestrians and cyclists also	Disagree	the main problem with parking is not so much the money involved but all the factors such as finding a meter that is working, understanding what the various restrictions are in different areas and what times parking is free or not. Currently I doubt that many older

#	Hearings	Business	First Name	Last Name	Do you think we should make it clear that council permission is required to create a second driveway?	Do you have any other thoughts on clarifying this rule about second driveways that you want to share?	Do you think we should add an option in the bylaw for drivers to potentially provide their registration number for free, timed car parks?	Do you have any other comments you would like to provide on this suggested parking management tool?
								people who are not IT competent could comply with the present requirements. I believe the parking system needs to be simplified with roadside signs rather than complicated with electronic requirements
134			Daniel	Kulasingham	Disagree	It should really be on our residents to make sure that any changes done on their property follows council code. I don't see a need to spend money to clarify any particular rule, unless the rule is a new addition or is deemed to be confusing/unclear.	Strongly Disagree	This is tedious and unnecessary. There are better ways to manage this issue. The aim for the future should be to create better automated systems that manage these issues as opposed to making it even harder to come into the city for little to no gain.
135			Mikaela	Julius	Disagree	Second driveways shouldn't be an issue. It isn't causing problems with blocking people from walking. People should have fair use of their property and seeking permission to access it via a second driveway isn't fair use.	Strongly Disagree	The harsh approach to parking is driving more people away from timed areas.
136			Rachel	C	Disagree	No as long as it is constructed to council standards whats the problem??	Agree	People can over stay their welcome in free timed carparks. It seems a safe and effective way to monitor it
137			Terry	McIntosh	Neither agree nor disagree	Case by case, using LOGIC, cannot cover with one brush	Neither agree nor disagree	Move to Taupo's system and get rid of the user unfriendly things you have a present. Charge less for parking
138			Peter	Cosnahan	Strongly agree		Strongly agree	
139			Peter	Wilson	Neither agree nor disagree	I assume this would entail a cost in adding a driveway	Agree	this makes sense so that anybody overstaying is automatically charged
140			Nicola	Mulgrew	Strongly agree	I don't see why we would have to consult council at all. If we want a second driveway to our property, what difference is it to council? How does a private driveway EVER offer a public benefit?	Strongly agree	Make the parking as easy to understand as possible. If every parking spot, be it free or charged, required you to enter your licence plate, then it becomes a habit. Better yet, why not then use this detail to check if the licence is valid, and THEN send someone round to put a ticket on their car.
141			Ray	Clark	Agree	Should require consultation with neighbour, as well. No fees should be charged. It's a relatively simple decision one way or the other based upon the properties involved.	Neither agree nor disagree	Always a contentious issue, is parking.
142			Nathan	Wansbrough	Agree		Strongly agree	If this saves the city council's resources that can be used on biking and walking infrastructure, I am strongly in favour.
143			Saltwater	Jay Thomas	Agree		Strongly Disagree	Paid parking has killed the Tauranga CBD and will do the same if

#	Hearings	Business	First Name	Last Name	Do you think we should make it clear that council permission is required to create a second driveway?	Do you have any other thoughts on clarifying this rule about second driveways that you want to share?	Do you think we should add an option in the bylaw for drivers to potentially provide their registration number for free, timed car parks?	Do you have any other comments you would like to provide on this suggested parking management tool?
								implemented in the Mount. Especially in those areas by Pilot Bay and the ocean beaches. Again, this will deter people from coming to those areas, having a negative impact on all businesses.
144			Michelle	Wood	Agree	if people just put a 2nd one in it could be taking away onstreet parking	Disagree	
145			john	mcleod	Strongly disagree	If at no cost to council not councils concern	Don't know / unsure	Not necessary
146			Stephanie	Towers	Agree		Agree	
147	Yes	DOCA	Kim	Ort	Strongly agree	It should always be standard. I'm amazed it's not already	Strongly agree	We have asked for this already in Tauranga town
148			Alan	Ridley	Neither agree nor disagree	Difficult one. If we're planning for the future - one without motor vehicle ownership - we won't need any driveways or garages.	Agree	we need to be discouraged (me included) from driving vehicles into cbd's. public transport should ultimately be the only transport in towns/cities.
149			Chris	Stuck	Neither agree nor disagree		Agree	
150			Ashley	Longhurst	Strongly disagree		Strongly Disagree	
151			Murray	Bailey	Agree		Disagree	
152			Carol	Ralph	Agree		Agree	
153			Davina	Plummer	Neither agree nor disagree	I think that residents should be able to choose the number of driveways they have. Council can be informed but not able to decline without strong reasoning.	Don't know / unsure	
154			Emma	Muller	Strongly agree		Neither agree nor disagree	
155			Paul	Mathews	Strongly agree		Strongly agree	also we do not need any more parking, no really we don't! try focussing on alternatives to driving and prioritising those!
156			Shane	Eastergaard	Agree		Strongly Disagree	I think the wider issue of parking, especially in the inner city, needs to be sorted before this
157			Tracey	Mayall	Disagree	Most Residents add a driveway because it is necessary; I don't think this needs to be checked by the council.	Agree	
158			Lynne	Clayton	Agree	Seems sensible as stated above. Also if it prevents people from having to reverse on to the road which can be dangerous	Agree	So long as they're not having to pay to actually do it (like the extras added on for paid parking), otherwise it's not technically free parking.
159			Barclay	Wilkinson	Agree		Agree	
160			Chris	Pattison	Don't know / unsure	I cannot recall seeing a private property with two driveways. I cannot see why this is a big deal issue.	Disagree	It appears that ths is just another level of unnecessary beaurocracy. There are times, due to circumstances, that a person is just not able to keep within

#	Hearings	Business	First Name	Last Name	Do you think we should make it clear that council permission is required to create a second driveway?	Do you have any other thoughts on clarifying this rule about second driveways that you want to share?	Do you think we should add an option in the bylaw for drivers to potentially provide their registration number for free, timed car parks?	Do you have any other comments you would like to provide on this suggested parking management tool?
								the required time period, and then gets penalised because of it. This then becomes just another disincentive to visit that location. Like the city centre, which I avoid to visit like the plague.
161			Shane	Steenson	Strongly disagree	If someone owns a property is should be their right to put in more than one driveway crossing without council consent however it should be built correctly and maybe a fee to cover an inspection is needed to see this done.	Strongly agree	
162			Katherine	Doerr	Disagree	So long as the home owner pays for it why not? Better than leaving caravans and boats parked on the street or grass berms which is pretty common	Disagree	I had to help an elderly person with using the parking. It was almost impossible for them. Surely technology exists for something better than that? What about CCTV with AI that observes how long cars are parked for?
163			Glenda	Phillips	Agree	It should be clear and on the title then and clarified when development and footpath bond paid and refunded. Public benefit? Sounds like you are searching for reasons to charge for something.	Disagree	More personal info gathering encroaching on our civil liberties. If it is free then should be free of everything. If wardens are there then chalk on the tyre is all they need surely. All parking should be free just make shorter time in more popular places and stretch out to longer time further away.
164			Fiona	Baker	Agree	There is a big problem with traffic congestion already especially in cul de sacs and small residential streets, most homes have two vehicles, backing out etc is quite tricky already, having 2 entry/exits to a property would add to that. On the other hand, all the multi residential developments around the suburbs might find it better to have an entry and a separate exit. Council permission would be necessary and the developers plan would need careful scrutiny to ensure that the driveways don't make road usage (especially around intersections), more hazardous.	Agree	Keep in mind that some parking space users HAVE to work in the city and buses are not an option for them for various reasons. They have to park somewhere and the person can't always get back to the car to shift it or feed the meter when they are at work. The parking buildings are fairly empty as companies lease spaces which are not used, so plenty of parks but only some people are allowed to use them and they DON'T. This needs looking into and a survey done. Maybe those companies that hog the spaces and don't use them, can be persuaded to give them up, or be fined. FYI some elderly people find the meters hard to see when the sun is shining on the display. Buses drive around the city each day empty. A Councillor needs to sit on a busy corner and see this, it's imperative that smaller buses, passing more

#	Hearings	Business	First Name	Last Name	Do you think we should make it clear that council permission is required to create a second driveway?	Do you have any other thoughts on clarifying this rule about second driveways that you want to share?	Do you think we should add an option in the bylaw for drivers to potentially provide their registration number for free, timed car parks?	Do you have any other comments you would like to provide on this suggested parking management tool?
								frequently, and in more convenient routes should replace what we have now. It makes no sense to have polluting buses empty of passengers every day traversing the city. User rates would rise if this big expensive problem was recognised and dealt with appropriately
165			Olo	Game	Strongly disagree	Why does it even matter? It's there land they can build what they want? Why don't you worry about fixing actual problems than splitting the population over this crap?	Agree	
166			Rebecca	Whalley	Strongly agree		Agree	
167			Michel	Pelzer	Strongly agree	driveways take away communal use of the street space since no other person can park on that stretch of street. Maybe there should be an option to allow for one driveway and one extra "accessway". The second accessway could be without a dropped curb for occasional access of a boat or caravan.	Strongly agree	I'm in favor of turning free parking spaces in the city and at the mount into payed parking. I believe this will be fairer and allow more people to access these popular areas by stopping long term park hoggers. Also, it will encourage people to use alternative modes of transport to get to these busy places.
168			Sterne Collective	Matt Sterne	Strongly agree		Agree	
169			Katie	Cox	Agree	As the building laws are changing not to have to require off street parking, any extra driveway will take away from street parking.	Strongly Disagree	This is just adding an inconvenience when parking and potential ticket revenue stream.
170			Aidon	Decke	Strongly agree		Strongly agree	
171			Marty's Bar	Steven Everill	Agree		Agree	Provide more car parks to support a fully functioning CBD. The lack of ON STREET (not car park building) car parking is the number one reason so many CBD premises are empty and this will not reverse until this is fixed.
172			Sue	Crompton	Strongly agree	Common sense really isn't it, otherwise you will get driveways in stupid places.	Strongly agree	Its more efficient.
173			Steve	warren	Strongly agree	Think it is wise to let the council make that call - as not a great lover of believer of commonsense .	Strongly Disagree	Bit too much like big brother to me.
174			Viren	Singh	Neither agree nor disagree	Seems like adding a second driveway could have public benefits. What are the negative consequences of 2nd driveways? Should the bylaw be updated to allow more driveways without lengthy permission requests?	Neither agree nor disagree	

#	Hearings	Business	First Name	Last Name	Do you think we should make it clear that council permission is required to create a second driveway?	Do you have any other thoughts on clarifying this rule about second driveways that you want to share?	Do you think we should add an option in the bylaw for drivers to potentially provide their registration number for free, timed car parks?	Do you have any other comments you would like to provide on this suggested parking management tool?
175			chris	newnham	Agree	some housing areas do not have street parking	Agree	but you have vehicles that monitor by registration also
176			Lisa	Higgins	Disagree		Agree	
177			Trish	Stockley	Agree		Strongly Disagree	
178			Stewart	Gebbie	Agree		Strongly Disagree	While we're almost there, I don't think we're ready to take another step towards parking armageddon quite yet. I accept this may be useful in the future, but not now. We need to focus on making the city liveable at the moment, not harder. If we do this now, we won't need it in the future because there won't be a busy city centre to worry about. Make the city thrive, then worry about dealing with the issues that presents. Parking is already a hotly contentious topic with rules that have been mucked around with so much, many people have just given up coming into town altogether. They go to the malls, where parking is free. And why wouldn't they? The only people who come into the city work here, but I've even lost numerous employees to businesses out of the city centre because parking is too hard and public transport doesn't exist when and where they need it.
179			D	Adnitt	Strongly disagree	Nope there are enough restrictions on property owners.	Strongly Disagree	
180			Dyanne	Dixon	Neither agree nor disagree	BUT ...how long do they have to wait for a decision? Council is notorious for the length of time it takes to approve things like this. If it can't be dealt with speedily and efficiently, don't do it.	Agree	
181			Corrin	Quinlan	Agree	Council approval means viability and aesthetics are also taken into account	Agree	This will make getting a carpark easier for everyone. However, there should be some considerations given to those parking to attend and event, that maybe longer than the usually time span.
182			Kate	Gunning	Strongly disagree		Strongly Disagree	Please make parking free for all. However if it has to be paid, give us an option to pay with coins ... some of us still use cash for budgeting purposes and don't want to use cards
183			Craig	Morris	Agree	OK if the person wanting the extra driveway is going to pay for the changes to	Strongly Disagree	It's just another way for Council to gather extra revenue by charging twice

#	Hearings	Business	First Name	Last Name	Do you think we should make it clear that council permission is required to create a second driveway?	Do you have any other thoughts on clarifying this rule about second driveways that you want to share?	Do you think we should add an option in the bylaw for drivers to potentially provide their registration number for free, timed car parks?	Do you have any other comments you would like to provide on this suggested parking management tool?
						the affected footpath. If there are any safety concerns (e.g. 2nd driveway is too close to a corner) then Council should deny the request.		for the same space if the original car leaves before their time has expired.
184			Jan	Fraundorfer	Neither agree nor disagree		Disagree	
185			Henri	David	Strongly agree		Strongly Disagree	
186			Peter	Archer	Agree		Agree	Fairer
187			Person	A	Disagree	what people do on their own land, and where it doesnt impact neighbours, should be no business of the council especially given the high prices charges for their monopoly permission. the question should be "do you think the council permission should be required to create a second driveway?"	Strongly Disagree	so "to make it easier for us" you want to collect a large database of where people are and at what times? you dont think this has serious privacy implications? utterly pointless spend (and you know it will be millions) as those wishing to undermine it will simply provide an incorrect rego. Do you even think about this?
188			Andrea	Simmons	Agree		Neither agree nor disagree	Hard to implement for visitors
189	Yes		Paul	Hickson	Agree	Agree provided you allow a second or wide driveway where it is sensible. e. g. In 2003 we added an extra living area on our property at the Mount and at the time we had to provide 4 off street carparks. To allow better access we widened the driveway so at least two vehicles had access at one time. Works well.	Agree	
190			Louise	Nicholson	Don't know / unsure		Strongly Disagree	Over regulation, we are not a nanny state
191			J	SEO	Neither agree nor disagree		Strongly agree	
192	No	The Crown and Badger	Jessica	Mackenzie	Neither agree nor disagree	I do think that if a property is large enough and they want a second driveway, should it matter that much? Permission would be nice, then you can make sure that the footpath is kept to the standard it needs to be	Disagree	As long as the person is able to move their car if they genuinely need to be in an area for a while
193			Wendy	Michael	Agree		Agree	
194			Greg	Bold	Neither agree nor disagree	Providing it meets construction requirements why is this even an issue?	Strongly Disagree	Call me cynical but once these "free" meters are installed it will only be a matter of time before someone in council decides "they are there already so we might as well charge"
195			Andrea	Macdonald	Neither agree nor disagree	If it gets another vehicle away from parking in our narrow street it's a good idea to have a second driveway	Disagree	You have to rely on people's honesty sometimes
196			Callum	Hume	Agree		Strongly Disagree	
197			Hamish	Gleeson	Strongly agree		Strongly Disagree	

#	Hearings	Business	First Name	Last Name	Do you think we should make it clear that council permission is required to create a second driveway?	Do you have any other thoughts on clarifying this rule about second driveways that you want to share?	Do you think we should add an option in the bylaw for drivers to potentially provide their registration number for free, timed car parks?	Do you have any other comments you would like to provide on this suggested parking management tool?
198			Kim	Martelli	Agree		Disagree	There's no parking as there is and the bus routes are far from adequate!
199			Levi	Clothier	Agree		Disagree	"Cost-effective" just means someone is losing a job. The fact we have to pay for parking in the city is a joke in and of itself.
200			Elizabeth	Blankenaar	Strongly agree	what a mess it would be if people just did that willy nilly	Strongly agree	Please drop paid parking in town! It is killing our downtown! Please encourage us to come back to shop there. Please find other revenue streams.
201			Mary	Dobson	Disagree	If a second driveway isnt a main drive way and therefor used less then how does it imposed ans inconvenience. Would it not make sense to allow this more, especailly since the council are removeing more and more carparks and conveniances.	Disagree	Its already off putting enough to people to come into our city, since our main roads have been depleted and lanes removed, cant see to exit roads etc. does this not drive them away further.
202			Olivia	Aplin	Don't know / unsure		Strongly Disagree	
203			Michelle	Sansbury	Neither agree nor disagree	I thought it was already clear..	Strongly Disagree	Worry about it in the future, use your money a bit less wastefully now
204			Sam	Gunn	Agree	Doesn't seem a big issue if people want a second driveway.	Strongly Disagree	Your parking has killed the tauranga CBD and you want to have our parking in the mount. Pull your heads in.
205			Cameron	Cox	Agree		Agree	
206			Ryan	Spicer	Neither agree nor disagree		Strongly Disagree	So by timing people and cutting off their time , eg at the beach with their family . Just makes things harder again .
207			Reece	Plane	Agree		Agree	I think it depends, it is just another layer of administrative if you are just quickly ducking into a shop. But if it's going to ensure the parks STAY FREE. Then I think it's worth it
208		Fancy That	Bill	Campbell	Strongly agree		Agree	Those people who have been fined by the NPR cars have up until now been done so illegally or are there different laws for the Mount and the CBD?
209			Angela	Newcombe	Strongly disagree		Strongly Disagree	The city is dying as a result of your parking strategy
210			Brent	Musk	Strongly agree		Strongly Disagree	
211			Rust	Malto	Neither agree nor disagree	I don't care	Strongly Disagree	No piss off, man. I was in a meeting last month in the stupid city and cause we ran over time my allocated paid parking period expired and I got charged for something out of my control. You want to bring tourism and life back to the city yet you make it the

#	Hearings	Business	First Name	Last Name	Do you think we should make it clear that council permission is required to create a second driveway?	Do you have any other thoughts on clarifying this rule about second driveways that you want to share?	Do you think we should add an option in the bylaw for drivers to potentially provide their registration number for free, timed car parks?	Do you have any other comments you would like to provide on this suggested parking management tool?
								hardest place in the north island to have a shred of anxiety-free fun.
212			Cheryl	Mercer	Neither agree nor disagree		Disagree	Resident parking permits should be available for Te Papa residents in restricted parking zones to allow longer parking for people who live in those hours. 2 hour limited parking isn't practical or realistic for residents or elderly
213			Danielle	Duncan	Disagree	When someone owns a property they should be able to add the necessary things for that If people need to pay to park on the street more people will want a secondary drive way	Don't know / unsure	I think we shouldn't be having to pay for parking Why would people wanna go out and enjoy our city when there's constantly something to pay to do that People who work in these areas of paid parking are spending half there pay checks to be able to park and go to work how is that right
214			Renee	Campbell	Don't know / unsure	Haven't considered this as only have one car so one driveway suffices. Where I live, most keep their boats/caravans on their properties anyway, even with a single drive. Would be a good idea to get clearance for it to ensure no damage caused to pipelines and drainage, as a safety precaution, but if the property has room for it, and roadside coverage enough for it, I don't imagine refusals should be arbitrarily withheld. Safety first, in my opinion.	Agree	I think this would be bothersome for some people, but overall is a reasonable idea as would encourage users to stick to the time limits so parking isn't taken up by people taking their good sweet time to return to their cars. Could also make the job easier for the parking wardens, too, as they will be able to easily track who are repeat offenders of overstaying their limits, and save time overall with monitoring.
215			J	W	Disagree		Disagree	
216			Tracy	Winch	Agree	Second driveways remove street parking making it harder for everyone.	Disagree	
217			Rebekah	Darroch	Strongly agree		Strongly agree	
218			Juan	Koekemoer	Strongly agree		Strongly Disagree	
219			Richard	Bol	Strongly agree		Neither agree nor disagree	Or provide a (free) ticket with a start and / or end time on it. May not be environmentally friendly tho.
220			Leon	Minty	Neither agree nor disagree		Strongly Disagree	Too much hassle for a quick stop in town, it will make it less convenient
221			Diane	Rogers	Agree		Neither agree nor disagree	
222			Jessie	Hammond	Neither agree nor disagree	Is this just changing things to make it clearer or changing the rule?	Strongly Disagree	Sounds like a giant waste of time, an invasion of privacy & the council being lazy
223			Callum	Andrew	Agree		Strongly Disagree	It seems like this change to the parking bylaw would be used to introduce more

#	Hearings	Business	First Name	Last Name	Do you think we should make it clear that council permission is required to create a second driveway?	Do you have any other thoughts on clarifying this rule about second driveways that you want to share?	Do you think we should add an option in the bylaw for drivers to potentially provide their registration number for free, timed car parks?	Do you have any other comments you would like to provide on this suggested parking management tool?
								charges for parks that are currently free/untimed.
224			David	Buckley	Neither agree nor disagree	WHY ?	Strongly agree	Parking meters are the reason I do not visit the CBD
225			M	H	Agree		Neither agree nor disagree	The cost of administration will need to be considered in managing this program. Unless you can book on line.
226			Kendall	Dons	Agree		Strongly agree	
227			Dean	Stewart	Strongly agree		Strongly agree	
228			Stuart	Smith	Don't know / unsure		Disagree	
229			Valda	Munro	Strongly agree		Agree	
230			Sarah	Carr	Disagree	Enough council interference already	Neither agree nor disagree	
231			Scott	Illingworth	Agree		Neither agree nor disagree	
232			Pete	Dunne	Strongly agree		Strongly agree	
233			Mary	Capamagian	Don't know / unsure	I'm unaware of the problem a 2nd crossover causes.	Don't know / unsure	Agree Only if one can still the Paymypark app to provide the information. NB i think the parking meter on Grey St in what used to be the 15 minute parking (near Spring St) doesn't say it's 15 minutes only. Although I use the app sometimes i have to press the button to check the rules for the parking area. That one didn't tell me (that I could see). It's a good place to park to return a library book.
234			Viv	Maclaren	Neither agree nor disagree		Disagree	It's working now. Leave it alone
235			Maryanna	Casey	Strongly agree		Agree	
236			Bill	Evaroa	Strongly agree		Disagree	
237	Yes		Claire	Dale	Strongly agree	If it's law it should be made clear	Strongly agree	Enforcement of parking needs updating. Tauranga should follow Queenstown's model for enforcement where tickets are sent to the owner of the vehicle for parking violations from CCTV footage. A ticket on the windscreen is dangerous for the wardens and outdated. Mobility parking needs to be increased in CBD areas even when other parking is removed in order to encourage people to use public transportation
238			MaryAnn	Gunter	Agree	no	Neither agree nor disagree	provide more free parking areas
239			Teresa	Snell	Strongly agree	I thought it already was a rule so am surprised to learn this is not so	Agree	There would be less parking issues if more parking was available. And that also applied in residential areas. Why

#	Hearings	Business	First Name	Last Name	Do you think we should make it clear that council permission is required to create a second driveway?	Do you have any other thoughts on clarifying this rule about second driveways that you want to share?	Do you think we should add an option in the bylaw for drivers to potentially provide their registration number for free, timed car parks?	Do you have any other comments you would like to provide on this suggested parking management tool?
								are council allowing so much building without adequate parking? It is just creating problems now and for the future.
240			anonymous	commentator	Strongly disagree	again, stop being prescriptive!	Strongly Disagree	no too much information... and please consider changing your park meters as not everyone carries a phone with data or a credit card.
241			Trish	Souter	Agree		Neither agree nor disagree	
242			koenraad	groot	Strongly agree		Strongly agree	
243			Richard	West	Agree		Agree	why not, obviously people are flaunting the rules only seems fair to make the free parking available for a certain time then move on to encourage turnover for the business owners. otherwise everyone will just continue to go to the big malls because someone hogs all the key parks (not shopping) all day
244		(personal submission, Mount Business Association submission number 314)	Claudia	West	Strongly agree	Yes, as this might have a negative impact to the community, loss of car parks etc. so yes, it should be on a case by case as all areas/streets are different.	Strongly agree	Yes, I don't have an issue with this. If it means we can keep free parking, even if it is time limited, then it's a win win for the city.
245			Andrew	Sommerville	Strongly agree		Strongly agree	
246			Belinda	Ruddell	Strongly agree		Agree	
247			this needs to be	anonymous	Agree	so long as you don't take the mick with the fees you will inevitably charge.....	Strongly Disagree	ALL parking needs to be free in the town centre, just like it is at the malls. Think about it. The public transport is not fit for purpose so people drive. You have destroyed the town centre with your endless "improvements" road disruptions, charging for parking etc. so suggest you remove ALL parking charges in town to level the playing field, or don't be surprised that properties vacate.
248		Polar Dessert Bar	Steffi	Clark	Strongly agree		Agree	
249			Cindy	McQuade	Disagree	Stop with your meddling and unnecessary rules.	Strongly Disagree	Stop with the laws. You are just making everyone hate you.
250			Karl	Ward	Strongly agree		Neither agree nor disagree	
251			Launa	Seddon	Agree	The maintenance of foot paths is an issue and the 'expectation' of traffic exiting is easier with less exits.	Agree	Some people do use the Free areas as their persona parking space on a permanent basis.

