



MINUTES

**Ordinary Council meeting
Monday, 26 February 2024**

Order of Business

1	Opening karakia	3
2	Apologies	3
3	Public forum	3
3.1	Tauriko West - Kainga Ora - Mark Fraser, General Manager of Urban Development and Delivery, with support from Darren Toy and Campbell Larking	3
4	Acceptance of late items	4
5	Confidential business to be transferred into the open	4
6	Change to the order of business	4
7	Confirmation of minutes	5
7.1	Minutes of the Council meeting held on 12 February 2024.....	5
8	Declaration of conflicts of interest	5
9	Deputations, presentations, petitions	5
	Nil	
10	Recommendations from other committees	5
	Nil	
11	Business	5
11.1	Adoption of Variation 1 to Plan Change 33 - Tauriko West Urban Growth Area	5
11.2	Transfer of Te Pāhou Reserve.....	8
11.3	Links Avenue Transitional Improvements	9
12	Public excluded session	11
13.1	Public Excluded Minutes of the Council meeting held on 12 February 2024.....	11
13.2	Hairini Bridge Detailed Design Direct Appointment	11
13.3	Project Update; Civic Whare, Exhibition and Museum	11
13.4	Te Manawataki o Te Papa Infrastructure Funding and Financing Levy.....	12
11	Business (continued)	12
11.4	Project update; Civic Whare, Exhibition and Museum	12
13	Discussion of late items	13
14	Closing karakia	13

**MINUTES OF TAURANGA CITY COUNCIL
ORDINARY COUNCIL MEETING
HELD AT THE BAY OF PLENTY REGIONAL COUNCIL CHAMBERS,
REGIONAL HOUSE, 1 ELIZABETH STREET, TAURANGA
ON MONDAY, 26 FEBRUARY 2024 AT 9.30AM**

PRESENT: Commission Chair Anne Tolley (Chairperson), Commissioner Shadrach Rolleston, Commissioner Stephen Selwood, Commissioner Bill Wasley

IN ATTENDANCE: Marty Grenfell (Chief Executive), Paul Davidson (Chief Financial Officer), Barbara Dempsey (General Manager: Community Services), Nic Johansson (Head of Transport), Christine Jones (General Manager: Strategy, Growth & Governance), Richard Harkness (Project Leader: Urban Planning), Alistair Talbot (Team Leader: Strategic Planning), Andrew Mead (Manager: City Planning & Growth), Alicia Oldham (Team Leader: Strategic and Commercial Property), Simon Collett (Manager Commercial Property), Karen Hay (Team Leader: Cycle Plan Implementation), Mike Naude (Director of Civic Developments), Graeme Frith (Team Leader: Legal & Business Support), Coral Hair (Manager: Democracy & Governance Services), Anahera Dinsdale (Governance Advisor), Janie Storey (Governance Advisor)

1 OPENING KARAKIA

Commissioner Shadrach Rolleston opened the meeting with a karakia.

2 APOLOGIES

Nil

3 PUBLIC FORUM

3.1 Tauriko West - Kainga Ora - Mark Fraser, General Manager of Urban Development and Delivery, with support from Darren Toy and Campbell Larking

External Mark Fraser, Kainga Ora, General Manager of Urban Development and Delivery
Darren Toy, Kainga Ora
Campbell Larking, Kainga Ora
Bryce Donne, Element IMF

Mark Fraser

Key points

- Noted that Kainga Ora urban development and delivery included land development with land to produce 50,000 homes in New Zealand, most of which was the redevelopment of large tracts of land owned by the Crown. There was also some older land where they were renewing housing and a mix of greenfield projects for urban development.
- Kainga Ora was formed in 2019 to continue to be the landlord of 70,000 state homes and to produce more.
- As a Crown agency they were responsible for driving housing and urban outcomes where it made sense to do so.

- They had been working closely with Council for some years, and developed a partnership with SmartGrowth, and Kainga Ora was considered as a partner in that work.
- Fern Cliff farm had been identified to unlock land for housing. Kainga Ora purchased the land two years ago and were looking to develop it alongside Classic Homes and Element IM to unlock housing.
- They would also partner with other agencies, including the Ministry of Education, to bring the development to the table and make the most of the opportunities it provided.
- Kainga Ora support the notification of the plan change as it was an important step to get growth moving forward and allowed them to work in earnest to begin to unlock the land for housing.
- This was an important approach by Kainga Ora to take with the housing as it would move the market beyond a conservative base approach to introduce duplex and terraced housing, making a move towards how the city appeared to want to approach development and density.

In response to questions

- In relation to a query regarding the number of dwellings, it was noted that Kainga Ora were keen to support the plan change and were heading in the right direction with regards to the density with the type being beyond what the market may conservatively deliver.

Discussion points raised

- Commissioners acknowledged the work done and noted that they had worked closely with Darren Toy and the Crown agency as partners with the development of land and to drive to change to that which was being delivered to the market.

Bryce Donne

Key points

- Noted his support of the notification of the plan change and looked forward to continuing to work with Council staff and stakeholders to progress the development.
- They had been working a long time on this project and had put a lot into it.
- Gratitude to Kainga Ora who had brought a lot of financial impetus into the project and they were a lot closer now than would have been without them.