#	Hearings	Business	First Name	Last Name	Do you think we should make it clear that council permission is required to create a second driveway?	Do you have any other thoughts on clarifying this rule about second driveways that you want to share?	Do you think we should add an option in the bylaw for drivers to potentially provide their registration number for free, timed car parks?	Do you have any other comments you would like to provide on this suggested parking management tool?
								Time limits or provision for exception should be allowed. i.e. I am putting in a new driveway and need my car on the street.
252			Debbie	Gillbanks	Agree		Strongly Disagree	Additional admin for car owners having to register and have apps downloaded etc. Are Council proposing this initiative, so that when they take away all our free parking areas, they can easily fine people?
253			Jim	Nielsen	Strongly disagree	We have enough laws	Strongly Disagree	No parking meters in the Mt
254			Aifai	Esera	Neither agree nor disagree		Strongly Disagree	
255			Craig	Williams	Neither agree nor disagree	in high density areas in the mount, these may remove car parks that are already under pressure. I s suburbs where limited public space (and requirement for public parking), don't see this as being such a big issue.	Strongly Disagree	Sounds like more time wasted when you are just stopping at a 10 min park to post something. I already waste 2mins and 50 cents to stop at my local coffee stop to pay for parking in Durham street on way through CBD.
256			Janice	Bailey	Agree		Agree	
257			Glen	Sheaff	Agree	It should be looked at.	Disagree	Can it not be linked to NZTA toll? Feels like a bit of double handling. Not a fan of paring meters in general. I would suggest using some sort of sensor based parking and only target over parkers but incease the fine. Allow Min 3 hours free as this will encourage people to use the city and stay for a while, I feel the whole idea is to get the citys moving and getting people back to them and this would help for sure, enough time to walk , dine and shop. This is a much better option than making people have to leave over a few \$\$\$\$\$\$
258			Chrussy	McNeill	Strongly disagree	Lots of homes have several adults owning cars. They all need to be parked on or in front of pro	Neither agree nor disagree	
259			James	Gibb	Agree	As long as it is just permission (with a small admin fee if appropriate) rather than a full consenting issue.	Agree	
260			Matt	McGehan	Neither agree nor disagree		Strongly Disagree	
261			Andrew	Southam	Agree	It's a change to the property, so should go through the same process as if the resident wants to add a deck or extension	Agree	Yes, I thought this was already in place - I pay for my public parking by specifying my plate number - how else is the warden going to identify payment to the vehicle they are looking at. Apologies if I mis-understand

#	Hearings	Business	First Name	Last Name	Do you think we should make it clear that council permission is required to create a second driveway?	Do you have any other thoughts on clarifying this rule about second driveways that you want to share?	Do you think we should add an option in the bylaw for drivers to potentially provide their registration number for free, timed car parks?	Do you have any other comments you would like to provide on this suggested parking management tool?
262			Tanya	Chaffey	Neither agree nor disagree		Agree	This would then stop workers from parking in prime spots that shoppers could be using
263			Dennis	Monks	Agree	As long as the process of checking with the council is not strenuous and costly.	Strongly Disagree	This is a costly policy, which will require funding and probably from charging for parking. There are cheaper technologies that could be looked at that is not a burden on the community.
264			James	Anthony	Agree		Agree	
265			Heke	Parata	Strongly disagree		Disagree	
266			Richard	Baldwin	Disagree		Disagree	If you do away with parking charges altogether you would not need as many parking wardens and the city would thrive if parking was free for cars. We cannot all ride scooters and bikes or catch a bus.
267			Tony	Bullot	Strongly disagree	The councils default position should be to allow anything that doesn't cause a problem to others. As long as the driveway follows building permit conditions, let them do it.	Neither agree nor disagree	Install cameras and use cost effective existing technology that will identify cars parked overtime without recording number plates. eg. Parquetry. Parking areas need more cameras to improve security anyway.
268			Reine	Ford	Disagree		Agree	
269			Joshua	Meyer	Disagree	You could have first second third driveways	Agree	Get more car parking
270			Mitchell	Handcock	Don't know / unsure		Strongly Disagree	Additional costs managing something like this for no funding in return. Benefits do not outweigh the costs.
271			Marie	Rhodes	Disagree	Right of access should allow a land owner to bring vehicles onto their property without having to pay the council consents to do so. Reduce redtape and fees.	Disagree	Reduce redtape and fees. Try to make life simpler for the public.
272			Tom	Rawson	Agree		Agree	
273			Vanessa	Davis	Strongly agree	Storm water management should be considered when allowing another driveway. More permeable paving should be used to alleviate the public storm water system.	Strongly agree	
274			Jan	Johnstone	Agree		Strongly agree	It would be easy enough to add to the current parking app.
275			Keith	Fletcher	Neither agree nor disagree		Strongly Disagree	Parking should be free for at least 180minutes in all areas of the city you should be encouraging people to come to the city centre shopping precincts

#	Hearings	Business	First Name	Last Name	Do you think we should make it clear that council permission is required to create a second driveway?	Do you have any other thoughts on clarifying this rule about second driveways that you want to share?	Do you think we should add an option in the bylaw for drivers to potentially provide their registration number for free, timed car parks?	Do you have any other comments you would like to provide on this suggested parking management tool?
276			Alan	Wheeler	Agree		Strongly agree	
277			Mike	Rayner	Agree	Seems sensible so long as this does NOT involve your onerous and expensive consenting process.	Agree	Yes, but ALL carparking in the CBD should be free (for a varying time limit) at all times. Again, you have (and still are) killed the city with paid parking when the malls are free - that is where the shops and the people migrate to. I understand that you do not want people parking all day, but you do NOT need to charge everyone to achieve this
278			Jane	Palmer	Agree		Strongly Disagree	Parking charges are already over the top and I don't support the removal of the free parks that was hinted at in the text. I also disagree with extending any parking charges beyond the current parking zone - if the main aim of parking fees is to ensure a good turnover of spaces in the CBD, there is no justification to extend paid parking outside of that zone and penalise workers further. The focus should be on providing better alternatives to driving (ie better public transport, improved cycling facilities, car sharing schemes). Also request that you bring back the early bird parking option in the car parks - just to ease the general costs on those that do need to drive to work (I am not one of them - only use my car occasionally and generally cycle to work).
279			Heather	Firth	Agree	Yes - it should be clear - but people should be allowed a second driveway unless there is a really good reason to deny it	Strongly Disagree	Let's make parking as easy as possible - if you want people in the city, then make it easy for them
280			suz	mcq	Agree		Neither agree nor disagree	
281			Mrs	Dale	Agree	If it's a law people should be notified	Disagree	Your parking meters already take the licence number
282			rod	bailey	Agree	common sense	Strongly Disagree	its just another step towards oppressive state control.
283			Megan	Lilley	Strongly disagree		Strongly Disagree	Absolutely not. Stop surveilling the people that pay your wages. No no no. Stop this overreach. Stop it now
284			Mirjam	van de Klundert	Agree		Agree	
285			Kathryn	Macdonald	Strongly agree	Part of the application should be to clarify it's use. Parking for home business, area for disabled family members to access the	Strongly Disagree	Shouldn't have to when parking in public areas. Potential for misuse is too high

#	Hearings	Business	First Name	Last Name	Do you think we should make it clear that council permission is required to create a second driveway?	Do you have any other thoughts on clarifying this rule about second driveways that you want to share?	Do you think we should add an option in the bylaw for drivers to potentially provide their registration number for free, timed car parks?	Do you have any other comments you would like to provide on this suggested parking management tool?
						property, more suitable access for campervans, boats etc.		
286			Nikki	Barnard	Agree		Agree	
287			ELIZABETH	GORE CERDEIRA	Agree		Neither agree nor disagree	I agree to have this on free timed parking in front of businesses or high moving public places however I completely disagree when it is on Free parking on the outskirts of the city whereby workers who are not able to use the abysmal and inadequate public transport, could park all day. To pass this bylaw and then later come along and put limited free parking in the Avenues, Edgecombe road, Memorial park etc would be dishonest and cause major issues for all city workers. It feels like you are thinking of this bylaw to then down the line put in "limited free parking" which would mean nobody would be able to park on the outskirts of town and walk to work.
288			David	Buckley	Neither agree nor disagree		Agree	
289			Christine	Treacher	Strongly agree	Less roadside parking is available outside properties if people have 2 driveways. It would be much more logical, and cheaper, to just allow one wider one rather than installing 2.	Strongly agree	It might even encourage people not to abuse the length of time permitted in free carparks, thus freeing them up for other users.
290			Anita	Lepper	Strongly agree		Strongly Disagree	You are determined to stop us visiting the cbd's
291			Alan	Liddell	Strongly agree	Because (1) the property owner then has two places where others cannot park and (2) otherwise owners will start to believe they have a right to a turning part-circle with both ends on the road.	Strongly agree	Because free parking is a privilege. However, there is a big caveat. Council should stop removing carparks from the city and provide more, not less. I am not going to stop using my car and consequently, I come to the central city only when i have to. Otherwise I go to the Lakes or Bethlehem, where I live, or to Bayfair. Council is killing the central city.
292			Alana	Eady Paterson	Agree		Agree	
293			Lewis	McDuff	Agree	Whilst it could be done without controls we know human behaviour is not always like that. So a permit about suitability. Quality, should be considered. But councils should not be greedy with charging a fee that is not above reasonable	Agree	

#	Hearings	Business	First Name	Last Name	Do you think we should make it clear that council permission is required to create a second driveway?	Do you have any other thoughts on clarifying this rule about second driveways that you want to share?	Do you think we should add an option in the bylaw for drivers to potentially provide their registration number for free, timed car parks?	Do you have any other comments you would like to provide on this suggested parking management tool?
294			Richard	Lance	Strongly agree		Strongly agree	
295			Nathaniel	Padbury	Agree		Agree	
296			Mark	Hamilton	Agree		Strongly agree	
297			Vanessa	Hudson	Agree	I wanted to put a 2nd drive and my research showed you did need council consent to do so, therefore I thought this already applied. Seems that maybe not the case so yes I think the rules need to be clearer. They should also review and make clearer what needs to happen to put in a new driveway as some people just seem to do it and others "pay" to do it correctly.	Neither agree nor disagree	
298			Leanne	Elder	Agree	Public benefit is a very wide term	Strongly Disagree	This seems a poorly thought out, revenue focussed initiate. Invest in technology to support monitoring this rather than mandating "self reporting"
299			Paul	Prangley	Agree	Keep costs low to ensure compliance.	Strongly Disagree	Don't need to waste council resources and time policing free parks. First come first served is fair and those who complain can use parking buildings. This policy is merely pandering to those who feel entitled.
300			dave	Jennings	Disagree	what's wrong with it.as long as it looks good leave them alone.	Strongly Disagree	another waste of time and money.Why don't council get back to basics
301			Kayne	Henderson	Don't know / unsure		Agree	I have comments on parking in the CBD in general which I would like to share. Here is not the place but I would like to say that it is a shit show and lacks common sense and strategy.
302			Julia	Suter	Disagree	The required admin to execute such a rule would outweigh the benefits of restricting owners to upgrade their properties.	Agree	Already, there are countless staff needing to park somewhere every single day with no options as to register their rego whatsoever
303			Kathryn	Hugill	Disagree		Agree	
304			Jo	Veale	Strongly agree	This is a safety issue and so clearly should have council permission.	Neither agree nor disagree	I need more information on this. I feel like you have 'snuck' this question in here under the driveway one. Should this not be part of the paid parking submissions that should soon be open for Mount Maunganui?
305			Tania	van Oudenaaren	Disagree		Agree	
306			Derek	ORCHARD	Neither agree nor disagree		Strongly Disagree	Why it is just more data storage requirements for the council
307			Sandy	Scarrow	Neither agree nor disagree		Neither agree nor disagree	Tha Pay My Park app does the trick.

#	Hearings	Business	First Name	Last Name	Do you think we should make it clear that council permission is required to create a second driveway?	Do you have any other thoughts on clarifying this rule about second driveways that you want to share?	Do you think we should add an option in the bylaw for drivers to potentially provide their registration number for free, timed car parks?	Do you have any other comments you would like to provide on this suggested parking management tool?
308			Cherie	Palm	Strongly agree		Disagree	While this technology seems to be an attractive option it will more than likely lead to job losses and remove opportunities for employment as the city grows. There should be a balanced outlook which encompasses a combination to ensure there are no disadvantages to employees or potential employees.
309		Sabal	Lynley	Powell	Strongly agree		Neither agree nor disagree	
310	Yes		Matthew	Hayward	Strongly disagree		Strongly Disagree	Ridiculous information gathering
311			Nicki	O'Donoghue	Agree		Strongly Disagree	This will be costly.. if parking wardens are known to be around a lot (as they used to be in the Mount) people are unlikely to stay much longer than their allocated time anyway. Wardens just need to be present
312			Shirley	Hampshire	Strongly agree		Strongly Disagree	This is going over the top. Cars would still need to be checked manually as not everyone (in fact probably no-one) would bother registering their car
313			Susan	Hodkinson	Disagree	I have a driveway that is unoperable according to the council. I can come out forward. My neighbour on fee simple isn't allowed to use her original driveway either - Why?	Agree	Unless illegal parking is clamped down on this limitation on legal parkers is unfair. Build more parking spaces ie around Blake Park I ger really "mad" when the traffic islands yellow lines, my driveways gets used for "stranger" parking usually in big vehicles + then to add insult to injury they litter my neighbourhood, I don't invade their hood.
314	Yes	Mount Business Association	Claudia	West	Neither agree nor disagree	NA for MBA to comment on this one.	Strongly agree	Yes, if this is a tool to be able to more effectively monitor free time limited parks, while keeping free parking down the Mount. MBA is in full support of this! Great idea! use technology to improve our systems rather than making people pay for parks.
315			Hamish	Carter	Neither agree nor disagree	NA for MBA to comment on this one.	Strongly agree	Yes, if this is a tool to be able to more effectively monitor free time limited parks, while keeping free parking down the Mount. MBA is in full support of this! Great idea! use technology to improve our systems rather than making people pay for parks.
316			Lauren	Turner	Neither agree nor disagree		Agree	

#	Hearings	Business	First Name	Last Name	Do you think we should make it clear that council permission is required to create a second driveway?	Do you have any other thoughts on clarifying this rule about second driveways that you want to share?	Do you think we should add an option in the bylaw for drivers to potentially provide their registration number for free, timed car parks?	Do you have any other comments you would like to provide on this suggested parking management tool?
317			Steph	Macdonald	Strongly agree	If its a rule make it clear, but I hope there isnt a bunch of unnecessary red tape!	Strongly agree	Yip its pretty quick and easy, Ive done it in other areas

Submissions on the Street Use Policy

#	Hearing	Business	First Name	Last Name	How strongly do you agree, or disagree, that we should phase in charges across the city for the businesses that use public streets and footpaths?	Can you share with us why you gave this answer?	How strongly do you agree, or disagree, that we should make public dining spaces smoke and vape free?	Can you share with us why you gave this answer?	Is there anything else you think we should consider if we put this policy in place?	How strongly do you agree, or disagree, that we should encourage residents to plant their berms, and stop using artificial turf	Could you share with us why you gave this answer?
1			Anonymous	Anonymous	Strongly agree	If businesses are using the space to gain revenue then it should come at a fee	Strongly agree	Smoking and vaping is harmful. People who choose not to fill their bodies with the substances found in cigarettes and vapes should be allowed to do so while eating at a restaurant/cafe outside.		Neither agree nor disagree	I don't see it being a problem either way. I've only seen a few properties use artificial grass so doesn't seem too big of a problem at the moment
2			Andrea	Simmons	Agree		Agree			Disagree	It's their own property
3			Cathy	Donnelly	Neither agree nor disagree		Strongly agree	Really hate when you are spending your hard earned money to enjoy some time supporting local businesses and have it ruined if you want to sit outside by smoke and vaping.		Strongly agree	Vehemently opposed to the use of plastic grass in our city. Such an environmental disaster in terms of our biodiversity and microplastics into our precious ocean. Take a step further and ban it !
4			N	Morrison	Neither agree nor disagree	I'm concerned that businesses will react badly to an extra cost and stop having outdoor seating which would be a real shame for the community.	Strongly agree	All outdoor dining areas should be smoke and vape free for the health and enjoyment of all diners.	Outdoor dining areas still need to respect footpath users by not cluttering the footpath with furniture, signs and menu boards. This is not managed well along The Strand or in the Mount currently. Restaurants with a balcony over a	Agree	Had no idea people used artificial grass on their berms.

#	Hearing	Business	First Name	Last Name	How strongly do you agree, or disagree, that we should phase in charges across the city for the businesses that use public streets and footpaths?	Can you share with us why you gave this answer?	How strongly do you agree, or disagree, that we should make public dining spaces smoke and vape free?	Can you share with us why you gave this answer?	Is there anything else you think we should consider if we put this policy in place?	How strongly do you agree, or disagree, that we should encourage residents to plant their berms, and stop using artificial turf	Could you share with us why you gave this answer?
									footpath and not actual outdoor dining on the street should not have to pay for street maintenance costs.		
5	Yes		Paul	Hickson	Neither agree nor disagree		Disagree			Agree	Grass grows well in the Tauranga region and at the Mount and Papamoa kikuyu thrives in the sandy and dry conditions.
6			Louise	Nicholson	Don't know / unsure		Strongly disagree	Most restaurants don't have a designated smoking area, where are people going to smoke if not on the street? Customers who smoke will choose not to go out, which will have a negative impact on the businesses	Ensuring there are smoking areas available at dining establishments	Strongly disagree	If the berm is on privately owned property then the property owner should have the right to maintain it as they wish. If it is on council land then the council should maintain it
7			Lynn	Sinclair	Disagree	Provided a business is not blocking a pathway having tables or merchandise out adds a vibrance to the street.	Strongly agree	Everyone should be able to enjoy outdoor dining without someone else's smoke in their face, just as for indoor dining.		Neither agree nor disagree	Grass in either format is a waste of good land. There should be a garden option.
8			J	SEO	Strongly agree		Strongly agree			Disagree	
9			Nathan	Bradshaw	Strongly agree		Agree			Strongly agree	
10		The Crown & Badger	Jessica	Mackenzie	Strongly agree	I think that its only fair that if you want to use a footpath then you pay for it. Tauranga Central does. While its not a common practice in all towns/cities, it should be	Agree	That would be nice, and would help nz be smokefree	Perhaps look at making some designated vaping/smoking areas within the city. especially restaurants, if	Agree	

#	Hearing	Business	First Name	Last Name	How strongly do you agree, or disagree, that we should phase in charges across the city for the businesses that use public streets and footpaths?	Can you share with us why you gave this answer?	How strongly do you agree, or disagree, that we should make public dining spaces smoke and vape free?	Can you share with us why you gave this answer?	Is there anything else you think we should consider if we put this policy in place?	How strongly do you agree, or disagree, that we should encourage residents to plant their berms, and stop using artificial turf	Could you share with us why you gave this answer?
						even across the region. The Mount footpaths are always so congested with diners too. It makes it really tricky to walk down there			their customers want to smoke, they should have a nearby space where they can		
11			Kimberley	Pilbrow	Agree		Strongly agree			Agree	
12			Wendy	Michael	Strongly disagree		Strongly agree			Agree	
13			Dean	Snelson	Strongly disagree	It's great how it is leave it alone and they do no more damage than anyone else	Strongly disagree	Leave it alone people can have a choice if they want to cap or not		Strongly disagree	
14			Greg	Bold	Agree	Where businesses encroach and use footpaths yes. Also mobile shops/cafes However. Also consider business premises already pay a weighted rate	Strongly agree	I actually find vape clouds worse than cigarette smoke in terms of impact on my breathing from second hand inhalation		Neither agree nor disagree	If council dictates the berm then it should be their care
15			Andrea	Macdonald	Neither agree nor disagree	In some places it's almost impossible to walk thru the tables and chair. There should be a limit on how many on the footpath and if you allow it then they shud pay for the usage	Strongly agree	Don't want to be covered in vape smoke walking on the footpath		Agree	Manly for drainage
16			Callum	Hume	Strongly disagree		Strongly disagree		Do not remove any carpark. Increasing parking, Do not charge for parking.	Agree	
17			Hamish	Gleeson	Strongly disagree		Strongly agree	Nothing worse than having a wine or food over at Mount Main Street and smelling the wafting cigarette smoke as you try and enjoy a meal. It'd be better		Strongly agree	

#	Hearing	Business	First Name	Last Name	How strongly do you agree, or disagree, that we should phase in charges across the city for the businesses that use public streets and footpaths?	Can you share with us why you gave this answer?	How strongly do you agree, or disagree, that we should make public dining spaces smoke and vape free?	Can you share with us why you gave this answer?	Is there anything else you think we should consider if we put this policy in place?	How strongly do you agree, or disagree, that we should encourage residents to plant their berms, and stop using artificial turf	Could you share with us why you gave this answer?
								if there was either a dedicated smoking zone out back or they smoke before they arrive			
18			Kim	Martelli	Agree		Strongly disagree	This should be up to the business owner to decide, not council.		Neither agree nor disagree	
19			Levi	Clothier	Disagree	I believe businesses should be able to utilise the space in front of their building in order to cater to their customers and offer an outdoor seating area etc.	Strongly agree	Although I vape and used to smoke, I don't believe diners/ customers should have to smell smoke/ vape while they eat.	There should still be designated areas for smokers to be able to use.	Strongly agree	Artificial grass only looks acceptable for a short period of time before it becomes flattened and ugly. However it never looks quite right. Natural grass, when maintained properly, will always look much better.
20			Celia	Walker	Strongly disagree	I think this should be part of what business pay for rates. Most of these would be hospitality I would imagine and we don't want to discourage a lovely outdoor lifestyle vibe to our city!	Strongly agree	Health and safety of all. Children shouldn't be exposed to this. Supports NZ gov policy around smoking.	No.	Strongly agree	We need to support more impervious surfaces as our city intensifies its urban areas.
21			Elizabeth	Blankenaar	Strongly disagree	Our poor business in the CBD need all the help they can get just to stay open. They should be encouraged to use the footpaths to help with public engagement. It also brings a nice feel to the place (which it desperately needs!)	Agree	I don't want to exclude people but I want vaping to be as discouraged as possible. Smoking is just disgusting but vaping is the new danger growing among our young people.	Please make our downtown businesses feel supported after so much upheaval and post covid etc. You don't need that multi million dollar vanity project, you just need to listen to the feedback we give and act on it.	Agree	You should encourage by helping people with that. Make grass an easier option for them the. artificial. Growing wildflowers or veges would also be lovely.

#	Hearing	Business	First Name	Last Name	How strongly do you agree, or disagree, that we should phase in charges across the city for the businesses that use public streets and footpaths?	Can you share with us why you gave this answer?	How strongly do you agree, or disagree, that we should make public dining spaces smoke and vape free?	Can you share with us why you gave this answer?	Is there anything else you think we should consider if we put this policy in place?	How strongly do you agree, or disagree, that we should encourage residents to plant their berms, and stop using artificial turf	Could you share with us why you gave this answer?
22			Colin	Beard	Strongly disagree	You will definitely force small business out of business which should NOT be the Councils aim!!!	Strongly agree	Smoke free dining is extremely important and everyone knows that		Strongly disagree	The berms are TCC owned so dictating how a resident should or should not maintain TCC land is unfair seeing as they do not maintain it.
23			Maree	Walker	Disagree	Most businesses that use the street, are well aware of not blocking pedestrian access, and I don't believe that damage would be caused by these businesses.	Disagree	Smoking and vaping is an outside activity, so why punish those people by banning it?		Disagree	Who owns the berm? Is it the council? Do they maintain the berm, or do they expect residents to maintain it? If this is the case then why can't residents decide what they have on their berms?
24			Mary	Dobson	Strongly disagree		Strongly disagree	Freedom of choice. People like myself that are smoke and vape free choose not to sit next to vapers and smokers and can happily proceed to enjoy outdoors and indoors comfortably. Why should their choices bare impact on where they will and wont go.	Dont ruin out community by imposing a potential added loss to our businesses!	Neither agree nor disagree	You dont even allow owners or residents to park on their own berms why do you propose its okay to doctate what turff they use.
25			Olivia	Aplin	Strongly disagree		Strongly agree			Neither agree nor disagree	
26			Michelle	Sansbury	Strongly disagree	Because businesses in the city pay enough already, and need encouragement, instead of discouragement to keep on trading under difficult economic circumstances.	Strongly disagree	People who smoke should still be allowed freedom to do this outdoors.	Don't..	Disagree	I have never seen artificial turf on berms in my life! But at least it's better than rampant weeds.

#	Hearing	Business	First Name	Last Name	How strongly do you agree, or disagree, that we should phase in charges across the city for the businesses that use public streets and footpaths?	Can you share with us why you gave this answer?	How strongly do you agree, or disagree, that we should make public dining spaces smoke and vape free?	Can you share with us why you gave this answer?	Is there anything else you think we should consider if we put this policy in place?	How strongly do you agree, or disagree, that we should encourage residents to plant their berms, and stop using artificial turf	Could you share with us why you gave this answer?
27			Sam	Gunn	Strongly disagree	Go visit overseas cities and see how great the atmosphere is with street dining. We should be encouraging this not discouraging it.	Disagree	If it's outside it is fine.	Listen to the public opinion for once.	Disagree	If the council owns the berm they should be paying for this. Not the house owner.
28			Cameron	Cox	Agree		Strongly disagree			Strongly disagree	
29			Ryan	Spicer	Strongly disagree	Rates are enough, stop being greedy	Strongly agree			Strongly disagree	Is tcc going to look after the gardens ? No i dnt think so ! Leave it up to the owner to decide what to do.
30			Reece	Plane	Disagree		Strongly agree	They are our public foot paths, and the last thing anyone, especially children want is to walk past bars and getting smoke and vape blown on you. It's foul disgusting.		Strongly agree	
31			Bryce	Phillipson	Strongly agree	Businesses that benefit from the use of these spaces should pay. Although I question why those business are prioritised over members of the public who want to use the streets and footpaths	Strongly agree	Because smoking and vaping is disgusting and the smell ruins these spaces for a lot of people (having to walk through smoke/vapour	how it would be enforced	Agree	Because as our city continues to get covered in hard surfaces that don't absorb water the spaces that can be used to absorb water become more important.
32			Mitchell	Hales	Strongly disagree		Strongly agree			Strongly disagree	
33		Fancy That	Bill	Campbell	Strongly agree	Footpaths on Mount Mainstreet are far too narrow for dining and drinking plus pedestrians and cyclists.	Agree			Agree	
34			Angela	Newcombe	Strongly disagree	The cbd is dying	Disagree		Try bot to destroy the cbd further	Strongly disagree	Unless you are going to maintain it
35			Sandy	Ward	Strongly disagree	With tables outside if gives the entire street a	Strongly agree	All streets outside all shops should be	If people want to smoke or vape	Strongly agree	Artificial grass looks shocking plus u try

#	Hearing	Business	First Name	Last Name	How strongly do you agree, or disagree, that we should phase in charges across the city for the businesses that use public streets and footpaths?	Can you share with us why you gave this answer?	How strongly do you agree, or disagree, that we should make public dining spaces smoke and vape free?	Can you share with us why you gave this answer?	Is there anything else you think we should consider if we put this policy in place?	How strongly do you agree, or disagree, that we should encourage residents to plant their berms, and stop using artificial turf	Could you share with us why you gave this answer?
						completely different feel. It feels like a holiday mode, & real friendly		smoke & vape free. I have COPD & many times just window shopping I have to move onto the road to dodge the smoke. It also drifts into shops which is disgusting.	they can do it at home. Needs to be banned in ALL public areas.		picking up a dog poo on Artificial grass..... YUK
36			Sonje	Steer	Strongly disagree	Why would you? Companies need to make money for the hood of society. Govt and councils benefit from companies doing well. Don't negate that. Everyone will be better off if you don't make charges.	Strongly agree	I have been inside shops which are retail and at times it smelt of cigarette....because the shop next to it was a cafe and smokers smoke wafted into the shop. Not cool. Also it sends a clear message to vapers to be considerate as vapers tend to believe their smoke is harmless and they need a mindset change.		Strongly disagree	I disagree because if someone cares enough to lay artificial grass they are tidy kiwis and are enhancing our landscape - far better than the many unkempt berms from disinterested people.
37			Tony	New	Disagree	Businesses already struggle to survive in Tauranga, why make it harder for them.	Strongly disagree	Public dining should be available to everyone not based on whether you have an addiction or not and its outdoors.		Strongly agree	real grass and turf drains better
38			pam	Lees	Strongly disagree	It is tough enough for business's without putting in more requirements	Strongly agree	it is making it healthy for everyone		Agree	We need to think about the environment
39			Brent	Musk	Disagree		Strongly disagree	Some people, including myself, really enjoy going for a beer and	Don't control people's use and enjoyment in public areas.	Strongly agree	

#	Hearing	Business	First Name	Last Name	How strongly do you agree, or disagree, that we should phase in charges across the city for the businesses that use public streets and footpaths?	Can you share with us why you gave this answer?	How strongly do you agree, or disagree, that we should make public dining spaces smoke and vape free?	Can you share with us why you gave this answer?	Is there anything else you think we should consider if we put this policy in place?	How strongly do you agree, or disagree, that we should encourage residents to plant their berms, and stop using artificial turf	Could you share with us why you gave this answer?
								enjoying a cigarette or vape at the same time, if restaurants that have tables outside that are no smoking I would elect to go a bar or restaurant that does have smoking outside.			
40			Rust	Malto	Strongly disagree	Business' have it hard enough, especially with the never ending roadworks going on. No need to charge the hard working man more when they're already struggling.	Disagree	The outdoor area has always been deemed the 'smokers area'. You can't shun them out to walk 100 meters down the road to have a smoke just cause little Johnny Jr is asthmatic and his mom wants to sit outside.	Giving the smokers a new place to be lol	Neither agree nor disagree	Lol where are you getting this data, the tennis court?? Never met anyone in my life who has artificial grass on a berm so yeah go ahead and enforce it
41			Lloyd	Tuck	Agree		Agree			Agree	
42			Cheryl	Mercer	Agree	Council are responsible for maintenance and upgrading of the areas so business using the public areas should pay for the use to contribute to the upkeep. The areas aren't available for general public to use if not using the business so the upkeep shouldn't come from funds from rates.	Strongly agree			Agree	Environmentally friendly berm management should be encouraged, including wildflower planting, use of berms for productive planting such as vege and fruit as long as it doesn't encroach on sidewalk or impede on access for people with mobility restrictions
43			Vanessa	Millar	Neither agree nor disagree	I am unsure about the fairness of charging the inhabitants of Tauranga yet again. It seems like every proposal this	Strongly agree		The impact of rising costs on an already dead CBD.	Agree	

#	Hearing	Business	First Name	Last Name	How strongly do you agree, or disagree, that we should phase in charges across the city for the businesses that use public streets and footpaths?	Can you share with us why you gave this answer?	How strongly do you agree, or disagree, that we should make public dining spaces smoke and vape free?	Can you share with us why you gave this answer?	Is there anything else you think we should consider if we put this policy in place?	How strongly do you agree, or disagree, that we should encourage residents to plant their berms, and stop using artificial turf	Could you share with us why you gave this answer?
						council brings up is taking away something or charging us more.					
44			Danielle	Duncan	Strongly disagree	As someone who works on 2nd Ave I am unable to park by my work as for the 2 hour paid limit and can not afford to pay for a whole day of parking I also find as a customer for these places I am not wanting to go out and shop/enjoy them as I'm to worried about car parking fees	Neither agree nor disagree			Disagree	Everyone should be entitled to how they want there property's to look especially when there spending so much to even own a home
45			Renee	Campbell	Agree	If businesses are using public spaces on the street to earn more money, they should fairly contribute to the community for the use of that public space. If we as customers are using the space while using the business, then it's only fair that we paying the business for that use should have part of that charge passed on.	Strongly agree	Because as a type 1 diabetic, I am more aware than most just how deadly second hand smoke is to a person's health. In the case of a type 1, it could lead to heavier heart problems and eventual leg amputation if exposed to this on a continual basis. Making NZ smoke free is the best idea overall, but restricting use of these taxable drugs in public spaces is a great start.	There needs to be a greater element of public seating around town. As a disabled, mobility restricted resident, it is distressing to see that so much of the roadway (which I rely on to get from a to b, as the bus service and walking/scooting is unsuitable in my condition) is taken up by footpaths where I have to walk for "miles" to get from parking to a shop front without a place to rest and recover. Better use of our	Agree	Community gardens are few and far between. Our large corner berms and council owned (but barely managed) grass areas could be transformed if communities gor together and helped grow both visually appealing plants, and free-to-take fruits and vegetable plots. Even better if these could be taken care of by the communities as a whole rather than by individual residents as some are more able than others to maintain and care for plants. But a great idea to be allowed to grow our own food

#	Hearing	Business	First Name	Last Name	How strongly do you agree, or disagree, that we should phase in charges across the city for the businesses that use public streets and footpaths?	Can you share with us why you gave this answer?	How strongly do you agree, or disagree, that we should make public dining spaces smoke and vape free?	Can you share with us why you gave this answer?	Is there anything else you think we should consider if we put this policy in place?	How strongly do you agree, or disagree, that we should encourage residents to plant their berms, and stop using artificial turf	Could you share with us why you gave this answer?
									ultra wide footpaths should be considered for our mobility challenged, disabled and aging community. Also more mobility parking please!		than to let it all go to waste and just grass!
46			Andrea	Bennett	Strongly agree		Strongly agree	Health reasons and not nice to eat when someone is smoking		Strongly agree	
47			J	W	Strongly disagree		Neither agree nor disagree			Agree	
48			Garry	Hardaker	Don't know / unsure		Strongly agree	I think it's time we are smoke free Depending on which way the wind blows it often blows inside the premises too. I avoid sitting outside if people are smoking	No matter what you do you will never please everyone	Neither agree nor disagree	Council do not look after berms so why should they tell the homeowner how to look after their frontage. Some people, eg the elderly or sick etc are perhaps not able to care for their berm so artificial grass may suit them. In saying that I don't think I've ever seen artificial grass in Tauranga on berms.
49			Steve	Godfrey	Strongly agree	Businesses are the heart of our city. We should be supporting them and making their day to day running as best we can.	Strongly agree	There is nothing more off putting than having to inhale second hand smoke or vaping. It is unsociable and supporting users is seriously stupid!		Disagree	If artificial grass is maintained correctly it looks perfectly ok. Easy maintenance will enable owners to better keep their berms.
50			Tracy	Winch	Strongly agree	It's a public space that the businesses are getting free use of while	Strongly agree	As an asthmatic it is very hard walking past smokers.		Neither agree nor disagree	As the council doesn't maintain these berms it

#	Hearing	Business	First Name	Last Name	How strongly do you agree, or disagree, that we should phase in charges across the city for the businesses that use public streets and footpaths?	Can you share with us why you gave this answer?	How strongly do you agree, or disagree, that we should make public dining spaces smoke and vape free?	Can you share with us why you gave this answer?	Is there anything else you think we should consider if we put this policy in place?	How strongly do you agree, or disagree, that we should encourage residents to plant their berms, and stop using artificial turf	Could you share with us why you gave this answer?
						preventing the public free access					should be up to the resident to look after it how ever they choose
51			Rebekah	Darroch	Disagree		Strongly agree			Agree	
52			Juan	Koekemoer	Agree		Strongly agree			Strongly agree	
53			Richard	Bol	Strongly agree	It's public space businesses are using (to make a profit), fair enough they pay for the use of it .	Strongly agree	Smoke or vape stinks.		Disagree	Depending on the size artificial grass should be allowed especially for people that not have a lawn them self. IE, don't own a lawnmower
54			Al	Campbell	Agree	they are using space they do not own	Agree	It's intrusive and inconsiderate to those in the area who do not participate in those activities and it somewhat normalises that activity for children if it's in plain sight while dining		Strongly agree	No moisture absorption so the " run off " becomes someone elses problem...it's an act of convenience
55			Leon	Minty	Disagree	As long as enough space is provided for pedestrians to pass I don't see any issue there	Strongly agree			Agree	Mowing large berms can be a pain so can understand some owners frustrations
56			Diane	Rogers	Agree	phase in rather than the mess on Cameron Road which has been ongoing for months.	Strongly agree	who wants to dine outside and have smoke or vape drifting across your meal		Agree	for exactly the reasons you give about real grass and plants
57			Jessie	Hammond	Strongly disagree	Council should be doing all they can to encourage use in these areas	Agree	Last thing I want to do when I am enjoying a meal is to have to smell someone else's smoke. Sick of smokers throwing their used butts & vape canisters on the ground	No	Neither agree nor disagree	Is this really a problem? How many people actually use artificial grass anyway?

#	Hearing	Business	First Name	Last Name	How strongly do you agree, or disagree, that we should phase in charges across the city for the businesses that use public streets and footpaths?	Can you share with us why you gave this answer?	How strongly do you agree, or disagree, that we should make public dining spaces smoke and vape free?	Can you share with us why you gave this answer?	Is there anything else you think we should consider if we put this policy in place?	How strongly do you agree, or disagree, that we should encourage residents to plant their berms, and stop using artificial turf	Could you share with us why you gave this answer?
58			Kate	Barry Piceno	Strongly disagree	Mount Maunganui businesses pay commercial rates these should include reasonable use of the streets outdoor spaces as this adds to vibrancy and amenity of these areas-outdoor dining and other outdoor use is expected part of commercial areas. Businesses already pay high rates and most are SME"s , residents, struggling.	Neither agree nor disagree	If bars or restaurants want to cater to smokers/vapers should be on their own outdoor spaces	Who and how much will it cost to enforce-at nighttime people may be drinking, putting TCC officers in danger dealing with this-cost/benefit ratio of law in this regard not worth doing, as doesn't happen very often	Neither agree nor disagree	Berms left to residents to maintain and manage-in some places eg along Marine paade, there are high pedestrian traffic areas where grass doesnt do well in summer. TCC should encourage low water use, more climate friendly choice than grass-Mount and Papamoa should be in grasses/mulinbekkia-alot more resistant to drought, look a lot nicer
59			Callum	Andrew	Agree	<p>They are a public space which the business does not lease/own. The business is benefiting from the space so the customers using it should ultimately pay for this.</p> <p>There is also an inconvenience for pedestrians walking through these crowded areas, particularly downtown the mount.</p> <p>However, the charges levied should only be proportional to the maintenance costs of the area used.</p>	Strongly agree	Pedestrians do not have a choice to walk through these smoking/vaping areas currently as they occupy the footpath.	Not sure if this will push the problem elsewhere where people leave the restaurant to smoke/vape in the adjacent footpath area. A solution could be to make the downtown mount street smokefree entirely.	Strongly disagree	<p>The council often does a poor job of maintaining its own berms in public spaces. If the council was to maintain the berms outside properties regularly then it would make sense for it to control what grass/plants were used.</p> <p>If the property owners are responsible for maintaining the council berm, as the council implies, then they should have the right to opt for a lower maintenance option such as artificial grass, at</p>

#	Hearing	Business	First Name	Last Name	How strongly do you agree, or disagree, that we should phase in charges across the city for the businesses that use public streets and footpaths?	Can you share with us why you gave this answer?	How strongly do you agree, or disagree, that we should make public dining spaces smoke and vape free?	Can you share with us why you gave this answer?	Is there anything else you think we should consider if we put this policy in place?	How strongly do you agree, or disagree, that we should encourage residents to plant their berms, and stop using artificial turf	Could you share with us why you gave this answer?
											<p>their cost, as long as it is high quality.</p> <p>Some of these artificial grass options are recyclable, and many do have drainage holes, and can last longer than the 2-10 year range stated by the council. The case can be made that artificial berms are no worse for the environment than grass berms, as they both provide no support for wildlife, and the real grass additionally requires watering and mowing.</p>
60			David	Buckley	Disagree	Street venues are part of our outdoor climate ethos, yes put in restrictions area but not everyone wants to sit inside on a fine day	Strongly agree	Smoking and Vaping are an outrage and scourge on society		Agree	It looks better
61			M	H	Agree	User pays	Strongly agree		Please be consistent. Currently no parking fees at the Mount but there is parking downtown Tauranga.	Agree	
62			Kendall	Dons	Strongly disagree	You've taken away street parking don't kill them anymore	Strongly agree	Disgusting and unhealthy habit	Council should mow berms again if not being done	Strongly disagree	Again give the residents assistance if needed
63			Maxine	Smith	Agree		Strongly agree	I'm a non smoker and get headaches	Don't make the fees too much as businesses will	Neither agree nor disagree	

#	Hearing	Business	First Name	Last Name	How strongly do you agree, or disagree, that we should phase in charges across the city for the businesses that use public streets and footpaths?	Can you share with us why you gave this answer?	How strongly do you agree, or disagree, that we should make public dining spaces smoke and vape free?	Can you share with us why you gave this answer?	Is there anything else you think we should consider if we put this policy in place?	How strongly do you agree, or disagree, that we should encourage residents to plant their berms, and stop using artificial turf	Could you share with us why you gave this answer?
								from second hand smoke and vape	suffer financially. Make the amount fair		
64			Dean	Stewart	Strongly agree		Strongly agree			Strongly agree	
65			Stuart	Smith	Strongly disagree	Business already has enough costs to deal with. They also pay more rates than residential so you are punishing them further.	Agree	Not a smoker so don't want it.	Don't bring it in	Strongly disagree	In some cases artificial grass is better as the grass is not maintained anyway.
66			Valda	Munro	Strongly agree	Because they are benefitting financially for using a public space.	Strongly agree	Latest research shows that there is significant harm to nonsmokers to inhale 2nd hand smoke or vapor.	A smoking and vaping ban should extend to within all public / council property, e.g. bus shelters, children's playgrounds etc		We need to provide more 'sponge' areas. If standard grass is difficult to grow, there are other grass tyoe options.
67			Kevin	May	Agree		Strongly agree			Neither agree nor disagree	
68			David	Gaines	Agree	The smoke free policy in these areas has been a long time coming	Strongly agree	Businesses have abused the no smoking in restaurants by putting food outside.	No smoking signs either the business or the strreet	Strongly agree	I would like to plant wild flowers in the berm as they are starting to do in the uk. We need to support the bees colony
69			Bree	Neylon	Agree	Use of footpaths in the mount area zone 3 and 4 with the use of the footpaths. I find I avoid the main street due to how hard it is to walk down due to the seats and tables in the way. would be better if it was only on one side of the footpath but not both (like shop side leaving road side free.)	Strongly agree	public places should be smoke and vape free	n/a	Agree	being more environmental friendly is always great!
70			Marie	Petersen	Neither agree nor disagree	I do not know enough about the pros and cons to comment	Strongly agree	Get rid of smoking and vaping from our streets.	Limit the number of vape shops. Honestly do we	Strongly agree	Think much more environmentally about water

#	Hearing	Business	First Name	Last Name	How strongly do you agree, or disagree, that we should phase in charges across the city for the businesses that use public streets and footpaths?	Can you share with us why you gave this answer?	How strongly do you agree, or disagree, that we should make public dining spaces smoke and vape free?	Can you share with us why you gave this answer?	Is there anything else you think we should consider if we put this policy in place?	How strongly do you agree, or disagree, that we should encourage residents to plant their berms, and stop using artificial turf	Could you share with us why you gave this answer?
								Vaping laws need to be strengthened, as a psychologist I have children in primary schools who are vaping. Vaping is a health hazard to children and little is being done to stop it. Noone who is dining needs to be subjected to either smoking or vaping. Make it unlawful in all public places.	really need so many in Greerton - what were you guys thinking?? Get the bloody things gone.		management. Reference the China soak systems .. blimmin brilliant
71			Sarah	Carr	Strongly disagree	Businesses are already struggling adding in extra costs with be the death knell	Strongly disagree	It's open air, the numbers of people vaping and smoking is reducing. The cafe/bar staff will need to police this making their job roles more complicated		Agree	It makes sense
72			Scott	Illingworth	Agree		Strongly agree			Agree	
73			Ewen	Castle	Strongly disagree		Strongly agree	Vaping in particular is very popular particularly by younger people. It is not a safe alternative to smoking and spoils outdoor dining areas in my view.		Agree	
74		Expresso Partners Limited	Hayley	Mayhew	Agree	That way there is funding for maintenance for these high use areas	Strongly agree	There are more people that don't vape / smoke than those that do; public spaces		Strongly agree	There are many examples of natural planting benefiting wildlife, as well as the natural drainage (swales) to reduce

#	Hearing	Business	First Name	Last Name	How strongly do you agree, or disagree, that we should phase in charges across the city for the businesses that use public streets and footpaths?	Can you share with us why you gave this answer?	How strongly do you agree, or disagree, that we should make public dining spaces smoke and vape free?	Can you share with us why you gave this answer?	Is there anything else you think we should consider if we put this policy in place?	How strongly do you agree, or disagree, that we should encourage residents to plant their berms, and stop using artificial turf	Could you share with us why you gave this answer?
								should cater for the majority.			excess water in heavy downpours inundating the storm water system.
75			Lois	Stapleton	Strongly disagree	There are already requirements for the businesses to adhere to, and they appear to be doing that well. As long as there is sufficient room on the pavement for foot traffic, there is no problem. If charges are put in place by ?? square metre used??? some businesses would not be able to afford them and would reduce the number of tables/seats they have. We do not need to be reducing the seating areas for entertainment or food businesses. That will make our holiday atmosphere so uninviting.	Strongly disagree	Dining areas are outdoor spaces. Most people are very aware of other people's proximity, and are conscious of any smoke or vapour drifting in their direction. No more rules are needed for this.		Strongly disagree	The Berms are the council's responsibility, so if a resident is doing that work for you they should be able to plant (or not plant) what they like.
76			Pete	Dunne	Agree	Unclear if you are asking about if it is fair to charge or fair to phase in the charge but think it is the former. Fair to charge private business for use of public amenities like footpaths, just add it is fair for a business to charge the council for use of its amenities. Fwiw I think any phasing in should be fairly quick rather than protracted	Strongly agree			Strongly agree	Seems sensible what with the more extreme weather we seem to be in for. If possible I would go beyond encourage, why not require it?

#	Hearing	Business	First Name	Last Name	How strongly do you agree, or disagree, that we should phase in charges across the city for the businesses that use public streets and footpaths?	Can you share with us why you gave this answer?	How strongly do you agree, or disagree, that we should make public dining spaces smoke and vape free?	Can you share with us why you gave this answer?	Is there anything else you think we should consider if we put this policy in place?	How strongly do you agree, or disagree, that we should encourage residents to plant their berms, and stop using artificial turf	Could you share with us why you gave this answer?
						over several years businesses have been getting a free ride for however long already					
77			Mary	Capamagian	Agree	The business is earning from this use.	Strongly agree	I'm allergic to tobacco smoke. Also even inside, sometimes smoke enters from outside. I dislike breathing vape smoke. I'm concerned it may damage me.	I saw a pub owner or manager empty an ashtray from a table outside his pub into the gutter.	Agree	I agree with the plastic comment. NB I think "environmentally friendly" may be too unspecific.
78			Viv	Maclaren	Strongly disagree	Stop making us pay to live	Strongly agree	Hate them noth		Neither agree nor disagree	Will the. Ou cil mow the grass berms like they used to
79			Maryanna	Casey	Disagree	Give them a break.	Strongly agree			Agree	
80			Anne	Cato	Strongly agree	Currently many Downtown Mount businesses use the footpath for seated customers. Some businesses are considerate of the general public sharing the footpath but some do not. "Give them an inch and they take a yard".	Strongly agree	As a member of the public walking on the footpath I don't want to be exposed to smokers and vapers.	Start charging asap for footpath use beyond 1 square metre (to allow for a sign).	Disagree	Owners who holiday here might not be able to mow the grass berm. Encourage planting of kerbside gardens on this council owned land or have council mow the berms.
81			Lib	Neisham	Agree	It is being used as part of their business so as per any landlord a nominal charge should be paid as the bgeneral public cannot use these areas.	Agree			Neither agree nor disagree	Bit of a joke when you are intending people to build three houses on one lot. Where are the green areas on sections now going to be for stormwater drainage and plantings for birds and insects. I imagine minimal trees and gardens are going to be able to grown on such

#	Hearing	Business	First Name	Last Name	How strongly do you agree, or disagree, that we should phase in charges across the city for the businesses that use public streets and footpaths?	Can you share with us why you gave this answer?	How strongly do you agree, or disagree, that we should make public dining spaces smoke and vape free?	Can you share with us why you gave this answer?	Is there anything else you think we should consider if we put this policy in place?	How strongly do you agree, or disagree, that we should encourage residents to plant their berms, and stop using artificial turf	Could you share with us why you gave this answer?
											small cramped sections.
82			Alicia	Gordon	Agree	It's often tricky to walk through the tables, they take up so much extra space on the footpath and it's not fair to those who don't do the same.	Strongly agree	Clean air should never be removed, it's horrible for children to have to experience.	No.	Strongly agree	Makes perfect sense
83			Bill	Evaroa	Strongly agree		Strongly agree			Strongly agree	
84			Peter	Jones						Strongly agree	The TCC currently has a no care no responsibility attitude to Berms. I have a HUGE TCC owned berm that some yrs back i wanted to upgrade with trees and shrubs planted in a responsible manner but was denied the right. Told to just continue to mow the TCC land
85			Malcolm Geoffrey	Hanson	Disagree		Agree			Agree	
86	Yes		Claire	Dale	Strongly disagree	Parking at the shopping centers is free. Business pay Rates that should cover public road parking	Strongly agree	When smokers and capers exhale they don't realise how much it affects others		Disagree	Property owners who use fake grass are not watering it. They consider it an environmentally friendly option
87			Reece	Burgess	Strongly disagree	no, you have enough money. use what you have better.	Strongly disagree	I hate vaping & smoking. if I don't like it I will go some-place else & I do & yes I will bitch about it because I think they are rude and idiots. what I like less is over regulation. Society needs to	if you tax the sidewalk, you tax the customers, is this a growth strategy? think about it....you want bustling center but what have you goteconomics is not a mystery, think 3 feet ahead	Strongly disagree	mind your own business, you're the public servant, ask the people who have the lawn, they paid you also, don't ask those who don't have it like me??. Should you be allowed to have a car? none are enviro

#	Hearing	Business	First Name	Last Name	How strongly do you agree, or disagree, that we should phase in charges across the city for the businesses that use public streets and footpaths?	Can you share with us why you gave this answer?	How strongly do you agree, or disagree, that we should make public dining spaces smoke and vape free?	Can you share with us why you gave this answer?	Is there anything else you think we should consider if we put this policy in place?	How strongly do you agree, or disagree, that we should encourage residents to plant their berms, and stop using artificial turf	Could you share with us why you gave this answer?
								learn a degree of tolerance, they are society also, as much as I despise their habit, they pay taxes and have freedoms, I don't believe it is our business or right to impose.	please. Is it a side walk? well if it is, EITHER you should have tables on it or not.....Tax does not make it suddenly ok. Consider that we paid for this already, no second charge. maintenance cost is rubbish		friendly. who should have any say? if they are doing something to improve their berm, well its more than you are doing so back off.
88			MaryAnn	Gunter	Agree	They are taking up public walking space	Strongly agree	Nothing worse than smoke in your face, especially for those with health issues	Make all public areas smoke/vape free	Strongly agree	It makes sense as stated above
89			Philip	Bourne	Strongly agree	User pays and whatever the charges are they will be passed onto the customers. Such areas do add to the vibrancy of a city eating area and are common sights overseas.	Strongly agree	Why should people eating out and enjoying a meal have to put up with any smoke of vape odours?	Look at the non smoking statistics that in itself shows a strong pattern to be smoke free and we should be vape free while we are at it.	Strongly disagree	While I do not like artificial grass there are areas where berms dry up completely going brown in summer as they are not watered. Providing the artificial grass area is kept clean and weed free it still can enhance a property. I would apply an over rider of using only a quality looking artificial grass product and not the cheap ones, which just look like green matting.
90			Teresa	Snell	Strongly disagree	This seems like just another tax on small	Strongly agree	Pedestrians and other diners should	Instead of charging	Strongly agree	We need to reduce the risk of

#	Hearing	Business	First Name	Last Name	How strongly do you agree, or disagree, that we should phase in charges across the city for the businesses that use public streets and footpaths?	Can you share with us why you gave this answer?	How strongly do you agree, or disagree, that we should make public dining spaces smoke and vape free?	Can you share with us why you gave this answer?	Is there anything else you think we should consider if we put this policy in place?	How strongly do you agree, or disagree, that we should encourage residents to plant their berms, and stop using artificial turf	Could you share with us why you gave this answer?
						businesses and if they stop using the areas outside their cafes & restaurants in order to save on expenses then it will detract from the vibe and general atmosphere. If they decide to raise prices to cover this cost then it will make dining out even less affordable than it already is.		not be exposed to anything that may harm their health. Making outdoor areas the 'smoking/vaping' area is unfair to other diners who would like to eat outside without having to be exposed to these fumes.	businesses for this, there could be a set of rules / by-laws governing use of public streets and footpaths outside a business and fines for misuse and/or damage		environmental damage wherever possible and also plan better for heavy rain events. More people need to be educated on the disadvantages of using artificial grass.
91			Nicky	McCall	Strongly disagree	How many more charges can the council conjure up to make it even tougher for hospitality businesses to survive? How about allowing people to run their businesses successfully within current laws, bylaws and constant regulatory changes! Oh and add public parking fees & no where to conveniently park to the public, to disincentivise people to visit and spend their hard earned cash at said venues! How about working for the people not just the bottom line?	Neither agree nor disagree	I strongly dislike smoking, but isn't this a bit communist, controlling all the people?	How upsetting it will be to those that enjoy the odd smoke with their well earned Friday drink!	Agree	Grass is natural artificial grass isn't. This is sensible.
92			Koenraad	Groot	Strongly agree	If they use the street we all pay for it and they get the benefit.	Strongly agree			Strongly disagree	If you want to do that look after it yourselves.
93			anonymous	commentator	Strongly disagree	I often wonder how many staff writing policies travel overseas with open eyes? street cafes are places people go to sit outside and	Strongly disagree	As a non-smoker/non-vaper spending a lot of time overseas where you have more smokers, it	yes make sure cafes and bars have a certain opening hour like overseas countries... bars	Strongly disagree	we have no longer a nanny state... we had a change of government!

#	Hearing	Business	First Name	Last Name	How strongly do you agree, or disagree, that we should phase in charges across the city for the businesses that use public streets and footpaths?	Can you share with us why you gave this answer?	How strongly do you agree, or disagree, that we should make public dining spaces smoke and vape free?	Can you share with us why you gave this answer?	Is there anything else you think we should consider if we put this policy in place?	How strongly do you agree, or disagree, that we should encourage residents to plant their berms, and stop using artificial turf	Could you share with us why you gave this answer?
						watch people go by. the more people sit outside, the more will think it is a great place to go to. Why penalise those businesses trying to attract people to come to the city?		seems to work ok for outside areas to smoke as we need to stop adding rules by rules... and the next rule will be anyone coming by Diesel car will not allowed to sit down...	in germany will not close earlier than 1am even if there is only one customer... they may choose to not open every day but ensure it is open when supposed to be open.. how often you go at 9pm during the week for a beer and get told..sorry we are closing... you just drive customers away... why are cafes closed after 3pm in TGA?		
94			Liz	van der Westhuizen	Strongly agree	Make it fair and equal for all businesses.	Strongly agree	Even just walking past outdoor dining spaces where diners are smoking is annoying and a lot of the time the smoke blows back into the restaurant anyway, making the 'non-smoking' inside dining just as bad.	Fine the restaurants for not complying. In some countries, diners can smoke in a confined enclosed space inside the establishment, which has extractor fans installed. Then be sure to make smoking within x meters of a restaurant illegal as well or diners will simply step away from their outdoor table and smoke anyway.	Strongly agree	Real grass or even better, planting fruit trees is way better for the environment and for the community overall. Plant something beneficial.

#	Hearing	Business	First Name	Last Name	How strongly do you agree, or disagree, that we should phase in charges across the city for the businesses that use public streets and footpaths?	Can you share with us why you gave this answer?	How strongly do you agree, or disagree, that we should make public dining spaces smoke and vape free?	Can you share with us why you gave this answer?	Is there anything else you think we should consider if we put this policy in place?	How strongly do you agree, or disagree, that we should encourage residents to plant their berms, and stop using artificial turf	Could you share with us why you gave this answer?
95			Keith	Macey	Strongly disagree	This is scandalous. Council have no mandate to even consider this. Must be stopped.	Strongly agree	Has to be policed. Too many smokers just flaunt it.	Enforcement is essential.	Strongly disagree	You mow it then or do the weeding.
96			Brendon	McHugh	Strongly agree		Strongly agree			Strongly agree	
97			Israel	Bai	Strongly disagree	You're just going to make it harder for struggling business' to survive when all they're trying to do is entice people in.	Strongly agree			Strongly agree	
98			Karen	Towes	Agree	Mount Main Street cafes and restaurants often intrude on footpath. They add to the atmosphere so I agree with their presence but the areas they take up should be better controlled in places like outside Brew.	Strongly agree	Don't like vaping or smoking as I walk past		Strongly disagree	Can't be a big issue and those using artificial grass keep the area tidy. They're often in very small or awkwardly gaps and would the the only grass the owner would have to mow. Can't imagine those with artificial grass have caused issues for drainage on properties around them. See this as silly and unnecessary.
99			Holly	Allison	Strongly agree		Strongly agree	People using outdoor areas shouldn't have to breathe in chemicals exhaled by others. If people want to smoke and vape, this should not be done in a shared space.		Strongly agree	
100			Rachael	Arthur	Agree		Strongly agree			Agree	
101			Alysha	Webster	Agree	I think it would create more control in areas like Mount Mainstreet	Agree	I would like to strongly agree with this but won't the		Strongly agree	If it's council property it should have to be a permeable solution

#	Hearing	Business	First Name	Last Name	How strongly do you agree, or disagree, that we should phase in charges across the city for the businesses that use public streets and footpaths?	Can you share with us why you gave this answer?	How strongly do you agree, or disagree, that we should make public dining spaces smoke and vape free?	Can you share with us why you gave this answer?	Is there anything else you think we should consider if we put this policy in place?	How strongly do you agree, or disagree, that we should encourage residents to plant their berms, and stop using artificial turf	Could you share with us why you gave this answer?
						that can get over run in peak periods with people trying to go between shops and some cafes etc taking up too much space on the footpath.		smokers/vapers just then go stand outside the next store that is not a 'dining area' and vape/smoke? & then worse they would just drop the cigarette butts on the pavement or in the curbside because there won't be ashtrays. It's not enjoyable for the patrons sitting down enjoying a meal and have a group next to them vape/smoke but its just as unpleasant for passersby.			
102			Damian	Van Der Heijden	Agree	If only some are paying and some are not then that's not fair. Everyone should have to pay if they want to use public space for commercial business	Strongly agree	No smoking, no vaping.		Strongly agree	Allow plants or grass to be used, not artificial grasses
103			Talor	Duncan	Agree		Strongly agree			Agree	
104			Nadine	Dunlop	Strongly disagree	Hospitality businesses are what keep a city/street and community alive - we should be supporting them in creating spaces that give areas an atmosphere. Outdoor seating gives life to a street. Council should put more effort in creating more of these spaces. Create spaces	Neither agree nor disagree	I'd say yes to restaurants - where the main purpose is to eat. Bars etc should be allowed outside		Agree	

#	Hearing	Business	First Name	Last Name	How strongly do you agree, or disagree, that we should phase in charges across the city for the businesses that use public streets and footpaths?	Can you share with us why you gave this answer?	How strongly do you agree, or disagree, that we should make public dining spaces smoke and vape free?	Can you share with us why you gave this answer?	Is there anything else you think we should consider if we put this policy in place?	How strongly do you agree, or disagree, that we should encourage residents to plant their berms, and stop using artificial turf	Could you share with us why you gave this answer?
						that people want to be in. When people go out and spend and engage in a community everyone benefits.					
105			Alice	Butler	Agree		Strongly agree			Strongly agree	
106			Hayden	G	Neither agree nor disagree	It's kinda fair but a little too crazy like the balcony thing is over the top	Agree	I don't like the smell		Strongly disagree	Leave them alone, it's their choice, unless you will maintain it?
107			David	Mankin	Disagree		Strongly agree			Agree	
108			Mary	Isaac	Strongly disagree	I don't think businesses should have to pay. Let them use the pavement.	Strongly agree	Hate sitting next to a smoker		Strongly agree	Biopholic design is the way of the future.
109			Lauren	Turner	Agree	This is fair as they are taking space on a public space and profiting from it. I am a regular runner and cafes often force me to run on the road. Also it would be great to think about dogs and having them away from the middle of the footpath as you do see dogs jumping all over people trying to walk past	Strongly agree	Excellent idea! And around playgrounds! Some disctrict councils have signs around playgrounds saying 'no smoking or vaping, little lungs at play' which is super cute and would love to see around Tga. Also maybe stopping it at bus stops? I have asthma and have asked ppl smoking to mive away from me amd theyve always been completely fine to, but something to consider	Having areas where people can vape/smoke would be important too I am sure.	Strongly agree	Also we need to think about pollinators and bees! Having 'Low Mo' areas (as I have seen in queenstown) in parks would be awesome, and encouraging planting of species that are great for pollinators. Also nice gardens should reduce littering etc. so lots of benefits
110			Kevin	Cunliffe	Strongly agree	User pays	Strongly agree	We like to sit outside if we can and don't want second smoke	No	Neither agree nor disagree	Each to their own.
111			Luiz	Vasco	Agree		Strongly agree			Strongly agree	
112			Josh	Hough	Strongly disagree		Disagree	Where will people go if they want to		Disagree	Honestly this is pointless, grass and

#	Hearing	Business	First Name	Last Name	How strongly do you agree, or disagree, that we should phase in charges across the city for the businesses that use public streets and footpaths?	Can you share with us why you gave this answer?	How strongly do you agree, or disagree, that we should make public dining spaces smoke and vape free?	Can you share with us why you gave this answer?	Is there anything else you think we should consider if we put this policy in place?	How strongly do you agree, or disagree, that we should encourage residents to plant their berms, and stop using artificial turf	Could you share with us why you gave this answer?
								have a smoke? Leave the venue completely? They already have to go outside, let them have smoke there			plants manage stormwater better, but what clogs up the drains in the first place, rubbish and leaves. Artificial grass is neither here nor there and you shouldn't penalise people for having it. I honestly can't believe people have taken the time to potentially put this into policy, seriously?!!!! There are much wider problems in the city than someone having artificial grass on a berm
113			Alex	Cairns	Disagree	We should encourage lively, liveable streets and not force businesses such as bars indoors. It's not hurting anyone.	Strongly disagree	Although we do need to move towards a smoke free Aotearoa. A ban on vapes and cigarettes outdoors seems unfair. If you can then smoke on the footpath next door it makes no difference		Strongly agree	For your reasons stated
114			Therese	OBrien	Strongly disagree	Don't charge for using something that is already a public space	Strongly agree	Smoking and vaping are both things that affect other diners and passers by. People shouldn't have to smell/put up with it.		Disagree	Council own the berm, but you want us as residents and ratepayers to maintain it, but in many cases we can't use it. Residents should be able to do what ever they want with it

#	Hearing	Business	First Name	Last Name	How strongly do you agree, or disagree, that we should phase in charges across the city for the businesses that use public streets and footpaths?	Can you share with us why you gave this answer?	How strongly do you agree, or disagree, that we should make public dining spaces smoke and vape free?	Can you share with us why you gave this answer?	Is there anything else you think we should consider if we put this policy in place?	How strongly do you agree, or disagree, that we should encourage residents to plant their berms, and stop using artificial turf	Could you share with us why you gave this answer?
115			Te poata	Wickliffe	Strongly disagree		Strongly disagree	Never harmed anyone before	Designated areas to smoke and vape	Strongly disagree	More effort to maintain
116			Leah	W	Strongly agree	Because it is a public space and they are profiting from being able to use the space e.g. diners go specifically to a place as they can eat outside	Agree	Having a designated smoking/vaping area would be fair to this population but agree the general non smoking/vaping population shouldn't be exposed unwillingly.	As above	Neither agree nor disagree	
117			Brian	Cochran	Strongly agree	Keep it fair	Strongly agree	People don't need to breath their smoke or vape		Neither agree nor disagree	
118			Isaac	Wong	Strongly agree		Strongly agree			Strongly agree	
119			Ailsa	Mitchell	Strongly disagree	As long as the streets and footpaths are kept clear and safe for public use, is there really any need to charge for the use of them.	Strongly disagree	They are public areas.	How are you going to police this policy. It's public space.	Strongly disagree	If you want the resident to manage the berms outside their homes. They should be allowed to do so by any means.
120			borut	zagar	Strongly disagree	Charging businesses will effect already struggling business and will not help or be beneficial to the people	Strongly agree	Nobody likes cigarette smoke when they eat even smokers		Neither agree nor disagree	Only if you encourage residents with lowering the rates if you don't use artificial flgrass
121			Jared	Tutbury	Agree		Strongly agree			Strongly agree	
122			Jesse	Archer	Strongly disagree		Strongly disagree			Strongly agree	
123			What	For	Agree		Strongly agree			Agree	
124			Brendan	Hodson	Strongly disagree	You have ruined tauranga cbd, leave the mount alone	Strongly agree	Air pollution	Do not mess with the mount	Strongly disagree	
125			Daneille	Geary	Strongly agree		Strongly agree			Neither agree nor disagree	
126			Campbell	Phayer	Neither agree nor disagree		Disagree			Strongly agree	

#	Hearing	Business	First Name	Last Name	How strongly do you agree, or disagree, that we should phase in charges across the city for the businesses that use public streets and footpaths?	Can you share with us why you gave this answer?	How strongly do you agree, or disagree, that we should make public dining spaces smoke and vape free?	Can you share with us why you gave this answer?	Is there anything else you think we should consider if we put this policy in place?	How strongly do you agree, or disagree, that we should encourage residents to plant their berms, and stop using artificial turf	Could you share with us why you gave this answer?
127			Reni	Watson	Strongly agree	Public walking use should be free from business	Strongly agree	Because kids and non smoker shouldn't get the impact from smokers		Neither agree nor disagree	It's personal choice - some people doesn't have time to manage and while they still wants to make the house appropriately greens is not always easy.. too many rules about what can people choose for around their house is a bit too much.. and how many percent people use this ? Please focus on big things rather than small things - wasting energy otherwise
128			Theresa	Eagle	Strongly disagree	I think you should take these charges away. When road works and building works are done these businesses are effected and don't received help, so why should they pay extra fees ontop of current rates to use some space outside their shops	Strongly agree	Because it makes the areas more social		Strongly disagree	There are better things to worry about
129			Paula	Zinzan	Strongly disagree		Agree			Strongly agree	
130			Jen	Lowes	Strongly disagree		Strongly agree			Agree	
131			Cam	Wright	Neither agree nor disagree		Agree	It's just plain rude to smoke or vape while people are dining it drinking.	Outdoor eating areas ir beer gardens should have an area where people can smoke/vape. It's a person's choice to smoke/vape and they	Agree	

#	Hearing	Business	First Name	Last Name	How strongly do you agree, or disagree, that we should phase in charges across the city for the businesses that use public streets and footpaths?	Can you share with us why you gave this answer?	How strongly do you agree, or disagree, that we should make public dining spaces smoke and vape free?	Can you share with us why you gave this answer?	Is there anything else you think we should consider if we put this policy in place?	How strongly do you agree, or disagree, that we should encourage residents to plant their berms, and stop using artificial turf	Could you share with us why you gave this answer?
									shouldn't be punished for that choice, but it needs to be away from others.		
132			Rich	Farrell	Strongly agree	Cafes and bars should pay to have tables on the footpaths. They do take up far too much space on the footpaths currently especially around the mount. You can't even walk down the footpath if someone is coming the other way in some places. This needs to be addressed	Strongly agree	Vaps and smoking should be removed all together from all bars and cafes	Vaps should follow the same rules as smoking. This is buying and smoking them. Why are Vaps in every shop out on display	Strongly agree	Fake grass outside homes should be stopped.
133			Makayla	Stewart	Strongly agree	No charges should be introduced for locally owned businesses using public spaces just outside of their establishment. They are providing a service to the community and encouraging life and vibrancy which the city sorely needs. The maintenance of public streets is already being contributed to by them and we should encourage businesses to do more things like using the street spaces provided it does not limit accessibility for disabled folks.	Strongly agree	Smoking is harmful to the health outside of the person smoking. Should have designated areas for those who do wish to smoke but have these a distance from the non smoking areas. Vapes should be included in this.			
134			A	Barclay	Strongly disagree	Are you kidding? This is the worst idea and a scam way to make extra	Neither agree nor disagree	I'm on the fence. I don't mind vaping		Strongly disagree	The number of people using artificial grass is so minimal

#	Hearing	Business	First Name	Last Name	How strongly do you agree, or disagree, that we should phase in charges across the city for the businesses that use public streets and footpaths?	Can you share with us why you gave this answer?	How strongly do you agree, or disagree, that we should make public dining spaces smoke and vape free?	Can you share with us why you gave this answer?	Is there anything else you think we should consider if we put this policy in place?	How strongly do you agree, or disagree, that we should encourage residents to plant their berms, and stop using artificial turf	Could you share with us why you gave this answer?
						money! Most of these businesses are already struggling and the costs to eat out are getting more expensive by the day to where it is near unaffordable. You are now asking these struggling businesses to fork out even more money to which they will then no doubt pass the cost on to the customer turning near unaffordable in to unaffordable. No customers. No business and more of them shut down and we are left with empty shops just like the dead zone AKA inner city		but I hate the smell of cigarettes.			that the cost to implement such 'encouragement' would far outweigh the benefit. Don't waste your time on this and focus money, energy and attention on better things.
135			Sarah	Thomson	Agree		Strongly agree			Strongly agree	
136			M	Rainey	Strongly disagree	Tauranga city is so dead. Policies like these directly contribute to why it is so dead. Why make it harder for businesses to attract customers? Why make the city centre a less people friendly place? Just so the council can clip the ticket again? Why don't you just ban all people from entering public spaces if you would like to prevent any damage.	Strongly disagree	This directly encourages people who smoke or vape to stay home or stay out of the city. Maybe that is what you want but to me I can't understand the logic of that. As someone who lives, works and spends time in Tauranga CBD it seems that the council does so much to prevent any opportunities for fun.	The fact that the city it already so dead. Ask yourself if this policy encourages people to come and enjoy the city?	Strongly agree	Makes sense.

#	Hearing	Business	First Name	Last Name	How strongly do you agree, or disagree, that we should phase in charges across the city for the businesses that use public streets and footpaths?	Can you share with us why you gave this answer?	How strongly do you agree, or disagree, that we should make public dining spaces smoke and vape free?	Can you share with us why you gave this answer?	Is there anything else you think we should consider if we put this policy in place?	How strongly do you agree, or disagree, that we should encourage residents to plant their berms, and stop using artificial turf	Could you share with us why you gave this answer?
137			Amy	Stewart	Strongly disagree	Leave them alone, alot of business are still getting on their feet after covid , crazy food costs and lease costs . Dont start charging them extra fees ontop of that. using the footpath doesnt hurt anyone and as they dont block foot traffic!	Strongly agree	Agree that smoking and vaping shouldn't be in public in the day - but maybe at night or in the party scene where it is more common you do need to give them somewhere and area to go that doesn't involve them lining up again to get inside		Strongly agree	
138			Kathryn	Ison	Disagree	Footpaths are filled with signage some large like the barn at cruise deck. No room for diners .	Agree		No fencing off dining areas like Frost in maunganui road where you can't get out of your car . No umbrellas	Disagree	Unless yours homing to manage these areas then you can't dictate this restriction.
139			Kathryn	Dawson Banks	Agree	Fairness.	Strongly agree			Strongly agree	Less micro plastics in the environment
140			Jim	Benson	Neither agree nor disagree	It's unfair that you ask for feedback without providing what the charged rates will be. I agree if the rates are reasonable to businesses, but I feel like this could be charged disproportionately. You should publish the proposed rates and then ask this question.	Strongly disagree	It's outside. Easy enough to allow businesses to set their own policies on smoke free areas. It doesn't need to be legislated.		Neither agree nor disagree	This is unclear. Will you ban artificial grass? Are you going to discourage it? How will you discourage it? This policy lacks clarity. What environmentally friendly methods are you encouraging? Wild flowers? Stone gardens? What sort of variety? Again this policy is vague.
141			Raewyn	Bell	Strongly agree	It is a means of revenue for a business when they use Council space. If it makes revenue for a	Strongly agree	Research provides plenty of evidence regarding the detrimental effects	It might be possible to consider a fully closed in awning	Strongly agree	We need to manage our environments to steward our planet to minimise climate

#	Hearing	Business	First Name	Last Name	How strongly do you agree, or disagree, that we should phase in charges across the city for the businesses that use public streets and footpaths?	Can you share with us why you gave this answer?	How strongly do you agree, or disagree, that we should make public dining spaces smoke and vape free?	Can you share with us why you gave this answer?	Is there anything else you think we should consider if we put this policy in place?	How strongly do you agree, or disagree, that we should encourage residents to plant their berms, and stop using artificial turf	Could you share with us why you gave this answer?
						business when they use a Council provided/maintained facility (even a footpath) then they should pay for it. Also assists in Council revenues for upgrading city/community attributes.		of second hand smoke and second hand vape clouds. Public health needs require that public spaces be free of the harmful effects.	surrounded area for smokers and vapers to use for a few minutes. No food or drink service in the areas and not comfortable but do allow a 5 min interlude for those folk whose addictions demand. Personally I don't think a great idea but ...		change, adapt to climate change and to better management of climate events. No good reason to put down turf.
142			Derek	Postlewaight							
143			Ryan	Henderson	Neither agree nor disagree		Agree			Strongly agree	Artificial is terrible for environment.
144			Karen	Ball	Strongly disagree	Leave the poor businesses alone for gods sake its hard enough as it is with all the road works	Strongly disagree	Smoke-free - Yes. Vape free, No. It does no harm, it doesn't stink (like cigarettes do), and lastly, it is just forcing businesses to comply with a stupid rule that they may not want to. Seems like a pointless idea.	Tauranga City Council is a disgrace. how about you bring in a policy instead to make parking for students free or parking free after 3pm. This city is a joke	Agree	Finally one policy that makes sense. However, I am not sure where you are referring to that has fake grass. I drive around Tauranga for a job and not once have seen artificial grass.
145			Amanda	Byers	Strongly disagree		Strongly agree			Disagree	
146			Sandy	Orre	Strongly disagree	Things are already shit and expensive. You will drive the rest of the heart out of Tauranga and Mount. Let the bloody businesses have at least 5 more years to have a chance of recovery before considering something as moronic as	Agree	I agree in part. Your statement is bloody stupid in that it covers the universe in one sentence rather than sensible and caring ways to introduce deterring measures.	I think all dining areas and areas around dining areas should be smoke/vape free. Make it so there is a zone around all building entrances that one cannot	Agree	Agree to a point. If I have to manage the maintenance of the berm then I get to use it however the hell i want. including parking all over it etc.. !

#	Hearing	Business	First Name	Last Name	How strongly do you agree, or disagree, that we should phase in charges across the city for the businesses that use public streets and footpaths?	Can you share with us why you gave this answer?	How strongly do you agree, or disagree, that we should make public dining spaces smoke and vape free?	Can you share with us why you gave this answer?	Is there anything else you think we should consider if we put this policy in place?	How strongly do you agree, or disagree, that we should encourage residents to plant their berms, and stop using artificial turf	Could you share with us why you gave this answer?
						this. Why don't you just say you want everyone to stay home and die. As that's about the size of it.			smoke. All public streets is moronic and impossible to police and will only cause more aggro in the city .Bullying folks to stop smoking wont work. You leave them with no where to go then there will be some repercussions that will not be good. Take it from an ex smoker. Homeless and those on the streets have enough mental health issues without them being targeted for more abuse and penalties. Stating every public street and footpath is a completely ignorant and utopic view right now. Keep phasing things but not this ridiculously militant and unenforceable approach right now. Frankly even though i don't smoke I'd		

#	Hearing	Business	First Name	Last Name	How strongly do you agree, or disagree, that we should phase in charges across the city for the businesses that use public streets and footpaths?	Can you share with us why you gave this answer?	How strongly do you agree, or disagree, that we should make public dining spaces smoke and vape free?	Can you share with us why you gave this answer?	Is there anything else you think we should consider if we put this policy in place?	How strongly do you agree, or disagree, that we should encourage residents to plant their berms, and stop using artificial turf	Could you share with us why you gave this answer?
									wanna blow it in your faces if you were this ridiculously insensitive Be sensible. Not around food or office entrances but I dunno have the odd zone that you can't smoke in and some you can. Like down on the strand, maybe they have to cross the rd and go onto a section on the grass. I dunno, but that will be another thing that drives folks away from the bars and restaurants!! You folks are really don't have a smart cell upstairs.		
147			Sandra	Banks	Agree	So long as council uses the funds directly to help with the upkeep. If businesses are benefiting from using this space, they should be contributing to their upkeep and this way they do	Strongly agree	Both of these damage health and it only takes one person to make every other person using this space to feel uncomfortable and ruin their meal/experience. Vape and smoking should not be encouraged and outdoor dining should be smoke free		Disagree	If there is enough drainage within the scope of their property by means of garden or decking they should be allowed option of artificial turf which still drains to some extent. Real grass is often not kept properly and drags down a neighborhood. This should be an option

#	Hearing	Business	First Name	Last Name	How strongly do you agree, or disagree, that we should phase in charges across the city for the businesses that use public streets and footpaths?	Can you share with us why you gave this answer?	How strongly do you agree, or disagree, that we should make public dining spaces smoke and vape free?	Can you share with us why you gave this answer?	Is there anything else you think we should consider if we put this policy in place?	How strongly do you agree, or disagree, that we should encourage residents to plant their berms, and stop using artificial turf	Could you share with us why you gave this answer?
											for people to use or not use.
148			Amber	Wilson			Strongly agree			Strongly agree	
149			Jennifer	Moore	Neither agree nor disagree	Not sure what changes you want to phase in, hopefully not overcharging so that we can dine outside.	Strongly agree	Because it's unpleasant to be around when its not something you partake in.		Agree	Because natural is better.
150			Sheree	Putt	Strongly agree	Because people should pay for parking	Strongly agree	Because people don't like the smell of smoke it's not good for children or adults with health conditions	Ban smoking in restaurants	Strongly disagree	Because they shouldnt
151			Sarah	Englund	Agree		Strongly agree			Neither agree nor disagree	
152			Marlo	Torr	Strongly disagree	Businesses will just pass on those extra costs to the customer	Disagree	No	No	Agree	Anything to manage stormwater better
153			Carmen	Cristescu	Strongly disagree	So they pass those charges to the consumers? Are you all labour voters in there ?	Neither agree nor disagree			Strongly disagree	I can't even believe this is a question . How about the council be more worried about the businesses they've destroyed
154			Dylan	Larsen	Strongly disagree	No taxation without representation. Stop spending money we don't have yet and taxing us for what we have. Get rid of the parking meters and the dumb obsesión with cycle paths and narrowing the roads.	Strongly disagree	I am sick of this Unelected dictatorship calling itself a council trying to legitimize itself through the act of applying changes to our city. Also , no legislation without representation.	Get rid of the commissioners and bring back publicly electioneering leadership. Even if the last council was rubbish , it was at least the rubbish that the people had chosen.	Strongly disagree	Enough with the authoritarianism already. Don't you have anything better to do with the time our money pays for than to think up more ways to try and control our lives. Let me guess , you plan on issuing fines to people who don't conform to this grass rule? Given the lack of places to park for free on streets then maybe fake grass or

#	Hearing	Business	First Name	Last Name	How strongly do you agree, or disagree, that we should phase in charges across the city for the businesses that use public streets and footpaths?	Can you share with us why you gave this answer?	How strongly do you agree, or disagree, that we should make public dining spaces smoke and vape free?	Can you share with us why you gave this answer?	Is there anything else you think we should consider if we put this policy in place?	How strongly do you agree, or disagree, that we should encourage residents to plant their berms, and stop using artificial turf	Could you share with us why you gave this answer?
											concrete berms will be more hardy at handling having a car parked on it .
155			Jasmyn	Morrison	Strongly disagree	Tax payers money should pay for this.	Strongly disagree	It's outside	There is already designated areas for smokers and non smokers. This is a waste of time.	Strongly agree	
156			Oliver	Haycock	Neither agree nor disagree	Improves the ambiance of the city, we wouldn't want to overly deter this activity. Ultimately, they should pay for using public space, but the transition needs to be gentle	Strongly agree		Include bus stops as smoke/vape free locations	Strongly agree	
157			Zayne	Davis	Strongly disagree		Strongly disagree			Strongly disagree	
158			Wendy	Dumee	Strongly disagree		Strongly agree			Strongly agree	
159			Mrs R	Ormsby	Strongly disagree	Businesses like cafes are already struggling, give them a break! Not only has all the roadworks meant us as customers can't park outside their stores, charging them for customers to sit outside is just ridiculous!	Disagree		Hurry up & finish the bloody roadworks!!!	Neither agree nor disagree	
160			Caa	Acacio	Strongly disagree	The government already takes waaay too much tax from people and businesses. No one should have to pay more to put tables on the street. That gives life to the town and Tauranga really needs it.	Neither agree nor disagree	I don't care for vape.		Strongly agree	

#	Hearing	Business	First Name	Last Name	How strongly do you agree, or disagree, that we should phase in charges across the city for the businesses that use public streets and footpaths?	Can you share with us why you gave this answer?	How strongly do you agree, or disagree, that we should make public dining spaces smoke and vape free?	Can you share with us why you gave this answer?	Is there anything else you think we should consider if we put this policy in place?	How strongly do you agree, or disagree, that we should encourage residents to plant their berms, and stop using artificial turf	Could you share with us why you gave this answer?
161			tisean	hirst	Strongly disagree		Disagree			Disagree	
162			Evette	Robinson	Strongly disagree	This is just revenue making and you are killing the small businesses that are struggling as it is. Why make our city look like a ghost town even more, as this will incur more costs not only to the business but also to the patrons which use them. Make it a welcoming place for our small businesses to make money that they can put back into the community in other ways rather than taking it from them before they even make anything.	Strongly agree	Many children, adults and seniors have health conditions or are healthy and should have an option to be in an environment that thinks of all equally. This is where smokers and vapers have an understanding that all public areas are smoke and vape free.	Making zones of smoke and vape free like the alcohol zoning	Strongly disagree	The council expect residents to maintain their berms and care for it, so why should they dictate how it is created. Or better yet stop having such large wasted berms on road sides and give it to property owners. You still can have a small area to access water meters and mains from the road side. The council never gives to the community help when there is need they just let it be over grown, making the town look uncared for or maintained. Especially when we pay extortionate rates.
163			WhetuMarama	Atutahi	Strongly disagree	Again, more to think about than this!!! Your very clever trying to get more money though...cause that's all this is!!!	Strongly disagree	The council definitely need to look at what the city really needs! These changes are stupid!! Take a look at the big picture...be real!!!		Neither agree nor disagree	
164			Mea	Da Silva	Strongly disagree		Strongly agree			Strongly disagree	
165			Donna	Jarden	Neither agree nor disagree		Strongly agree			Neither agree nor disagree	
166			Katy	Newman	Disagree		Strongly agree			Neither agree nor disagree	

#	Hearing	Business	First Name	Last Name	How strongly do you agree, or disagree, that we should phase in charges across the city for the businesses that use public streets and footpaths?	Can you share with us why you gave this answer?	How strongly do you agree, or disagree, that we should make public dining spaces smoke and vape free?	Can you share with us why you gave this answer?	Is there anything else you think we should consider if we put this policy in place?	How strongly do you agree, or disagree, that we should encourage residents to plant their berms, and stop using artificial turf	Could you share with us why you gave this answer?
167			Denise	Henigan	Agree	So long as they don't impinge on footpaths!	Agree	How about. At fume free too?		Agree	Well obviously it is better. I thought they were public spaces?
168			Joan	Mitchell	Strongly agree	They are advantaged by being able to use extra space for tables outside etc	Strongly agree	Smoke free public areas are better for everyone's health - especially in dining areas where people are seated and not free to avoid smoke and vaping fumes.	I'd like to see Vaping Stores gone- too many and too attractive to young people. Was shocked to see more in Greerton lately- and near school	Agree	Understand it is easier for people to not have to mow- but berm is usually only part of property grass. If people don't want to look after they should make other arrangements such as getting contractor or other to maintain
169			Alec	Whatmough	Strongly disagree	If charges are to be applied, they should be announced with plenty of lead time and introduced in one hit.	Strongly agree	people smoking or vaping while you are trying to enjoy a meal rather ruins the experience.	Maybe a complete ban on smoking in public places would be a good thing. I have no idea how you can even enforce what you are proposing though, let alone a complete ban.	Strongly disagree	Country-wide, councils impose usage rules on home-owners related to the berms in front of their properties, yet take no responsibility for maintenance of those berms. It's called "having your cake and eating it".
170			Zoe	Trower	Neither agree nor disagree		Agree			Strongly agree	
171			Callum	Van de Weyer	Neither agree nor disagree	I understand charges for use of footpaths for outdoor seating and the like. Overhanging balconies on the other hand is a bit far.	Strongly agree	I think all public places should be smoke free		Agree	Aren't berms councils responsibility anyway...?
172			Nina	McKay	Strongly agree	Commercial use of a public resource should be charged, it is a great way to generate money for the council.	Strongly agree	For public health benefits.	No.	Agree	Synthetic grass should not be used.
173			Stewart	Taylor	Strongly agree	Public property no longer available for the public The business should	Strongly agree	Don't need to share		Agree	Good to absorb the water

#	Hearing	Business	First Name	Last Name	How strongly do you agree, or disagree, that we should phase in charges across the city for the businesses that use public streets and footpaths?	Can you share with us why you gave this answer?	How strongly do you agree, or disagree, that we should make public dining spaces smoke and vape free?	Can you share with us why you gave this answer?	Is there anything else you think we should consider if we put this policy in place?	How strongly do you agree, or disagree, that we should encourage residents to plant their berms, and stop using artificial turf	Could you share with us why you gave this answer?
						choose a venue that fits their type within what they rent or own.					
174			Tanya	C	Neither agree nor disagree		Strongly agree			Agree	Not everyone can mow their lawns and or afford to pay someone to do them so i can understand why they have artificial
175			Stefan	Senf	Disagree		Disagree			Strongly agree	
176			Tom	Lehner	Agree	Footpaths are there for walking, if businesses intend to use these spaces thereby taking space from walkers they should be paying to use the space.	Strongly agree	Vaping and smoking should be banned in public areas managed by the council.		Don't know / unsure	Don't agree. Residents are currently paying rates and in most cases managing a council area not within the home boundary. If residents are using artificial grass to minimize their works to maintain the area then the council either carries out the maintenance themselves or reduces the rates for maintenance and watering of berms outside the homes of residents.
177			JOHN	FLEMING	Strongly agree		Strongly agree		Including parks and reserves ,particularly the stands	Strongly agree	
178			Dacey	Zelman Fahm	Strongly agree	Streamline the process	Disagree	I think it should be up to the venue	Who is going to regulate?	Strongly agree	Would be better as stormwater runoff becomes more of an issue
179			Tim	Donaldson	Don't know / unsure		Strongly agree			Strongly agree	

#	Hearing	Business	First Name	Last Name	How strongly do you agree, or disagree, that we should phase in charges across the city for the businesses that use public streets and footpaths?	Can you share with us why you gave this answer?	How strongly do you agree, or disagree, that we should make public dining spaces smoke and vape free?	Can you share with us why you gave this answer?	Is there anything else you think we should consider if we put this policy in place?	How strongly do you agree, or disagree, that we should encourage residents to plant their berms, and stop using artificial turf	Could you share with us why you gave this answer?
180			Mat	Parnell	Strongly disagree	Rates should be used to cover upkeep of public spaces. The upkeep of pavement that is well built should be minimal.	Disagree	It's a public space		Agree	Agree if there is room for parking. I live in a more intensively developed land area and very little parking room was included in the design. Most of the time people will use artificial grass so they can park on the berm without damaging the grass (if it were real).
181			Sue	Reid	Agree	This is a public space that business is able to use for commercial profit but equally brings life and soul to these areas	Strongly agree	Anyone should be able to walk down the street or sit and dine without being exposed to the pollution of smoke or vape steam	Ensuring enough space is left on the footpath for foot traffic , allowing for pushchairs , wheelchairs to move freely	Strongly agree	Cost effective natural aid in managing stormwater and rain. Aesthetically more pleasing
182			Daniel	Kulasingham	Strongly disagree	We should be incentivising commercial activity in high demand areas. Publicising popularity and vibrancy of our city by allowing businesses to make use of footpaths and public streets make our city a more attractive area for higher quality businesses as well as a more attractive destination for tourism and socialisation. We should definitely not charge them for making our city a more attractive location.	Strongly agree	I think it's fair. Maybe with the potential for designated smoke/vape areas or specific licences/fees for specific business that may require a public smoke/vape space.	Specific businesses might want to publicise smoking/vaping to attract more patrons (e.g. bars, restaurants). I think it's fair to allow these businesses to purchase a license to allow smoking/vaping in public spaces. However, maybe for only a limited time of the day.	Disagree	I don't think we should force residents to change how they choose to manage their properties in this regard. However, it can be a major consideration with how we plan the structure of our city.

#	Hearing	Business	First Name	Last Name	How strongly do you agree, or disagree, that we should phase in charges across the city for the businesses that use public streets and footpaths?	Can you share with us why you gave this answer?	How strongly do you agree, or disagree, that we should make public dining spaces smoke and vape free?	Can you share with us why you gave this answer?	Is there anything else you think we should consider if we put this policy in place?	How strongly do you agree, or disagree, that we should encourage residents to plant their berms, and stop using artificial turf	Could you share with us why you gave this answer?
183			Mikaela	Julius	Strongly disagree	Business are avoiding cbd areas both mount and town. This will increase prices and drive more away.	Disagree	I don't smoke or vape but cigarette butts are not a common occurrence for rubbish on sidewalks. Second hand smoke is not an issue due to space between people.		Strongly disagree	The small strip of artificial grass is redundant against uncleaned and blocked drains. Better use of time would be ensuring drains are clean
184			Rachel	C	Strongly disagree	I disagree, All the businesses that do this are super respectful of the footpath and allow plenty of room for combined use, It promote the use of the footpath also by drawing people to it, as long as there is a policy or law that states a certain amount of room must be left for accessibility such as wheelchairs mobility scooters and prams to use without needing to give way. who cares! Something like this will just hurt businesses MORE in times like this and completely disregards the foundation for an inclusive city as long as, what I mentioned above is maintained then there should not be further charges to businesses.	Strongly agree	Vaping and smoking is disgusting the more areas where there that dont allow it to happen the better	No - dont put the footpath use policy in place its ridiculous. We are trying to attract business to our city not loose it, the ridiculous road works have already done enough	Disagree	Artificial grass can be placed with adequate drainage - this takes away the right of choice for residents and their ability to maintain that area. As long as the artificial turf has a compliant drainage system (very doable) then stop being petty!
185			Terry	McIntosh	Disagree	Current financial environment should costs reduced or scrapped. Rules for use enforced	Agree	I think a clean environment while eating and drinking is great		Neither agree nor disagree	I think the council should mow them, but the weeks in between cutting in [redacted identifying

#	Hearing	Business	First Name	Last Name	How strongly do you agree, or disagree, that we should phase in charges across the city for the businesses that use public streets and footpaths?	Can you share with us why you gave this answer?	How strongly do you agree, or disagree, that we should make public dining spaces smoke and vape free?	Can you share with us why you gave this answer?	Is there anything else you think we should consider if we put this policy in place?	How strongly do you agree, or disagree, that we should encourage residents to plant their berms, and stop using artificial turf	Could you share with us why you gave this answer?
											details], wouldn't be acceptable elsewhere
186			Peter	Cosnahan	Agree		Strongly agree			Strongly agree	
187			Peter	Wilson	Agree	It is only fair that all businesses who use the streets should pay in this situation. Don't know whether balconies should be included.	Strongly agree	Last thing I need is someone smoking while trying to dine		Agree	Nature is best
188			Nicola	Mulgrew	Strongly agree	If the business is physically using the footpath and streets, as opposed to just having a door access, then they should contribute to paying towards the repair and upkeep.	Strongly agree	I don't want to be sitting down to enjoy a drink or meal, with my children, and be subjected to someone else's smoke.	If people want to smoke or vape, then perhaps the establishment should make an enclosed smoking/vaping room like you see at some airports.	Disagree	Some people are not physically able to maintain real grass, nor able to afford someone else to do it. Turf is no different to concrete - are you going to penalise those who use concrete? How about letting people use their berm for flowers gardens or vegetable gardens?
189			Ray	Clark	Agree	As long as the charges are sensible, and also put limits on numbers of outside dining. Some footpaths are barely walkable as u have to navigate around tables and chairs.	Strongly agree	Detest smoke blowing into my private space while dining out.	No	Neither agree nor disagree	I do lots of walking even in some of the newer, subdivisions. The percentage of artificial turf on berms is negligible. Planting trees with large root systems is far more destructive, with footpaths being pushed up a great percentage of the time. Costing ratepayers money to fix all the time.
190			Nathan	Wansbrough	Strongly disagree	Outdoor dining gives contributes to the vibrancy and "life" of our city. I believe no charge	Neither agree nor disagree	While I never wish to be exposed to vape or cigarette smoke, I don't know		Agree	

#	Hearing	Business	First Name	Last Name	How strongly do you agree, or disagree, that we should phase in charges across the city for the businesses that use public streets and footpaths?	Can you share with us why you gave this answer?	How strongly do you agree, or disagree, that we should make public dining spaces smoke and vape free?	Can you share with us why you gave this answer?	Is there anything else you think we should consider if we put this policy in place?	How strongly do you agree, or disagree, that we should encourage residents to plant their berms, and stop using artificial turf	Could you share with us why you gave this answer?
						should apply to food service businesses, however, I am in favour of Bonds for the case of damages to footpaths.		that enforcing smoke free streets would be a good use of council resource.			
191		Saltwater	Jay	Thomas	Strongly disagree	Rates continue to rise that are also supposed to be used for street and foot path maintenance. These continual increases are causing more and more strain on business owners. It seems Tauranga City Council is going down a path of nickel and diming business and providing less and less services.	Strongly disagree	Venues have outdoor seating to also provide people who smoke/vape an area to do so while enjoying the venue. Again, this would have a negative impact to business that provide these areas for people to enjoy.	This will result in customers going elsewhere where these bans are not in place. It will have a negative impact on business in these areas overall. Please stop trying to kill businesses in CBD's.	Strongly disagree	If the city will pay for, and then maintain, the areas that currently have artificial grass, then fine.
192			Michelle	Wood	Disagree	this is going to push prices up and make things harder for everyone. as long as they arent blocking so people cant get past there shouldn't be an issue	Strongly agree	as a non smoker its horrible to have someone smoking or vaping nearby especially if eating.		Strongly agree	
193			john	mcleod	Strongly disagree	Should be free and included in rates	Strongly agree	Common sense	No	Strongly disagree	Unless council pays for the maintenance and /or maintains the berms council cannot dictate
194			Stephanie	Towers	Disagree	We want to encourage more people to dine out, try new places. The more you charge small businesses, they have to oncharge diners. Do you charge drivers for road use - Uber Eats, Menulog etc who use the roads delivering food - no!!	Strongly agree	Both smoking and vaping kills people and is a burden on our healthcare system. They need to be phased out.	Seperate area for smokers and capers.	Strongly agree	Berms are public land.
195	Yes	DOCA	Kim	Ort	Strongly agree		Strongly disagree	Venues should be able to choose their	The impact commercially on	Strongly agree	

#	Hearing	Business	First Name	Last Name	How strongly do you agree, or disagree, that we should phase in charges across the city for the businesses that use public streets and footpaths?	Can you share with us why you gave this answer?	How strongly do you agree, or disagree, that we should make public dining spaces smoke and vape free?	Can you share with us why you gave this answer?	Is there anything else you think we should consider if we put this policy in place?	How strongly do you agree, or disagree, that we should encourage residents to plant their berms, and stop using artificial turf	Could you share with us why you gave this answer?
								own policy based on the patrons they attract/ want to attract. People who dont like smoking tend not to go to a pub, they are not the right customers for those venues.	these venues and making town even more dead at night if you introduce an outdoor smoke free policy. You will literally move entertaining to people's homes only. Even in a group of friends there may be one smoker or caper and that drives the group to sit outside. If people can't vape/ smoke they will stop not just them going out but friends as well and stay at home and have bbqs/ house parties		
196			Alan	Ridley	Strongly agree	theyre contributing to congestion and pollution.	Strongly agree	smoking should be banned. We're getting close to smokefree - council has a clear mandate to ban smoking in public.	yes - go the whole way - ban smoking in all public places	Strongly disagree	Who owns the berms - council should maintain them - or give ratepayers a reduction if they manage the berms in accordance with good environmental policy
197			Chris	Stuck	Agree		Strongly agree			Strongly agree	
198			Ashley	Longhurst	Strongly disagree		Strongly agree			Strongly disagree	
199			Murray	Bailey	Neither agree nor disagree		Strongly agree			Disagree	There are some areas of high traffic that turn to dust bowls in the summer due to heavy foot

#	Hearing	Business	First Name	Last Name	How strongly do you agree, or disagree, that we should phase in charges across the city for the businesses that use public streets and footpaths?	Can you share with us why you gave this answer?	How strongly do you agree, or disagree, that we should make public dining spaces smoke and vape free?	Can you share with us why you gave this answer?	Is there anything else you think we should consider if we put this policy in place?	How strongly do you agree, or disagree, that we should encourage residents to plant their berms, and stop using artificial turf	Could you share with us why you gave this answer?
											traffic not staying on designated footpaths
200			Carol	Ralph	Agree	Give time for business to plan	Strongly agree			Agree	Ugly
201			Davina	Plummer	Don't know / unsure	Some businesses, especially hospitality are still recovering their finances and workforce post covid and are suffering from loss of business due to the roadworks. Further charges may mean their needing to close.	Strongly agree	Smoking and vaping are both anti-social and unhealthy. Public dining spaces should be free from second hand smoke harm and be pleasant for all to enjoy. Public dining spaces are used by all ages, including children, therefore smoking and vaping should not be promoted nor permitted there.		Agree	It would also dissuade cars parking on the bermss.
202			Emma	Muller	Agree	User pays is fair to all.	Strongly agree	Do not want to "share" fellow diners smoke/vape, unhealthy & unpleasant odours	People who go out to eat/drink so do smoke or vape will go somewhere - where?	Agree	
203			Paul	Mathews	Neither agree nor disagree	This kind of venue is a huge bonus for the city and creates an environment people actually want to visit and spend time in. This needs to be considered in relation to what impact it has on businesses and whether this might discourage them from doing this. Some form of bond to protect against damage and upkeep maybe? Whatever we need it to be consistent	Strongly agree	Unfortunately we have a climate that does not discourage people going outside to smoke even in winter. This does not help with the aim of eliminating smoking as a major health concern. This is then even more of an issue in the warmer months as sitting anywhere you get	Just ban it!! people coped with the indoor ban, they'll get over themselves!	Strongly agree	widen this to allow plants other than grass! especially low maintenance/no mow plants

#	Hearing	Business	First Name	Last Name	How strongly do you agree, or disagree, that we should phase in charges across the city for the businesses that use public streets and footpaths?	Can you share with us why you gave this answer?	How strongly do you agree, or disagree, that we should make public dining spaces smoke and vape free?	Can you share with us why you gave this answer?	Is there anything else you think we should consider if we put this policy in place?	How strongly do you agree, or disagree, that we should encourage residents to plant their berms, and stop using artificial turf	Could you share with us why you gave this answer?
								smoke/vape fumes as most venues have windows and doors open so smoke wafts everywhere!			
204			Shane	Eastergaard	Disagree		Agree			Disagree	The artificial grass looks good in times of drought when the berms can turn into dust bowls and with water restrictions we cannot water them. The council needs to look at its own mowing policy which reduced the frequency of mowing and now parts of town etc can look very overgrown before the next mow, not a good image for the council
205			Tracey	Mayall	Agree	A good idea to make the users who profit pay for using the area.	Strongly agree	All public areas should be smoke and vape free.		Neither agree nor disagree	It is a difficult question as some residents may not have any grass in their garden, and so may have to buy a lawn mower just to mow the berm. This does seem unfair.
206			Lynne	Clayton	Agree	If they don't own or rent the area outside their business they should pay for it. Charities, not-for-profits etc should be exempt	Agree	There's nothing worse than sitting outside eating lunch on a beautiful summers day than someone sparking up a ciggy at the table next to you. Vape not so bad as the smell is usually	Maybe allow vaping but not smoking? That way nicotine addicts can still attend bars and restaurants without having to leave the premises, but the	Strongly agree	As per your statement. Do people actually put artificial grass on their berms? Aren't the berms owned by council, rather than residents anyway so shouldn't be hard to implement

#	Hearing	Business	First Name	Last Name	How strongly do you agree, or disagree, that we should phase in charges across the city for the businesses that use public streets and footpaths?	Can you share with us why you gave this answer?	How strongly do you agree, or disagree, that we should make public dining spaces smoke and vape free?	Can you share with us why you gave this answer?	Is there anything else you think we should consider if we put this policy in place?	How strongly do you agree, or disagree, that we should encourage residents to plant their berms, and stop using artificial turf	Could you share with us why you gave this answer?
								not too unpleasant and doesn't linger like cigarette smoke. Also don't get ill from 2nd hand vape so it's generally not affecting the wider public whereas it's well know that 2nd hand smoke can kill	harmful, more unpleasant smoke is not present.		
207			Barclay	Wilkinson	Neither agree nor disagree		Strongly agree			Agree	
208			Chris	Pattison	Agree	Having restaurants being able to use part of the street/ footpath needs to be carefully considered. The problem, especially with footpath usage is that the restaurant usually uses the portion closest to the building. This then forces pedestrians out towards the road, where there is less shade and protection from the weather. The proportion of the sidewalk being used by a restaurant needs to be carefully considered in light of the pedestrian use. Furthermore, where the footpath is being put to use by a restaurant (or other), then signage should not be allowed on the footpath. This is because pedestrians have to thread themselves around	Strongly agree	The public should not need to be inflicted upon by the smokers sitting outside. It is bad enough with other pedestrians. Restaurants also need to consider the impact on their trade by one group of smokers affecting other customers close by. Customers may not wish to frequent the open area then.	I should not have to put up with other people's pollution. As with all other types of pollution.	Agree	And instead of grass, these plants could be flowering plants and even vegetables. Having a berm filled with soft soil and pretty flowers may also be a disincentive for people to park on the berm.

#	Hearing	Business	First Name	Last Name	How strongly do you agree, or disagree, that we should phase in charges across the city for the businesses that use public streets and footpaths?	Can you share with us why you gave this answer?	How strongly do you agree, or disagree, that we should make public dining spaces smoke and vape free?	Can you share with us why you gave this answer?	Is there anything else you think we should consider if we put this policy in place?	How strongly do you agree, or disagree, that we should encourage residents to plant their berms, and stop using artificial turf	Could you share with us why you gave this answer?
						these signs in the limited space available. These signs need to be on the restaurant property, or the portion that they are leasing.					
209			Shane	Stenson	Agree	They are using public space so a charge should apply depending on space they occupy, however more often than not they also give vibrance and life to their location so the charge should be minimal.	Neither agree nor disagree	Smokers need space allocated ie on the outside tables, they still need to eat and socialise.		Strongly disagree	Both my neighbours have small artificial berms, this is the only grass they have so it makes sense they don't need to invest in a mower. Should be worked out on a square meterage to be excluded
210			Katherine	Doerr	Strongly disagree	These activities give ambiance to the city. So long as there is still space to walk this should be encouraged not taxed out if existence	Strongly agree	It's disgusting and people who do it should be given the clear message they are social pariahs. It shouldn't be done where impressionable children will see.	That it needs enforcement	Neither agree nor disagree	Where we live it's really hard to grow grass on the berm as people park on it. Artificial turf should be allowed if it has been installed over a permeable substrate meaning it has similar water attenuation properties. I doubt a clay berm compacted by people parking on it is very absorbant
211			Glenda	Phillips	Disagree	Sounds like a money grab. Footpaths are great for outdoor eating and you already restrict the amount they can use. Have a contract for damage. They pay rates so this surely maintains the footpath already. Double dipping.	Disagree	If you want vape/smoke free then dine where that isn't allowed. Business can have areas outside that can be fee of these if they wish. Vaping/smoking can be annoying		Neither agree nor disagree	Tricky one as you don't manage the berms. If you did you could dictate natural surfaces. I am sure man made turf will get better for absorbing or allowing water through. Are you

#	Hearing	Business	First Name	Last Name	How strongly do you agree, or disagree, that we should phase in charges across the city for the businesses that use public streets and footpaths?	Can you share with us why you gave this answer?	How strongly do you agree, or disagree, that we should make public dining spaces smoke and vape free?	Can you share with us why you gave this answer?	Is there anything else you think we should consider if we put this policy in place?	How strongly do you agree, or disagree, that we should encourage residents to plant their berms, and stop using artificial turf	Could you share with us why you gave this answer?
								but you can move. Children can be annoying too but you don't restrict them.			thinking we can all grow verges and herbs etc? What an eyesore it could turn out to be.
212			Fiona	Baker	Strongly disagree	The CBD is unattractive, unsafe and fewer people use it than 10 years ago. The parking is difficult and expensive, there are no nice shops, many have closed and moved to the suburbs or the Mount, the Art gallery is sparse displays of weird exhibits that appeal to no one and it pretty deserted. Its \$16 per very average sandwich at very ordinary cafes. there is no reason to frequent the area. Its too expensive for businesses to set up there now and charging extra for the dubious privilege of using the outside spaces will contribute to its eventual doom. No business owner will take the risk that setting up in the CBD will improve and eventually prove profitable(when and if anyone ever comes back). Give business owners a break, try to encourage not alienate them.	Strongly agree	Both activities pose serious health risks, we surely want to encourage family use of public areas, no parent wants their children exposed to noxious fumes. Smoking and drinking usually go hand in hand and some people will want to allow smoking to encourage the drinkers, who contribute to higher expenditure at licensed premises	The relatively small population of smokers should not get special treatment to indulge their habits, the money they bring in by their patronage does not justify allowing a blanket policy of allowing vaping and smoking.	Strongly agree	We all know bees and beneficial insects are in danger. People are expected to mow their berms, therefore they are responsible for their upkeep and save the council having to do that for them. I think Berms could be cultivated into wildflower areas, creating beauty and hopefully discouraging the people who park their cars on the berm outside somebody's house. Longer grass and other vegetation will bring down the temperature of the pavements too.
213			Olo	Game	Strongly disagree	Not only you have screwed the business in the cbd for the last 3	Disagree	Your just once again separating the population to a		Neither agree nor disagree	

#	Hearing	Business	First Name	Last Name	How strongly do you agree, or disagree, that we should phase in charges across the city for the businesses that use public streets and footpaths?	Can you share with us why you gave this answer?	How strongly do you agree, or disagree, that we should make public dining spaces smoke and vape free?	Can you share with us why you gave this answer?	Is there anything else you think we should consider if we put this policy in place?	How strongly do you agree, or disagree, that we should encourage residents to plant their berms, and stop using artificial turf	Could you share with us why you gave this answer?
						years your gonna start charging them more? Those people must feel appreciated! How about you focus on actually getting business back into the cbd not pushing them away..		struggling cbd, worry about getting people back there first...			
214			Rebecca	Whalley	Strongly agree		Strongly agree			Agree	
215			Michel	Pelzer	Agree	I've seen this done overseas and love it!	Strongly agree	Like smoking, vaping is a choice of the individual and smoking/vaping in public spaces creates passive inhalation of nonsmokers, children and people with lung diseases	some busy public spaces overseas create smoke rooms to still attract smokers to the venue/area but isolating the smoke/vape from others	Strongly agree	More spaces to "sponge" up stormwater will be better for the future
216		Sterne Collective	Matt	Sterne	Agree	It is only fair to charge businesses equally who all benefit from using the public space for their cafe or restaurant for example. However, this should be balanced with encouraging businesses to utilise the sidewalks to create a vibrant street environment. In Australia, certain hospitality zones are permitted to build permanent structures on the street side of the wider sidewalks as long as the accessible sidewalk clear zone is maintained. This gives the feeling of walking through a cafe and blurring the boundaries	Strongly agree	Absolutely!	Fines for breaches of this policy to enforce it.	Strongly agree	<p>Berms should be able to be planted with hardy low maintenance NZ native plants such as Pohuehue, wire vine (Muehlenbeckia complexa), Sand coprosma (Coprosma acerosa) and grasses such as Speckled sedge (Carex testacea).</p> <p>A great example of this planting style is seen at 73 Marine Parade which has executed this well.</p> <p>Is there any capacity for Council to offer subsidised Native</p>

#	Hearing	Business	First Name	Last Name	How strongly do you agree, or disagree, that we should phase in charges across the city for the businesses that use public streets and footpaths?	Can you share with us why you gave this answer?	How strongly do you agree, or disagree, that we should make public dining spaces smoke and vape free?	Can you share with us why you gave this answer?	Is there anything else you think we should consider if we put this policy in place?	How strongly do you agree, or disagree, that we should encourage residents to plant their berms, and stop using artificial turf	Could you share with us why you gave this answer?
						of inside and outside dining benefiting the streetscape. The structures are able to be closed down overnight to prevent vandalism.					plants from local nurseries to encourage the planting of natives over installing artificial turf, exotics or just grass?
217			Katie	Cox	Disagree	I think businesses are struggling and continue to put prices up to cover costs. If prices continue to go up due to higher rates/ fees for using pathways the community will be priced out of going out for dinner or a drink.	Strongly agree	If you pay \$30 for a meal and are sitting outside, someone smoking or vaping nearby can ruin the entire meal because smoke or vape is all you can smell/taste.	Public space should be safe for kids without breathing second hand smoke or vape.	Strongly agree	Adding more plastic to this world as a replacement for natural grass is backwards.
218			Aidon	Decke	Strongly agree		Strongly agree			Strongly agree	
219			Carl	Jones	Strongly disagree	We should be encouraging more vibrancy through the use of streets for restaurants usage. Perhaps a bond for damage but I don't agree with an administration charge. Adding some level of limit to ensure they don't take up the whole footpath, but there doesn't need to be an additional cost to them.	Strongly agree			Strongly disagree	It's their choice. If the council is unwilling to maintain the berms then they shouldn't have a say in how the home owner does it.
220		Marty's Bar	Steven	Everill	Strongly disagree	After the impacts on businesses from covid and the recession/ cost of living effects... plus the state of parking in the CBD all of which are making running a Tauranga CBD business extremely challenging is it really appropriate to force another increasing	Strongly agree	Non smokers shouldn't have to endure passive smoking especially when dining		Don't know / unsure	no opinion on this

#	Hearing	Business	First Name	Last Name	How strongly do you agree, or disagree, that we should phase in charges across the city for the businesses that use public streets and footpaths?	Can you share with us why you gave this answer?	How strongly do you agree, or disagree, that we should make public dining spaces smoke and vape free?	Can you share with us why you gave this answer?	Is there anything else you think we should consider if we put this policy in place?	How strongly do you agree, or disagree, that we should encourage residents to plant their berms, and stop using artificial turf	Could you share with us why you gave this answer?
						cost onto these businesses? Possibly just making it more and more appealing for businesses to relocate out of the CBD.					
221			Sue	Crompton	Strongly agree	Main road at the Mount a prime example, you can't walk on the footpath at weekends or busy periods, you have to actually walk on the road itself ! Also no dogs, don't get me wrong i love them, but really there is a time & place & a busy shopping eating footpath isn't it .	Strongly agree	Love a vast cloud of vapour as i walk or eat,NOT. As for cigarette smell !!	Enforcing it.	Strongly agree	You said it, also much more pleasant to look at & must be maintained.
222			Steve	warren	Disagree	As long as its done with care and disable , blind people are consider I don't think they should be charged.	Strongly agree	I am a none smoker and think smoking need to be a think of the past for health reasons .		Neither agree nor disagree	Don't really its touch of a issue .
223			Viren	Singh	Strongly disagree	This cost will simply flow on to the customers. Therefore the result will be an increase in revenue to the council at a high cost to consumers and an overall increase in inflation. These businesses is what keeps the streets vibrant and attracts visitors.	Strongly disagree	The potential harm, smell and impact of enjoyment between vaping and smoking is very different.		Strongly disagree	Forcing a change from artificial grass will have ongoing maintenance, cost and time implications. Residents use those products for a reason. Rain and stormwater should be managed by infrastructure rather than a minor impact of small berms outside houses. Is the council aiming to maintain the environmentally friendly methods on

#	Hearing	Business	First Name	Last Name	How strongly do you agree, or disagree, that we should phase in charges across the city for the businesses that use public streets and footpaths?	Can you share with us why you gave this answer?	How strongly do you agree, or disagree, that we should make public dining spaces smoke and vape free?	Can you share with us why you gave this answer?	Is there anything else you think we should consider if we put this policy in place?	How strongly do you agree, or disagree, that we should encourage residents to plant their berms, and stop using artificial turf	Could you share with us why you gave this answer?
											behalf of the residents?
224			chris	newnham	Neither agree nor disagree	thar some footpath is left clear	Agree	disposal of old vapes or cigarettes		Neither agree nor disagree	not all people can mow berms or have mowers
225			Lisa	Higgins	Strongly disagree	Local businesses are struggling as it is. Use of public space creates atmosphere and draws customers in. Extra rents/charges to local businesses who are alr day struggling will not help local businesses to thrive.	Strongly agree	I've just travelled to Italy. The smell of smoke in the air is nauseating! People with breathing issues can be affected. Children can view smoking and vaping as a positive. I think no.	Ensure areas where smokers can be are less visible!	Strongly agree	Environmental reasons. Keep the ground draining rain in the way it is supposed to. However, if people concrete instead - that would be just as bad.
226			Trish	Stockley	Strongly disagree	They are not causing any problems and it creates a more friendly atmosphere	Strongly agree	We should ban all forms of smoking		Agree	
227			Penny	Caley	Agree		Strongly agree			Strongly agree	
228			Stewart	Gebbie	Strongly agree	The footpath is owned by the public via the council. No one should have exclusive use of these areas without a formal lease agreement and payment made, regardless of what the space is used for. If the space is leased for the profitability of a business, then the rental amount should be evenly and fairly applied. It is unfair to charge in some areas and not others. That said, the charges should be fairly nominal in recognition that cafe's, restaurants and bars, and these outdoor areas, add to the general	Strongly disagree	It would be impossible to practically enforce while smoking is permissible in other public areas. It seems absurd that a person at a bar can take a step to the left to just outside the LTO and suddenly smoke legally. How will this apply to the public right-of-way between cafe/bar frontages and the LTO? Will "non-patrons" walking down the footpath be required to comply? A better approach might be	Can this be legally enforced? Can the council legally fine people for smoking outside when this is currently still legal? Who will be required to enforce it? Will bars and restaurants get fined if their patrons are caught smoking? Surely smoking in an outside area is a civil, not a legal matter?	Disagree	I hate artificial turf and I instinctively agree with this, but I just can't do it. It seems like vindictive pettiness to me because artificial turf is tacky. It shouldn't need to be part of a street use policy. If I want to plant a tree on my berm ("my berm", it's not really mine, is it?) , surely the council have the right to pull it out or cut it down? Whoever owns a given berm should automatically be able to dictate what is laid there. They should also be the ones

#	Hearing	Business	First Name	Last Name	How strongly do you agree, or disagree, that we should phase in charges across the city for the businesses that use public streets and footpaths?	Can you share with us why you gave this answer?	How strongly do you agree, or disagree, that we should make public dining spaces smoke and vape free?	Can you share with us why you gave this answer?	Is there anything else you think we should consider if we put this policy in place?	How strongly do you agree, or disagree, that we should encourage residents to plant their berms, and stop using artificial turf	Could you share with us why you gave this answer?
						liveability and attractiveness of a city.		to enforce no smoking at the tables located in LTO areas. Or think bigger and make entire streets smoke free.			required to maintain it. If the council own the berm, then how are residents allowed to lay artificial turf? If the residents pay an annual lease for the berm, then the terms of use should be specified in the lease agreement. Besides, what do you mean by handle heavy rain better? You mean it's better for the council's stormwater system? It's not better the berm users. Artificial grass doesn't get as soft and muddy. It drains to gutters and stormwater drains better. It doesn't require regular maintenance by council mowers. It remains a consistent height and looks neat (although this is subjective) regardless of whether not the weather has allowed mowing. In recent years, the weather has been so wet, the traffic islands in my suburb weren't able to be mown for weeks and the grass grew so much it actually became a

#	Hearing	Business	First Name	Last Name	How strongly do you agree, or disagree, that we should phase in charges across the city for the businesses that use public streets and footpaths?	Can you share with us why you gave this answer?	How strongly do you agree, or disagree, that we should make public dining spaces smoke and vape free?	Can you share with us why you gave this answer?	Is there anything else you think we should consider if we put this policy in place?	How strongly do you agree, or disagree, that we should encourage residents to plant their berms, and stop using artificial turf	Could you share with us why you gave this answer?
											<p>traffic hazard as it made it harder to see other road users.</p> <p>Besides, how enforceable is this "encouragement"? It should be a black and white policy. You either can, or you can't. A statement like residents should use environmentally friendly methods" is way to subjective. One could argue that artificial turf is environmentally friendly because it's made from recycled plastic bottles and prevents erosion. It's too open to interpretation and lacks any kind of justification to the extent that it just sounds like either hippie green nonsense that undermines the credibility of both the council and environmentalists everywhere or total NIMBYism.</p>
229			D	Adnitt	Strongly disagree	Definitely not. Businesses are already struggling and if you do this they will simply remove themselves back to indoors only. This will stuff the vibe of the city.	Strongly agree	Strongly agree. These areas should be for all and not just to the anti-social who choose to spoil it. You should be able to	Make the town centre & any areas near shops and public places in general smoke & vape free with penalties.	Strongly disagree	Unless Council is going to maintain it then you can't dictate it. The reason fake grass is used in the Mount is that it would look awful

#	Hearing	Business	First Name	Last Name	How strongly do you agree, or disagree, that we should phase in charges across the city for the businesses that use public streets and footpaths?	Can you share with us why you gave this answer?	How strongly do you agree, or disagree, that we should make public dining spaces smoke and vape free?	Can you share with us why you gave this answer?	Is there anything else you think we should consider if we put this policy in place?	How strongly do you agree, or disagree, that we should encourage residents to plant their berms, and stop using artificial turf	Could you share with us why you gave this answer?
						What you actually want to encourage is great street entertainment vibes. Look at Melbourne and the amazing alleyways they have of this. Phasing in charges will choke creativity and atmosphere.		enjoy a meal without inhaling someone else's cigarette.			otherwise in the height of summer.
230			Dyanne	Dixon	Strongly disagree	The restaurants/cafes might benefit from use of sidewalks but so do we - the people who live in the Tauranga area! We LOVE eating outside on warm, balmy evenings! It would be awful if restaurants couldn't afford the council's charges and we could no longer enjoy outside-dining in our own city! Don't do it!	Strongly agree	Just because smokers and vapers have no regard for their own health, they shouldn't be allowed to contaminate the air the rest of us breath.		Strongly agree	For the reason you state.
231			Kate	Gunning	Agree		Strongly disagree		Free parking to encourage people to visit these places, so their revenue increases to cover the cost of what you are going to charge to use the outdoor dining space	Neither agree nor disagree	If you expect people to maintain this area outside their property they should be able to do what they want. Why doesn't the council invest in managing these areas with our rates money rather than investing in the civic development and some of the other projects. Get back to basics
232			Craig	Morris	Disagree	The owners of those businesses have enough imposed taxes. We are a small country where	Strongly agree	Air quality is directly related to improving respiratory health outcomes.		Neither agree nor disagree	There are arguments on both sides - for me personally, I don't care.

#	Hearing	Business	First Name	Last Name	How strongly do you agree, or disagree, that we should phase in charges across the city for the businesses that use public streets and footpaths?	Can you share with us why you gave this answer?	How strongly do you agree, or disagree, that we should make public dining spaces smoke and vape free?	Can you share with us why you gave this answer?	Is there anything else you think we should consider if we put this policy in place?	How strongly do you agree, or disagree, that we should encourage residents to plant their berms, and stop using artificial turf	Could you share with us why you gave this answer?
						small businesses have to thrive for everyone to be able to maintain purchasing choices and a reasonable standard of living,					
233			Jan	Fraundorfer	Neither agree nor disagree		Strongly agree	Because cigarette and vape smoke should not be around food Then everyone can dine outside on warm days and evenings - not just the smokers.		Strongly agree	Because run off in high density built up areas can lead to flash flooding in high rainfall with hard artificial surfaces
234			Henri	David	Strongly disagree	As you have made a mess of the city at the current time the city centre is downhill and business need support especially in the current economy fix the roads that are not allowing proper access to them first	Strongly disagree	The public is the public space not the council space the public pays the councils rates and wages, if cars can drive around and cows can fart the you can smoke and vape outside I would rather be with someone vaping or smoking than I drinking alcohol how about you make policy against alcohol a much more harmful thing		Disagree	If you want something in the berm put it there if you want it maintained maintain it rates are paid for a reason
235			Clive	Wright	Strongly disagree	Small businesses have suffered recently with both COVID restrictions and road works impacting on them - too many restrictions have lead to them going out of business!	Strongly agree			Agree	
236			Peter	Archer	Agree	User pays	Strongly agree	NZ-wide goal		Agree	flood protection

#	Hearing	Business	First Name	Last Name	How strongly do you agree, or disagree, that we should phase in charges across the city for the businesses that use public streets and footpaths?	Can you share with us why you gave this answer?	How strongly do you agree, or disagree, that we should make public dining spaces smoke and vape free?	Can you share with us why you gave this answer?	Is there anything else you think we should consider if we put this policy in place?	How strongly do you agree, or disagree, that we should encourage residents to plant their berms, and stop using artificial turf	Could you share with us why you gave this answer?
237			Paul	Mercer	Neither agree nor disagree		Agree			Strongly agree	I think council should allow residents to plant vegetable gardens and wildflowers on berms instead of grass, especially in areas with very wide berms, this would be a much more environmentally friendly alternative than grass and would also allow people to have community gardens. There could be many possible benefits to this including a better, healthier, more social community. Maybe bring in guidelines saying something along the lines of berms can be planted as long as plantings are kept at least 2 metres away from the road and 1 metre from footpath and with the exception of berm trees plantings must have a maximum height of 2 metres or something similar to that.
238			Greg	Anderson	Agree	Because they are increasing their seating capacity at the cost of the general public	Agree			Disagree	This should be the councils responsibility to manage and maintain - not the homeowners

#	Hearing	Business	First Name	Last Name	How strongly do you agree, or disagree, that we should phase in charges across the city for the businesses that use public streets and footpaths?	Can you share with us why you gave this answer?	How strongly do you agree, or disagree, that we should make public dining spaces smoke and vape free?	Can you share with us why you gave this answer?	Is there anything else you think we should consider if we put this policy in place?	How strongly do you agree, or disagree, that we should encourage residents to plant their berms, and stop using artificial turf	Could you share with us why you gave this answer?
239			Trish	Souter	Agree	Fee should not be too prohibitive	Agree	I actually thought this was the case now		Agree	As the berm is Council owned probably something the Council could assist residents with when changing their berm to an environmental solution
240		Lone Star	Trevor	Donaghy	Agree	All LTOs should be on an equal footing both in cost and following the rules. Rent rates need to be at a level that encourage premises to take up LTOs which add to the city.	Strongly disagree	while smoking/vaping is still a legal activity, hospitality venues need areas to accommodate these people. If not, this then creates challenges with guests leaving liquor licenced areas to smoke/vape with their alcohol, glassware/bottles etc.. There is probably nothing achieved by the smoker/vaper standing a metre or so outside the licenced area, which will cause issues for licence holders and create an untidy, unmanaged situation.		Neither agree nor disagree	
241		ASMVW Limited trading as The Phoenix	Ralph	Ward	Neither agree nor disagree		Neither agree nor disagree	Regrettably individuals do still need somewhere to smoke or vape as required. Also, very hard for businesses		Neither agree nor disagree	

#	Hearing	Business	First Name	Last Name	How strongly do you agree, or disagree, that we should phase in charges across the city for the businesses that use public streets and footpaths?	Can you share with us why you gave this answer?	How strongly do you agree, or disagree, that we should make public dining spaces smoke and vape free?	Can you share with us why you gave this answer?	Is there anything else you think we should consider if we put this policy in place?	How strongly do you agree, or disagree, that we should encourage residents to plant their berms, and stop using artificial turf	Could you share with us why you gave this answer?
								to police no smoking or vaping policies.			
242			Meryl	Crowther	Agree	I agree as long as the charges to businesses are nominal, not expensive, we don't have enough atmosphere in Tauranga now and putting another expense onto hospitality businesses in the city could be another nail in the coffin. The revenue earned from these charges should only be used to enforce footpath disability access, cleanliness, damage, things related directly to footpath dining. Funds should NOT be used for maintenance and improvement of public spaces. This expense should not burden hospitality. That is the job of rates income. I express again that my belief is the charges for on street dining should not be of a level it deters businesses from on street dining.	Strongly agree		Signage! Must be the responsibility of the council not individual hospitality businesses.	Strongly disagree	You can only dictate to residents this if council are prepared to continually and regularly maintain berms. I can't see this is a huge widespread problem so shelve that one! Some residents are not in a physical or financial position to plant their berms.
243			Richard	West	Strongly disagree	It creates vibrancy to the city and gives it an inviting feel and mood to the whole area attracting people and enhancing the city vibes, also the space is redundant unless the tenancy directly in front uses it as	Agree	agree. smoking should not be allowed in public areas on the street.	how to enforce it. public will immediately assume since they are outside they can smoke/vape. it will be a challenging rule	Neither agree nor disagree	I dont think it really makes a differences the amount of space your discussing here and people using turf is very minor given the cost of installing it. Surely there is more important

#	Hearing	Business	First Name	Last Name	How strongly do you agree, or disagree, that we should phase in charges across the city for the businesses that use public streets and footpaths?	Can you share with us why you gave this answer?	How strongly do you agree, or disagree, that we should make public dining spaces smoke and vape free?	Can you share with us why you gave this answer?	Is there anything else you think we should consider if we put this policy in place?	How strongly do you agree, or disagree, that we should encourage residents to plant their berms, and stop using artificial turf	Could you share with us why you gave this answer?
						no one else can use it. seems obvious?			to enforce. signage obviously will help as well as getting businesses using this space on board to enforce this rule.		issues to solve than this, Turf does still have quality drainage properties. sounds like someone has there bee in a bonnet about the turf option some owners are using but realistically most people wont be willing to spend any money on this area to install turf. can we just move on and solve problems that really matter?
244		(personal submission, Mount Business Association submission number 317)	Claudia	West	Strongly disagree	I disagree with charging high fees to use footpath space for business. People dinning and enjoying themselves on the street is what we need to make towns look busy and feel safe. If there is a high fee to do so, this may put businesses off and then we lose that vibrancy. They are already paying high rates, and with very seasonal spending trends. Yes, an admin free & bond may be reasonable, but not a square meter rate per year to use the footpath. So long as they stick to the rules about the space, to ensure everyone can use the footpath, then it is a win	Agree	Yes, I do agree with this in dining areas. Mainly for smoking due to the smell and discomfort it causes to others around. Vaping, I would like to see this banned, but as a customer sitting next to someone vaping, I perceive this is less harmful to me. This may be easier to do in a seated restaurant setting, but quite hard to do in a bar setting, as smoking is typical with alcohol. And people will want to go outside to have a smoke but wouldn't want to leave the bar.	The policy you have noted dining areas - so does this mean seated restaurant, and not a bar? for a premises that is restaurant during the day, then bar at night, would this smoke free change their outdoor status. Ie are people allowed to smoke outside after a certain time, when the premiss has changed to a bar. I don't think you want people coming and going from bars to smoke as this would cause other issues on the street.	Agree	I think our streets would look better if planted with easy to manage greener/ grasses, rather than fake grass.

#	Hearing	Business	First Name	Last Name	How strongly do you agree, or disagree, that we should phase in charges across the city for the businesses that use public streets and footpaths?	Can you share with us why you gave this answer?	How strongly do you agree, or disagree, that we should make public dining spaces smoke and vape free?	Can you share with us why you gave this answer?	Is there anything else you think we should consider if we put this policy in place?	How strongly do you agree, or disagree, that we should encourage residents to plant their berms, and stop using artificial turf	Could you share with us why you gave this answer?
						win for the community and the business.					
245			Andrew	Sommerville	Strongly agree	Agree there is a need to pay their way for use of public land, do not necessarily feel it needs to be phased	Strongly agree	Agree if we are trying to be a smoke free Aotearoa then this is a must, it also means smokers and vapers end up making the experience worse for others who would enjoy outdoor dining		Strongly agree	Much better for the environment
246			Belinda	Ruddell	Strongly agree		Strongly agree		Access along footpaths should not be restricted. All residents should be able to move through these areas. Without smoke or vape.	Agree	
247			a	b	Strongly disagree	You are taxing small businesses to oblivion. This is just taxation without representation.	Strongly disagree	Make discrete areas for smoking like a normal democratic country - give people a choice. Smoking is dying out anyway (pun not intended). You just create more hassle for small businesses and you will kill the golden goose that pays your salaries if you aren't careful. Who thinks of these ridiculous ideas?	Surely some of you have been to Europe and can observe what makes the small towns (tauranga is tiny don't forget) vibrant. All these pointless, expensive endless surveys. spend my tax money properly please.	Neither agree nor disagree	More nanny state stuff. If there is evidence (ie facts) to support what you are proposing, just get on with it. If it's just your opinion, then leave it alone. Yet another expensive, unnecessary survey that will come to nothing.
248			EJ	WRIGHT	Agree	Allows time to adapt	Strongly agree	Smoking and vaping is bad for health and very		Neither agree nor disagree	Question assumes artificial grass is not environmentally

#	Hearing	Business	First Name	Last Name	How strongly do you agree, or disagree, that we should phase in charges across the city for the businesses that use public streets and footpaths?	Can you share with us why you gave this answer?	How strongly do you agree, or disagree, that we should make public dining spaces smoke and vape free?	Can you share with us why you gave this answer?	Is there anything else you think we should consider if we put this policy in place?	How strongly do you agree, or disagree, that we should encourage residents to plant their berms, and stop using artificial turf	Could you share with us why you gave this answer?
								unpleasant for nonusers			friendly without showing evidence
249			Steph	Macdonald			Agree	Prefer smoke/vape free environments		Agree	Prefer nature based solutions
250		Polar Dessert Bar	Steffi	Clark	Strongly disagree	Hospitality is a difficult business without added charges and pressures for street side dining which at this point is an expectation and culture expected from patrons. We want to drive visitors to these areas and support businesses to be economically sustainable or we risk affecting the atmosphere and creating another ghost town the likes of Tauranga CBD	Disagree	Not a smoker or vaper myself and I believe there is some merit to reducing the impact on other public users but the reality is that a large proportion of our population are smokers/vapers. Where do you expect them to go?	Will there be smoking zones at regular intervals like bus stops or public toilets?	Strongly agree	We do not need more micro plastics being flushed through the waterways. If there is research that supports the use of sustainable methods to help in heavy rainfall and reduce pollution then that should be the answer
251			Carl	Willetts	Strongly disagree		Neither agree nor disagree			Neither agree nor disagree	
252			Cindy	McQuade	Disagree	You should be encouraging our cities to be more vibrant. Charging business operators more doesn't encourage them to be more vibrant. Tauranga city is embarrassing and dull. Sort this out before you attack the businesses that are trying to improve people's outdoor access.	Neither agree nor disagree	Indoors yes. Outdoors no. This is not communist Russia where you get to decide what people do in public spaces. If it is not illegal why are you doing this. I hate smoking and vaping but am sick of governments imposing their will on people at every opportunity.	STOP controlling people. You are not the health police. Some people are addicted - stop banishing them from the public gaze.	Agree	I agree with this but if people do not have the ability or money to maintain the berms then they have no choice but to use artificial. I personally agree with natural planting, but you shouldn't be punishing people who don't have this option. Once again stop your facism.
253			Karl	Ward	Strongly disagree		Strongly agree			Neither agree nor disagree	
254			Launa	Seddon	Strongly disagree	Footpaths are for pedestrians. If a business needs more space, then MOVE to a bigger premises. The	Strongly agree	I disapprove of vaping - it is more dangerous than cigarettes.	Health issues, lack of control of ages of people using the products.	Neither agree nor disagree	If I have grass - then I have to maintain it. I do not own a lawn mower, I would not pay someone to

#	Hearing	Business	First Name	Last Name	How strongly do you agree, or disagree, that we should phase in charges across the city for the businesses that use public streets and footpaths?	Can you share with us why you gave this answer?	How strongly do you agree, or disagree, that we should make public dining spaces smoke and vape free?	Can you share with us why you gave this answer?	Is there anything else you think we should consider if we put this policy in place?	How strongly do you agree, or disagree, that we should encourage residents to plant their berms, and stop using artificial turf	Could you share with us why you gave this answer?
						rate payer should not subsidise their business.		They both spoil my outing.			mow it. The council should maintain it. maybe I COULD BILL THE COUNCIL FOR MOWING Therefore my property would look scruffy and be a fire hazard
255			Aifai	Esera	Agree		Strongly agree	I don't want to smell smoke and vapes while I walk to the street as well as dining outside.		Neither agree nor disagree	if the council is to maintain these areas then they should make a blanket rule but currently, the occupier is responsible for maintaining their berm.
256			Craig	Williams	Agree	Within reason, regular usage of footpaths should contribute to the council costs. However, one off events should not be discourage due to red tape and costs, as these one off events can bring a buzz to the area.	Strongly agree	Third parties should have to put up with smoke or vape discharge	Consider making the mount high street one way, widening the public walk ways and area for dining	Neither agree nor disagree	I'm not sure it's a big enough issue. I'd likely to be where lots of people park on their grass and ruin it. If you want people to keep grass outside their house, we can't have hose pipe bans in summer and need to limit cars parking on edges... need more data on how big an issue this really is.
257			Janice	Bailey	Agree		Agree			Agree	
258			Glen	Sheaff	Strongly disagree	Do they pay rates? I feel it gives the city and aeras more of a people friendly atmosphere. It slows people down and is good for the small family run business'. By adding more cost this will be passed on to the	Strongly agree	Second hand Vape and smoke still kills		Neither agree nor disagree	50/50 on this as you still have to burn gas to mow , weed wack and spray chemicals, not sure which is worst?

#	Hearing	Business	First Name	Last Name	How strongly do you agree, or disagree, that we should phase in charges across the city for the businesses that use public streets and footpaths?	Can you share with us why you gave this answer?	How strongly do you agree, or disagree, that we should make public dining spaces smoke and vape free?	Can you share with us why you gave this answer?	Is there anything else you think we should consider if we put this policy in place?	How strongly do you agree, or disagree, that we should encourage residents to plant their berms, and stop using artificial turf	Could you share with us why you gave this answer?
						public. Do we the people own the foot paths? Do we not all ready fund this with our rates? Not sure why there should be a increase charge? Will this apply to clothes store who run a sales rack? A charity setting up a table ? Buskers?					
259			Chrussy	McNeill	Strongly disagree	Too many rules. Why fix sinethung that isn't broken?	Strongly agree	Secondhand smoke affects the health of non-smokers as well as thise who do smoke. Keep smoke away from food venues.		Agree	People with small sections could use berms to grow edibles. Conditions re keeping space tidy would be required.
260			James	Gibb	Strongly disagree	Additional charges for these businesses is not an answer to anything, merely revenue gathering. Refundable bonds and permissions/simple consents for the type of use and upkeep required would be a fairer system to keep up standards and consistency.	Agree			Agree	
261			Matt	McGehan	Strongly disagree		Strongly disagree			Agree	
262			Andrew	Southam	Agree	Making them responsible for costs incurred to repair and maintain the space they are using sounds fair	Agree	Public spaces should be free of hazards and potential health risks	If businesses want to provide a space away from the street - so a balcony or rear, private area - where smoking is permitted I see no issue with that	Strongly agree	I had no idea people did that, I thought berms were not their property - they belong to the council. Therefore, it's not up to residents what goes on the berm
263			Tanya	Chaffey	Disagree	I think that the trg cbd businesses need a break from paying out money	Strongly agree	Noone needs to be smelling other peoples filth		Agree	

#	Hearing	Business	First Name	Last Name	How strongly do you agree, or disagree, that we should phase in charges across the city for the businesses that use public streets and footpaths?	Can you share with us why you gave this answer?	How strongly do you agree, or disagree, that we should make public dining spaces smoke and vape free?	Can you share with us why you gave this answer?	Is there anything else you think we should consider if we put this policy in place?	How strongly do you agree, or disagree, that we should encourage residents to plant their berms, and stop using artificial turf	Could you share with us why you gave this answer?
						with all that has been having a negative impact on all of their livelihoods					
264			Dennis	Monks	Disagree	This seems to be a revenue exercise. The council should not be looking at more ways to penalise owners and businesses. It is there to maintain public infrastructure. If this revenue was attached to plan work or upgrades that benefit both business and community in that area, then I see merit.	Strongly disagree	It is a public space. Where is the boundary? Who enforces it? Can someone standing 30cm from a table smoke? What if someone is passing through? Is standing okay, but sitting not?	You need to outline this policy better. There are so many issues with this policy and you have not defined how it would work.	Strongly disagree	Your arguments are poor. If there is a large area where artificial turf is being used, I understand. But if there is just a narrow strip or small space, or there is high traffic artificial turf is a good alternative to concrete.
265			Tony	Bullot	Strongly disagree	Businesses add vibrancy to the community. The default position should be they are allowed to use public areas within guidelines to ensure public thoroughfare etc. Council should be able to ban business use if it causes problems but Tauranga needs to be attracting business not putting more red tape and roadblocks in there way.	Agree	Council should not be encouraging smoking or vaping and has a duty of care to keep 3rd party smoke away from third parties.	Possibly allow smoking/vaping in a proportion of dining areas	Agree	
266			Reine	Ford	Strongly disagree	Business bring in revenue to the city. They shouldn't be charges for bring in business and people	Strongly agree			Strongly agree	
267			Mitchell	Handcock	Neither agree nor disagree	Without knowing exactly how the funds raised will be used for the maintenance and improvement of the city's public spaces, it's	Agree	From experience trying to enjoy a nice meal outside and breathing someone's cigarettes' smoke is		Agree	Artificial grass looks fake, cheap and lazy. Planting a natural garden is a much better alternative if someone

#	Hearing	Business	First Name	Last Name	How strongly do you agree, or disagree, that we should phase in charges across the city for the businesses that use public streets and footpaths?	Can you share with us why you gave this answer?	How strongly do you agree, or disagree, that we should make public dining spaces smoke and vape free?	Can you share with us why you gave this answer?	Is there anything else you think we should consider if we put this policy in place?	How strongly do you agree, or disagree, that we should encourage residents to plant their berms, and stop using artificial turf	Could you share with us why you gave this answer?
						difficult to agree or disagree. What's the goal for raising these funds? What maintenance projects are specifically lacking funding? What percentage of funding of public place improvements can be raised from doing this?		frustrating and unhealthy.			doesn't want to mow a lawn
268			Marie	Rhodes	Disagree	1. Business s are currently struggling to stay viable, adding an extra overhead may be the straw to break the back on their business. 2. We need to encourage businesses in the main centres, rather than making outlying venues more appealing to them.	Strongly disagree	Banning persons who vape or smoke from dining outside (where there is ventilation) is harsh. Those people deserve to have social dining options available to them too.	There should be a survey to see how many people this will block from socially dining. Also: What alternative is the council providing for those rate paying constituents?	Neither agree nor disagree	If this is a council controlled area, the council should plant and maintain it.
269			Tom	Rawson	Agree	consistency	Strongly agree	Strongly object to secondhand smoke or vape		Agree	
270			John	Bradley	Strongly agree	The footpaths are often very congested , especially at The Mount and The Strand due to tables and chairs. It makes it awkward to move through as a pedestrian. All the ratepayers paid for sail cover on The Strand is enjoyed by businesses and their patrons not by citizens wanting a bit of respite from sun	Strongly agree	Smoking, ans vaping, are only engaged in by a small proportion of ghe populace. I refuse to eat in an area where my person or.meal can be polluted by ignorance of cretin minority.		Strongly agree	We talk about the environment and global warming yet people use such means to 'beautify' their environment. Encourage plantings. I look after my berms but would really like to plant half in wildflowers. Give people pleasure, good for bees and the environment generally. But some

#	Hearing	Business	First Name	Last Name	How strongly do you agree, or disagree, that we should phase in charges across the city for the businesses that use public streets and footpaths?	Can you share with us why you gave this answer?	How strongly do you agree, or disagree, that we should make public dining spaces smoke and vape free?	Can you share with us why you gave this answer?	Is there anything else you think we should consider if we put this policy in place?	How strongly do you agree, or disagree, that we should encourage residents to plant their berms, and stop using artificial turf	Could you share with us why you gave this answer?
											moron in council, whose wages I pay as s ratepayers would possible see me as subversive and threatening legal action.
271			Vanessa	Davis	Strongly agree	Please review the amount of space available to use as well. For instance, businesses on the Strand takes up so much walking area and there's not even anyone sitting in their restaurant, much less on the footpath. Also, other cities allow restaurants to lease the parking space (on asphalt) in front of their business and allow pedestrians to walk unobstructed on the footpath.	Agree	Outside, in a smoking area, past 9pm would be okay.	People who buy a ticket to a club or show should have access a smoking/vaping area.	Strongly agree	
272			Jan	Johnstone	Agree	I agree there should be some charge for use of public space. I wouldn't want the charge/fee to be so great it deters businesses from using the space, as it does provide a positive vibe to our city.	Strongly agree	I find cigarette and vape smoke distasteful, and most especially if I am eating.		Strongly agree	
273			Alison	Robertson	Strongly disagree	strongly disagree as it will change the character of our city, and increase costs for people dining. Even worse is charging people for balcony space, other than it being a great revenue	Agree			Strongly disagree	People should have a choice, especially the elderly and infirm, and at least artificial grass is tidy.

#	Hearing	Business	First Name	Last Name	How strongly do you agree, or disagree, that we should phase in charges across the city for the businesses that use public streets and footpaths?	Can you share with us why you gave this answer?	How strongly do you agree, or disagree, that we should make public dining spaces smoke and vape free?	Can you share with us why you gave this answer?	Is there anything else you think we should consider if we put this policy in place?	How strongly do you agree, or disagree, that we should encourage residents to plant their berms, and stop using artificial turf	Could you share with us why you gave this answer?
						generator for the council with no work required.					
274			Keith	Fletcher	Strongly disagree	The business concerned should have at minimal or no cost the use of these spaces as for most business the cost of doing business is too high already and we need to make the environment / area a more friendly user space by encouraging its use	Strongly agree	Smoking/ vaping should not be allowed in any public area, I don't want to in an environment where I am subject to someone else's smoke	Smoking/ vaping be only allowed in designated areas	Strongly disagree	Residents. Do not own the berms if council wants to control them they should look after them, most residents only look after the berms because the council does not and therefore should not dictate how the owner should look after the berms if they do so
275			Alan	Wheeler	Disagree	Council should be encouraging this type of activity to give a dead city some vibrancy. Agreement could be by bond or such to cover damage or the like costs.	Strongly agree	If the country is going smoke free this would be a good area to start.	Who and how is it going to be policed?	Disagree	A lot of rentals around Tauranga where the tenant couldn't care a damn
276			Mike	Rayner	Strongly disagree	The business that do so are about the only things breathing life into the city. If you start trying to charge them, it will no longer be viable for many to either stay open or, if they do, to use the street areas. If you do this, then is a very short time you will be scratching your head, wondering why the city is empty and dead while dreaming up other ways to impose charges and fees that kill business and push them out into the mega malls.	Strongly agree	They are public spaces, this should also apply to parks and beaches.	Enforcement - obviously. There will be those that blatantly ignore it, so in order to be credible, it must be enforced.	Strongly agree	For the same reasons you gave. Also, they are your berms and residents do not have the right to cover them with what is essentially plastic.

#	Hearing	Business	First Name	Last Name	How strongly do you agree, or disagree, that we should phase in charges across the city for the businesses that use public streets and footpaths?	Can you share with us why you gave this answer?	How strongly do you agree, or disagree, that we should make public dining spaces smoke and vape free?	Can you share with us why you gave this answer?	Is there anything else you think we should consider if we put this policy in place?	How strongly do you agree, or disagree, that we should encourage residents to plant their berms, and stop using artificial turf	Could you share with us why you gave this answer?
277			Heather	Firth	Strongly disagree	Using street frontage adds vibrancy - don't make it harder	Strongly disagree	Vapers and smokers need somewhere comfortable - inside is already smoke/vape free for those who don't like it		Strongly agree	Environmentally positive
278			Damian	Skinner	Strongly agree	It makes sense for businesses who use public street spaces to pay for them, as this is a service they are benefiting from, and so they should specifically assist in funding these spaces beyond what rates already do. Charging shows that this is a valuable thing they get to use, and so can't be taken for granted.	Strongly agree	There is nothing worse than walking through a cloud of smoke from cigarettes or vapour from vapes, when all you are trying to do is use the footpath or street. If dining venues are smoke-free, so should outside spaces be smoke-free. Plus, this sends the message that smoking or vaping is not desirable and should not be encouraged.		Strongly agree	It is pretty obvious that the city needs to manage stormwater better. But I would go one further and say the council should be encouraging people to plant berms with plants other than grass, which enhances biodiversity, increases storm water retention, is more beautiful, and discourages the monoculture of grass (plus petrol and other energy use to mow it).
279			suz	mcq	Don't know / unsure		Strongly agree	reduce vape and smoking - make it less appealing and accessible.		Agree	
280			Catherine	McEwan	Neither agree nor disagree		Strongly agree			Agree	
281			Mrs	Dale	Strongly disagree	You are removing parking. Mobility parking is also being removed which makes places inaccessible. You say the cost to businesses is for damage they may do.	Neither agree nor disagree	People who are addicted will have to vape somewhere. I don't like it but don't want to criminalise it either	What addicts will do anyway	Strongly disagree	Residents have stopped using water. Over time they will move on from artificial grass..... You are getting ahead of yourselves. There's more

#	Hearing	Business	First Name	Last Name	How strongly do you agree, or disagree, that we should phase in charges across the city for the businesses that use public streets and footpaths?	Can you share with us why you gave this answer?	How strongly do you agree, or disagree, that we should make public dining spaces smoke and vape free?	Can you share with us why you gave this answer?	Is there anything else you think we should consider if we put this policy in place?	How strongly do you agree, or disagree, that we should encourage residents to plant their berms, and stop using artificial turf	Could you share with us why you gave this answer?
						On street parking doesn't do damage					Important things to worry about
282			rod	bailey	Agree	should be fair for all	Strongly agree	nicotine is a vile poison. it should be faded out.		Strongly disagree	what is the evidence for this statement. astro turf is permeable and laid on a sand base that quickly disapates stormwater. it is suitable in certain locations. stormwater should not be the only consideration.
283			Megan	Lilley	Strongly disagree	You've ruined the city for businesses. Charging them more is nuts	Neither agree nor disagree			Strongly disagree	Because some people can't afford to regularly maintain berms and at least this looks tidy. It's not widespread. Old people especially use this to help maintain their properties
284			Mirjam	van de Klundert	Agree		Strongly agree			Agree	
285			Kathryn	Macdonald	Strongly agree	I have to pay rates to maintain the footpath, shouldn't they have to pay to use it????	Strongly agree	It's disgusting to walk along the street where people are vaping and smoking, it's even worse to be enjoying a meal when some of that wafts over to your table		Strongly agree	It's better for the environment
286			Nikki	Barnard	Agree	Fair to pay something as it's space used but just not heaps	Agree			Neither agree nor disagree	
287			ELIZABETH	GORE CERDEIRA	Strongly disagree	We need to encourage all cafes/restaurants to come to our city center and the use of the street	Disagree	The businesses using the streets/footpaths should be required	Consider how you have already screwed over the CDB of Tauranga	Agree	If berms are not being used for parking then they should be used to

#	Hearing	Business	First Name	Last Name	How strongly do you agree, or disagree, that we should phase in charges across the city for the businesses that use public streets and footpaths?	Can you share with us why you gave this answer?	How strongly do you agree, or disagree, that we should make public dining spaces smoke and vape free?	Can you share with us why you gave this answer?	Is there anything else you think we should consider if we put this policy in place?	How strongly do you agree, or disagree, that we should encourage residents to plant their berms, and stop using artificial turf	Could you share with us why you gave this answer?
						not only allows them to create a charming and inclusive environment for all but it gives a "beach" style air to the city which is what we are. Charging them will only be another cost for what has become an almost impossible city to work in - no parking / rate increases / lack of customers etc. Rather than "punishing" these businesses and making it harder for them to operate they need to be encouraged. Stop punishing businesses and start encouraging.		to have a very limited number of tables that smoking/vaping is permitted - you are once again pushing all the customers/clients away from the businesses which dearly need these people to be able to come and enjoy what they offer.	and have pushed and pushed all the businesses and now cafe's and restaurants out. To implement this would only force more to close their doors and if you do it at the mount it would have the same affect - putting more stress on already suffering businesses and removing the style and charm we should have as a "beach" town . Have any of you ever been overseas???? Seriously - all the nicest and most inviting places are the charming towns that have people spilling onto the footpaths and enjoying the sidewalk dining. Council going mad and trying to find any little thing to get more \$\$ out of the businesses - stop now before you completely destroy and push		enhance and improve the environments - this means planting grass / trees/ bushes or whatever to help manage rain -

#	Hearing	Business	First Name	Last Name	How strongly do you agree, or disagree, that we should phase in charges across the city for the businesses that use public streets and footpaths?	Can you share with us why you gave this answer?	How strongly do you agree, or disagree, that we should make public dining spaces smoke and vape free?	Can you share with us why you gave this answer?	Is there anything else you think we should consider if we put this policy in place?	How strongly do you agree, or disagree, that we should encourage residents to plant their berms, and stop using artificial turf	Could you share with us why you gave this answer?
									out the few remaining places.		
288			David	Buckley	Strongly disagree	This should be part of our city showcasing a lifestyle why are you trying to charge businesses for using a footpath so long as they have a plan that they can use. Think fine weather umbrellas tables etc that will attract locals and tourists with good vibes.	Strongly agree	It puts people off by having to walk through clouds of smoke. Outlaw it totally	Loitering	Agree	The berm is not the householders lawn or planting should become the norm
289			Christine	Treacher	Strongly agree	I am surprised that there is not already a charge for this, they are using the footpath as part of their premises. There also needs to be a requirement that they leave a 2 metre wide walkway on the footpath. Being a pedestrian down town the Mount is a challenge, the tables and chairs in many places leave scarcely a metre for pedestrians. The footpaths need the area that should be a pedestrian clear way clearly marked, painted on the pavement would suffice.	Strongly agree	In many instances, as an innocent passing pedestrian, one gets a face full of secondhand cigarette smoke or vape, puffed out and unable to be avoided.	1.A requirement that the premises clean the footpaths regularly, some of them look disgraceful. 2. Roxie's somehow got away with commandeering a portion of what was previously the Phoenix car park, and as such was presumably public, council owned land. I think that they should be charged plenty for monopolising ratepayer owned land for their restaurant.	Strongly disagree	1. Fancy suggesting that when you have put a large area of artificial grass in the park that used to be the Phoenix carpark 2. Artificial grass on berms looks much better than untidy unmown grass or weeds. 3. No one is allowed to water their berms in the summer, so in many cases the berms become nothing more than sand or dust.
290			Anita	Lepper	Agree		Strongly agree			Strongly agree	
291			Alan	Liddell	Strongly agree	Because free use of public spaces, other than	Strongly agree	Firstly because I do not see why I	Yes, enforce the rule. Prohibit	Strongly agree	For the reason you gave. However, be

#	Hearing	Business	First Name	Last Name	How strongly do you agree, or disagree, that we should phase in charges across the city for the businesses that use public streets and footpaths?	Can you share with us why you gave this answer?	How strongly do you agree, or disagree, that we should make public dining spaces smoke and vape free?	Can you share with us why you gave this answer?	Is there anything else you think we should consider if we put this policy in place?	How strongly do you agree, or disagree, that we should encourage residents to plant their berms, and stop using artificial turf	Could you share with us why you gave this answer?
						parking, is an unreasonable restriction of the use of the same space for other members of the public who have the right to walk, ride or drive through the space. I really object to having to negotiate tables, chairs, pot plants and other impediments to my movement from freeloaders. In the case of airspace, it is an unreasonable restriction of sun. I would not object to a business providing upstairs seating for a cafe where the business also provided a waterproof awning so that pedestrians on the street had cover from the rain the seating was on top of the awning. There should be no other rights (such as a right to a view) allowed.		should breathe 2nd hand smoke or vape fumes and secondly because the more we discourage smoking and vaping the less people will do it.	bars and restaurants from serving customers who are smoking or vaping or have smoked or vaped and extend the prohibition to those accompanying the smoker/vaper. Issue trespass notices against offenders for the street and prosecute when there is a breach of the trespass notice.		more specific about the environmentally friendly plants. Suggest bee friendly plants and native grasses.
292			Alana	Eady Paterson	Strongly disagree		Strongly disagree			Agree	
293			Richard	Lance	Strongly agree		Strongly agree	Would love to see all areas smoke and vape free	How it is policed / enforced. Otherwise there is no incentive for people to comply	Strongly disagree	The berm is not owned by the property owner and is the responsibility of the RCA to design build and maintain, passing that to the property owner is unreasonable. I agree with preventing people from installing

#	Hearing	Business	First Name	Last Name	How strongly do you agree, or disagree, that we should phase in charges across the city for the businesses that use public streets and footpaths?	Can you share with us why you gave this answer?	How strongly do you agree, or disagree, that we should make public dining spaces smoke and vape free?	Can you share with us why you gave this answer?	Is there anything else you think we should consider if we put this policy in place?	How strongly do you agree, or disagree, that we should encourage residents to plant their berms, and stop using artificial turf	Could you share with us why you gave this answer?
											artificial grass, but also all planting on the berm
294			Nathaniel	Padbury	Agree		Strongly agree			Strongly agree	
295			Mark	Hamilton	Agree	Businesses that benefit from using public space pay for its upkeep.	Strongly agree	It's appropriate that these habits, which affect non-users of both products, are not permitted.		Agree	For the reasons you give.
296			Vanessa	Hudson	Agree		Strongly agree	Because non-smokers/vapers should be able to enjoy sitting outside having a drink or meal without having to breath in smoke. The outside dining space should be able to be enjoyed the same as inside spaces are.	Make the smoke free zone larger than just the dining area otherwise smokers will stand at the edge and smoke which will still drift over your table.	Strongly disagree	Artificial grass looks far better than an unmowed verge or dirt, sometimes its not practical to have or maintain grass verges.
297			Leanne	Elder	Agree	Is this about fairness of use, more revenue?	Strongly agree			Agree	
298			dave	Jennings	Strongly disagree	leave the struggling businesses alone	Agree	this is a no brainer of course they should be. other patrons should not have to breath second hand smoke	let them do it away from restaurants cafes etc	Strongly agree	we need to keep our city fresh and inviting not false.
299			Kayne	Henderson	Strongly disagree	Hospitality has had a tough time in recent years - why make it harder for them now? Secondly, the CBD needs these businesses to survive and thrive to inject some life back into it. Adding extra cost for, what can only be assumed is revenue generation, is short sighted.	Disagree	We are not a nanny state. People should have freedom of choice and smoking/vaping is one of these. I don't smoke or vape but I have always been comfortable that these people are allowed to do it outside. many	Tauranga should be a progressive & inclusive city - not a nanny state. Get off your chuffs and go and work with these businesses to provide designated areas for smokers/vapers if it means that	Strongly agree	Plastic is a massive problem and having this artificial grass on the roadside just means more microplastic into our waterways. I strongly agree this should NOT be allowed on berms.

#	Hearing	Business	First Name	Last Name	How strongly do you agree, or disagree, that we should phase in charges across the city for the businesses that use public streets and footpaths?	Can you share with us why you gave this answer?	How strongly do you agree, or disagree, that we should make public dining spaces smoke and vape free?	Can you share with us why you gave this answer?	Is there anything else you think we should consider if we put this policy in place?	How strongly do you agree, or disagree, that we should encourage residents to plant their berms, and stop using artificial turf	Could you share with us why you gave this answer?
								places already have designated outdoor smoking/vaping areas anyway. Why does it need a bylaw?	much to you but don't make it harder for them to run their business.		
300			Julia	Suter	Strongly disagree	Public space must be made attractive by these service providers to keep up a certain vibe. Hospitality and retail operators are already paying large amounts for prime locations, have taken a hit during covid (like most of us all have) and are desperate to bounce back. Hindering their recovery by introducing extra charges is entirely contraproductive.	Agree	Agree, but where do smokers go? What alternative can be provided? Designated areas?		Neither agree nor disagree	Agreed on the scientific and environmental advantages of natural berms. I find it crucial though, to create incentives to do the right thing (rewarding / supporting those who make an effort), rather than punishing those who, for whatever reason, do not.
301			Kathryn	Hugill	Agree		Agree			Agree	
302			graham john	hopkins	Agree	you have to be fair.	Strongly agree	hopefully we will be smoke and vape free in 2025	police it with fines!	Agree	most do have real grass.
303		(personal submission – business submission 309 below)	Jo	Veale	Strongly disagree	I love the way that the footpaths are used by hospitality down the Mount. It creates a great vibe and atmosphere. Also - come on TGC - the rates are already exceptionally high for businesses, and now you want to charge for this? I don't know either - on your map you highlight the Mount Mainstreet, but what about businesses such as along Central Parade,	Strongly agree	Because smoking and vaping is disgusting and the health effects of it that we then as a society have to pay for are huge. I hate having to walk through smoke and vape clouds down the street as well.	I would like you to consider that if you get submissions that say NO they don't want council to go ahead with this...then you will not. Let the people speak, and your organization as public servants to the people of Mount	Strongly agree	This is a ridiculous question. Of course you can suggest this in a policy, but come on, are you really going to enforce this? I think artificial grass is hideous and damaging for managing rainwater and stormwater, and I would never use it, however, this is part of the bigger question about berms - who should

#	Hearing	Business	First Name	Last Name	How strongly do you agree, or disagree, that we should phase in charges across the city for the businesses that use public streets and footpaths?	Can you share with us why you gave this answer?	How strongly do you agree, or disagree, that we should make public dining spaces smoke and vape free?	Can you share with us why you gave this answer?	Is there anything else you think we should consider if we put this policy in place?	How strongly do you agree, or disagree, that we should encourage residents to plant their berms, and stop using artificial turf	Could you share with us why you gave this answer?
						or Tay Street, or right down under Mauao? Where will it stop?? The fact that these businesses are along the mainstreet is AWESOME, and creates the iconic Mount Mainstreet. Why are you trying to grab more money from these business owners?			Maunganui should listen!		be managing it?? You can't have it both ways - you want to stop berm parking for example, but then you want people to manage their own berms, and mow it etc..? Seems to me that this is part of a bigger issue around berm management.
304		Pedersen Retail Group Ltd	Lauren	Pedersen	Strongly disagree	To be honest it's just more cost to businesses in which do not get any support from council, who won't see the money being spent back in the area.	Neither agree nor disagree			Neither agree nor disagree	Isn't the berm council owned?
305	Yes	The Pizza Library Co.	Mark	Lucero	Strongly disagree	We are a business that has been severely hit by Covid and the current economic hardship and continue to pay high council rates. The footpaths in our areas have not been serviced.	Disagree	I do not smoke but believe that smokers have the right to smoke outdoors.	Please do not put this policy in place. It is not fair to struggling businesses.	Neither agree nor disagree	
306			Jackie	McCaughan	Strongly disagree	Why?	Neither agree nor disagree	I think it should be up to the venue to have rules that fit their patrons.		Agree	It's a good idea
307			Derek	ORCHARD	Strongly disagree	Business bring people into our city, outdoor dining etc brings vibrancy and life to the area. Charging business who pay wages, rates etc all ready is ridiculous	Strongly agree		How it is enforced. No point having a bylaw if its not enforced	Don't know / unsure	
308			Sandy	Scarrow	Agree	It needs to be fairly charged across all users.	Strongly agree	I hate breathing in secondhand fumes.	Make sure there is still plenty of access for pedestrians, wheelchairs,	Strongly agree	Soil needs air and we need more natural drainage.

#	Hearing	Business	First Name	Last Name	How strongly do you agree, or disagree, that we should phase in charges across the city for the businesses that use public streets and footpaths?	Can you share with us why you gave this answer?	How strongly do you agree, or disagree, that we should make public dining spaces smoke and vape free?	Can you share with us why you gave this answer?	Is there anything else you think we should consider if we put this policy in place?	How strongly do you agree, or disagree, that we should encourage residents to plant their berms, and stop using artificial turf	Could you share with us why you gave this answer?
									mobility scooters etc.		
309	Yes	Mount Backpackers	Jo	Veale	Strongly disagree	Because as a business owner, who uses a very small part of the footpath to offer a service for guests to our city I feel really strongly that my business contributes to the overall appeal of tourists being able to come here to our city. Charging me more for this (in which I do no damage), is really frustrating as it seems revenue drive for my small business. These are only in your identified areas, however, what about other commercial/retail space? Who will monitor this and how? I already pay exorbitant rates and rental to be able to run my business, I just feel here is another cost being added by council.	Strongly agree	Smoke pollution should not be allowed.	You allow vape shops everywhere, including around schools, so I think this should be part of the larger discussion from a social health perspective.	Don't know / unsure	This sounds like a very weak statement. "We suggest stating in the policy that residents should use..." Well, what does that even mean? Should use, well of course that should be in the policy but this is a bit silly to ask for feedback on a recommendation to residents. Just do it.
310			Cherie	Palm	Strongly agree	If the policy is fair and consistent across the board, and managed well, then it is a great idea as it will help businesses, create a lacking vibe in the city and be good for the public.	Strongly disagree	For now it should be solely up to the business' discretion to decide if they should allow or disallow. They may end up losing financially if customers prefer to spend less time at their establishments due to this constraint. Each business will	Management and allowance of signage should be emphasised to conform to the same requirements as some signage can detract from the look of the spaces as well as create hazards. Some are actually unsightly	Strongly disagree	Considering berms are not the responsibility of residents as they do not own that property it is the Council's responsibility to maintain the berms and see that environmentally friendly methods. The main reason for artificial turf seems to be related to the

#	Hearing	Business	First Name	Last Name	How strongly do you agree, or disagree, that we should phase in charges across the city for the businesses that use public streets and footpaths?	Can you share with us why you gave this answer?	How strongly do you agree, or disagree, that we should make public dining spaces smoke and vape free?	Can you share with us why you gave this answer?	Is there anything else you think we should consider if we put this policy in place?	How strongly do you agree, or disagree, that we should encourage residents to plant their berms, and stop using artificial turf	Could you share with us why you gave this answer?
								know their patron demographic and whether it is necessary or beneficial. Putting this in place is unnecessary administration and creates strain on enforcement.	and there needs to be definite guidelines and requirements.		lack of maintenance by Council and the financial cost to residents with no ROI on their part.
311	Yes	Hospitality New Zealand	Luke	van Veen	Disagree	submission attached	Disagree	submission attached	submission attached	Don't know / unsure	
312	Yes	Solera	Nick	Potts	Strongly disagree	Venues along the Main Street are already paying extremely high rental prices. One of the reasons for this is that we have street frontage so by charging us to then use this space is like double dipping.	Strongly agree	I am Australian. this has been a part of our laws for at least 10 years.		Disagree	
313	Yes	Sabal	Lynley	Powell	Strongly disagree	I disagree with charging as businesses using these spaces should be encouraged to make the town more vibrant. Businesses are struggling so much as it is. Give them some breathing room, let them recover from covid, a really bad summer last year and an even worse winter this year! Give business a break instead of just piling on more and more costs whenever and wherever you can. In fact, you should remove the costs to the businesses where it's already incurred.	Strongly agree	Because it should be spaces for everyone which ties in with my answer to the last question, leave public spaces as spaces for everyone.	Yes, how you're going to enforce it. Because currently if someone is illegally drinking outside my business and being abusive, I call the police and nobody comes.	Neither agree nor disagree	Is it a big enough problem to regulate when maybe one in a hundred have the artificial grass? What if it's someone who physically can't manage it, should they be penalised because they install it as it's better than being overgrown?

#	Hearing	Business	First Name	Last Name	How strongly do you agree, or disagree, that we should phase in charges across the city for the businesses that use public streets and footpaths?	Can you share with us why you gave this answer?	How strongly do you agree, or disagree, that we should make public dining spaces smoke and vape free?	Can you share with us why you gave this answer?	Is there anything else you think we should consider if we put this policy in place?	How strongly do you agree, or disagree, that we should encourage residents to plant their berms, and stop using artificial turf	Could you share with us why you gave this answer?
314	Yes	Foxs Hawkers House	Lisa	Kerr	Strongly disagree	The mount is a traditional buzz cafe culture Street dining and drinking is part of the reason why tourists come here. Payment would put additional stress on the hospitality scene, winter is hard enough without additional council payments. Suggest a seasonal charge for only the summer months dec - Feb? The rest of the year for free or reduced?	Strongly agree			Strongly agree	
315	Yes		Matthew	Hayward	Strongly disagree		Strongly disagree	People can move half a metre from the restaurant and smoke or vape, therefore not allowing people in the public dining area is pointless		Strongly disagree	People should be allowed to do with their berm as they wish, we do not need council input on these areas
316			Hamish	Carter	Strongly agree	My understanding is that the businesses along the Strand have been paying higher rates for footpath use than areas like Wharf St, which now is arguably the busiest eating zone. I believe charges should be consistent	Strongly agree			Strongly agree	
317	Yes	Mount Business Association	Claudia	West	Disagree	1. Business down the Mount Mainstreet are already paying extremely high commercial rates for their location, which we continue to see	Neither agree nor disagree	1. For us to be able to represent our members views on this topic is very difficult. 2. If bars are able		Strongly agree	This would be a good look for our community, particularly at the Mount how close we are to to ocean and

#	Hearing	Business	First Name	Last Name	How strongly do you agree, or disagree, that we should phase in charges across the city for the businesses that use public streets and footpaths?	Can you share with us why you gave this answer?	How strongly do you agree, or disagree, that we should make public dining spaces smoke and vape free?	Can you share with us why you gave this answer?	Is there anything else you think we should consider if we put this policy in place?	How strongly do you agree, or disagree, that we should encourage residents to plant their berms, and stop using artificial turf	Could you share with us why you gave this answer?
						increased. 2. a nominal fee to apply for the license would be fair (eg Wellington or Hamilton City Council) so council can then provide them stipulations on how they can use that space. But we do not think businesses should be charged a premium to be able to use the space, which otherwise wouldn't be occupied. 3. Our hospitality businesses that use the footpath bring a vibrancy to our Mainstreet, it makes it look busy and inviting, if high rates were charged to businesses, then it would put them off using the space. We have already talked to one business who has said they would need to move to a full takeaway based business if rates are too high. 4. Our foot traffic down the Mount drops off significantly during the winter season, not to mention impacts from weather, meaning they can really only use the outdoor dinning for a limited time of the year. Our summer foot traffic is also increased with cruise ship passengers who typically don't spend much either.		to provide their own smoking area on private land then that would be ideal, but not all of them have the ability to do so. 3. how would this even be enforced eg at 11pm at bars.			having a beachy, environmentally friendly vibe.

#	Hearing	Business	First Name	Last Name	How strongly do you agree, or disagree, that we should phase in charges across the city for the businesses that use public streets and footpaths?	Can you share with us why you gave this answer?	How strongly do you agree, or disagree, that we should make public dining spaces smoke and vape free?	Can you share with us why you gave this answer?	Is there anything else you think we should consider if we put this policy in place?	How strongly do you agree, or disagree, that we should encourage residents to plant their berms, and stop using artificial turf	Could you share with us why you gave this answer?
						5. having businesses use the footpath, it creates a sense of safety as more people are out on the street.					
318			Nicki	O'Donoghue	Neither agree nor disagree	I feel the businesses should be allowed to use the first half meter outside of their premise, plus have one street sign without charge. If they are using more than this space, there could be an additional charge. Also I do not think balconies should incur a fee.. or much more significantly reduced.	Strongly agree		I feel the businesses should be allowed to use the first half meter outside of their premise, plus have one street sign without charge. If they are using more than this space, there could be an additional charge. Also I do not think balconies should incur a fee.. or much more significantly reduced.	Strongly agree	Strongly agree due to environmental impacts
319			Shirley	Hampshire	Strongly agree	Business use of footpaths is often obstructive making it difficult to move through the area. If the business is using public space it should be charged accordingly. There should also be a restriction of how much of the footpath can be used	Strongly agree	It is no different to going into a building where smoking and vaping is not allowed. I do not want to be sitting outside a cafe with, or walking through people who are smoking or vaping		Strongly agree	Heavy rain and stormwater runoff is enough of a problem with all our concrete drives, paths and roads. Berms should definitely be planted in grass or plants.
320	Yes	Miss Gee's Bar & Eatery / Chair – Downtown Tauranga	Ash	Gee		submission attached		submission attached			submission attached

#	Hearing	Business	First Name	Last Name	How strongly do you agree, or disagree, that we should phase in charges across the city for the businesses that use public streets and footpaths?	Can you share with us why you gave this answer?	How strongly do you agree, or disagree, that we should make public dining spaces smoke and vape free?	Can you share with us why you gave this answer?	Is there anything else you think we should consider if we put this policy in place?	How strongly do you agree, or disagree, that we should encourage residents to plant their berms, and stop using artificial turf	Could you share with us why you gave this answer?
321			Susan	Hodkinson	Strongly agree	What about mdical taxation TCC Accounting the hospital Charities, sports clubs / business, Gyms, Art Groups, U & A Etc. This will cease movement. 15 minute cities/Towns are not here yet. 15 minute hubs are still too far away in wet or humid/hot windy weather. How will i transport my litter hauls? I live at the Mount I need to use footpaths, roads, Cycleways No No No No More \$ Please clamp down on nighttime drinking on footpaths. preloaders + Postloaders are prevalent in Mt Maunganui - Going comming to/from bars	Strongly Agree	Streets too please to become alcohol, vape, cigarette. Free. I pick up very dirty things ie Vapes, butts make littering illegal. make walking + vaping + smoing + drinking illegal.	Big signs + flyers in our place + other places like litter bins + Publications + the Bus. The mount does not have any signs telling people how to behave. le "Help us keep our City Clean + tidy + Zero waste	Neither agree no disagree	why doesn't the sweepr stuck sweep around the central traffice islands. The gress clippings that couse aldie bloom is ugly. Artifical Grass is tidy + maintenance care free but is hot + burns feet. What about pumpkins sliverbeet + others Gardens on berms. Holland has fruit trees. more rubissin bins please + grass clippin that removed cleaned esspecially the middle islands. + the Kykuya trails need cutting + the gutters

Attached Submission Documents

Submission 311: Luke van Veen – Hospitality New Zealand



Hospitality New Zealand

TO Tauranga City Council

**SUBMISSION ON
GAMBLING VENUES AND STREET USE POLICIES.**

November 2023

CONTACT DETAILS:Hospitality New Zealand
[redacted contact details]

About Hospitality New Zealand:

1. Hospitality New Zealand (“Hospitality NZ”) is a member-led, not-for-profit organisation representing around 2,500 businesses, including cafés, restaurants, bars, nightclubs, commercial accommodation, country hotels and off-licences.
2. Hospitality NZ has a 121-year history of advocating on behalf of the hospitality and tourism sector. We work tirelessly on behalf of our members to promote the industry, partner with government to prevent restrictive legislation, protect commercial interests and to spearhead innovation for a sustainable future.
3. As the voice of the industry, we seek to unlock hospitality’s full potential as a significant engine for growth in the New Zealand economy and to ensure that the industry’s needs are represented by engaging with the Government and wider industry.
4. This submission relates to the Gambling Venues and Street Use Policies.
5. Enquiries relating to this submission should be referred to Luke van Veen, Regional Manager – Central North Island, [Redacted contact details]

General Comments:

6. Hospitality New Zealand welcomes the opportunity to comment on the Tauranga City Council’s gambling venues and street use policies.

Gambling Venue Policies

7. Hospitality New Zealand supports and agrees with The Gaming Machine Association of New Zealand’s (GMANZ) submission around the relocation provision being expanded to allow council to consider relocations in any circumstances when the new site is more desirable from a harm minimisation perspective. This would allow venues to move to lower deprivation areas and away from residential areas/sensitive sites.
8. Hospitality New Zealand supports updating the proposed maps clearly indicating areas available for venue relocation

Street Use Policies

9. While Hospitality NZ appreciates the proposed "**Clause 6. Licence to Occupy the Street**" and its intent, much of what the clause proposes will have a negative effect on majority of our hospitality operators in these areas, through overregulation and unnecessary cost structure increases from the policies outlined.
10. We challenge the proposed month-to-month issuing of street use licenses. Such a regime seems structured to allow the Council to clip the ticket regularly, rather than encouraging open-air dining environments. Month-to-month issuing does not give operators certainty they can use a space year-round (and therefore that it is worth investing in their outdoor fitout, and adds a time and cost burden.
11. Regarding the suggestion of operators ‘promoting smoke and vape free areas’, operators need clarity on what meets Council expectations of ‘promoting’. If the intent is to ban smoking or vaping, that should be stated clearly, not inferred. Operators shouldn’t be held accountable for individual actions, and we do not want to set up operators to lose their right to operate in the street if a customer is found to be vaping or smoking. Additionally, time of day should be considered, as late-night entertainment spaces will be impacted with smoking/vaping regulations.
12. Clause 6.6 outlines what factors may influence the licensing fees for those looking to attain a street use license. This should be formalised in a fee schedule rather than left to subjective measures as outlined.
13. Regarding "**7. Lease of airspace above streets**" the suggestion of a 75% fee for this area will again have a negative effect on operators. This area is presently accounted for in their leases and an increased fee charged to landlords will simply be passed on. Hospitality New Zealand objects to this policy change. If the Council proceeds, then any changes should be grandfathered to allow any increased charges to be accounted for under new lease arrangements without impacting existing ones.

Conclusion:

14. We thank the Tauranga City Council for the opportunity to provide input into the consultation of the Gambling Venues and Street Use Policies.
15. We would be happy to discuss any parts of this submission in more detail, and to provide any assistance that may be required.

Submission 320: Ashleigh Gee – Miss Gee’s Bar & Eatery / Chair – Downtown Tauranga**TO Tauranga City Council, 3 November 2023, SUBMISSION ON GAMBLING VENUES AND STREET USE POLICIES.**

By: Ashleigh Gee – Miss Gee’s Bar & Eatery / Chair – Downtown Tauranga

My view on the use of Streets in our city is that we should be supporting the operators who pay for the use of the areas, to create exceptional public spaces that add to the overall vibrancy of the area.

Gambling Venue Policies

I support and agree with The Gaming Machine Association of New Zealand’s (GMANZ) submission around the relocation provision being expanded to allow the council to consider relocations in any circumstances when the new site is more desirable from a harm minimisation perspective. This would allow venues to move to areas away from residential areas/sensitive sites. I support updating the proposed maps clearly indicating areas available for venue relocation.

Street Use Policies

6. Licence to Occupy the Street and its intent: Much of what the clause proposes will hurt the majority of our hospitality operators in these areas, through overregulation and unnecessary cost structure increases from the policies outlined.

6.2 Licence to Occupy agreements must be no shorter than a **12-month agreement with 6 months’ notice to cancel**. A business must put in a considerable amount of investment and planning to utilise these spaces. Operators need certainty to ensure they will not leave out on investment. We are trying to encourage vibrancy and this comes from providing enjoyable open air spaces. Operators will be less likely to take up leases with the risk factor involved, therefore leading to reduction in outdoor dining and overall vibrancy. We should be focusing on how we can make it easier for operators to use their LTO areas to full capacity. Encourage them to make great spaces to attract more customers and increase vibrancy.

6.5 Regarding the Street dining design guidelines, Tauranga City Council needs to consult with the industry before developing this guideline to ensure the requirements and expectations meet industry requirements so that excellent outdoor dining spaces can be achieved. As the weather becomes more unpredictable, we need to provide operators with ways to be able to waterproof their areas so that they can use their areas all year round as they pay for them, and encourages more vibrancy year round.

Regarding the suggestion of operators ‘promoting smoke and vape-free areas’, operators will need clarity on what meets Council expectations of ‘promoting’. If the intent is to ban smoking or vaping, that should be stated clearly, not inferred – Council must be clear before formalising any of this in a policy. Consideration of our tourism industry needs to be considered here. We are already talking about reducing hours, this will be yet another unnecessary regulation on operators and negative experience for visitors. The website notes: **“As a part of this policy, businesses using public streets for dining will need to have a smoke and vape-free policy for these spaces.”** However, the policy document requires the licence holder to promote smoke and vape-free street dining. More clarity is required.

Operators that can provide sectioned areas for smoking/vaping that have no impact on public spaces should reserve the right to do this. As we are a region that is popular with tourists and many tourists still smoke/vape, this will have a negative effect on the experience we can provide. This will be another regulation put on operators that could easily be managed by them on their own terms as they hold the lease for space. Operators shouldn’t be held accountable for individual actions, and we do not want to set up operators to lose their right to operate in the street if a customer is found to be vaping or smoking. Additionally, time of day should be considered, as late-night entertainment spaces will be impacted by smoking/vaping regulations.

If the smoke/vape-free requirement is targeted to areas where small footpath clearings/main walkways are used, then this should be on a case-by-case basis at most. For larger LTO spaces that do not directly encroach on footpaths and high foot traffic, this should be left up to the operator to manage and promote.

6.6 The fees payable should be a flat fee based on footfall, location and season. If the hospitality owner chooses to make the most of their space and make it into a profitable part of their business, then the city wins on vibrancy and more foot traffic. Operators should not be penalised for providing great experiences for the public as well as increasing financial turnover.

7. Lease of airspace above streets - the suggestion of a 75% fee for this area will again have a negative effect on operators. This area is presently accounted for in their private leases – both floor area and airspace charges being passed on to the tenant. This will result in the operator paying twice for the same amount. This charge has already been charged to tenants utilising balconies so I do not support another charge. If the Council proceeds, then it should only apply to any new buildings or lease agreements.

Again, considering the overall goal of arts, culture and vibrancy for our region needs to be considered when developing further regulations on the hospitality industry. This industry is one of the main draw cards for tourists and a high employer of travellers. A Council that considers this industry and works with them to elevate their businesses, will win in increase of foot traffic, vibrancy and tourism. Consultation on this policy should have happened with the industry prior to drawing up the document. Find out how businesses operate and the implications some of these regulations that have been included could impact businesses.

Thank you for the opportunity to provide this submission. I am available to speak on these topics if required.

Ashleigh Gee

[details redacted]