4 ACCEPTANCE OF LATE ITEMS

Nil

5 CONFIDENTIAL BUSINESS TO BE TRANSFERRED INTO THE OPEN

Nil

6 CHANGE TO THE ORDER OF BUSINESS

RESOLUTION CO3/24/1

Moved: Commissioner Shadrach Rolleston

Seconded: Commissioner Bill Wasley

That the Item 11.4 - Project update; Civic Whare, Exhibition and Museum be deferred until the conclusion of the public excluded items.

CARRIED

7 CONFIRMATION OF MINUTES

7.1 Minutes of the Council meeting held on 12 February 2024

RESOLUTION CO3/24/2

Moved: Commissioner Bill Wasley

Seconded: Commissioner Stephen Selwood

That the minutes of the Council meeting held on 12 February 2024 be confirmed as a true and correct record.

CARRIED

8 DECLARATION OF CONFLICTS OF INTEREST

Commissioner Rolleston noted an interest in any discussion that may take place on the government fast track consenting process as he was supporting some of the work being done by the Crown.

9 DEPUTATIONS, PRESENTATIONS, PETITIONS

Nil

10 RECOMMENDATIONS FROM OTHER COMMITTEES

Nil

11 BUSINESS

11.1 Adoption of Variation 1 to Plan Change 33 - Tauriko West Urban Growth Area

Staff Christine Jones, General Manager: Strategy, Growth & Governance
Richard Harkness, Project Leader: Urban Planning
Andrew Mead, Manager: City Planning & Growth
Alistair Talbot, Team Leader: Strategic Planning

Presentation attached

Key points

- The plan change had been a lot of work over eight years.
- Staff wish to acknowledge the partnerships within the project.
- A presentation was provided outlining the request approval for public notification of variation 1 to the plan change and an outline of the rezoning of Tauriko West urban growth from rural to a residential zone
- The area had a strong footing in the SmartGrowth strategy as a strategic and important growth area which had helped Council to deliver the land supply for urban development.
- A variation to plan change 33 was identified as a defined time line to delivery and had procedural advantages in applying residential standards to bring the area in line with the rest of the city and zoning.
- Notification provided further opportunity for public feedback to be made on the proposal.
- Noted the steps to be undertaken from the process of engagement, to the hearing.
- Any technical challenges would mean more investigation work could be needed which could add to the timeframe.

- The hearing was due to take place in late 2024 or early 2025, with a decision being made by the end of 2025.
- Introduction of medium residential zone and standards across the growth area to enable housing supply.
- A small area of properties in the southern area would remain rural as they were low lying and not suitable for development.
- Included an increased area of conservation zone and passive open space to reflect the feedback received around the cultural heritage and the interface with the Wairoa River boundaries.
- Management of any flood risk was through a minimum building platform.
- Average of 25 dwelling per hectare which may become more or less depending on some topographical and landscape features.
- Enables one commercial centre and two neighbourhood commercial centres.
- Staging was important with Spine Road required to be connected once 2,400 houses had been provided.
- Establishment of the growth area was dependent on a transport investment and enabling works improvements. Council and Waka Kotahi had been working on this for a number of years.
- Staging allowed up to 2400 homes as determined by the technical assessment, NZTA business case, and the position reached with key partners and stakeholders.
- Staging limits does not apply to schools, health, retail and community purposes.
- Anticipated that 200 houses would be delivered each year, over a 10-12 year development capacity before reaching the 2,400 threshold.
- NZTA were still considering the timing of the broader solution and wider growth across the western corridor and were awaiting the new government policy statement on land transport to be announced. When this was known, it would be determined if it was a one or multi stage development project. Once this was known the consent process could be done to go beyond 2,400 houses.
- Presently the Council were taking on the investment and risk and realising that the full development was reliant on the governments delivery of the National Land Transport Programme.
- Next steps following adoption was to go through the submission process and public engagement process, review the submissions received and to determine when a hearing was achievable. The Independent Hearing Panel would make recommendations to the Council and decisions would be made.
- Working in parallel with that was the NZTA developments, land owners would be lodging earthworks consents with the Bay of Plenty Regional Council, other land owners would be continuing with the development of their schemes and seeking Council consents. Council would also be lodging a comprehensive stormwater consent with the Bay of Plenty Regional Council.

In response to questions

- Dependent on the delivery of the enabling works, the first house could be built in 2026 if land owners in the southern section sought consent before the plan change was in place. Otherwise it was like to be 2027.
- Discussion ensued on the amount of time this had taken and was still to take and whether there was any way to speed it up as there were people still moving to the area and using the roads resulting in traffic congestion. It was noted that the area had to be developed with the enabling works and that depended on how long it took to deliver as these needed to be understood as they got into construction. The big challenge at present was that it would take 2 years to get the works into place in the northern part of the area. A lot of earthworks was required in the south where the earth had to settle for two years before it could be built on. Pathways to consenting for that part of the development means that the consenting needed to be alongside the plan change rather than to wait until it was adopted. Staff would monitor that once legislation was put in place to assist.

- Adding an additional 1,600 homes would be a live issue throughout the plan change, as with more houses came more cars. However, the land owners would want to deliver all of the proposed 4,000 houses.
- Staff were expecting a range of submissions.
- The government long term transport upgrades and money for the designation and construction phases also provided opportunities to amend the stages. These would emerge in the next 3-6 months.
- Earthworks was driven by the land owners and Council had created an exemption to proceed so that they were able to progress. Kainga Ora had taken up that opportunity and already lodged a consent with Council and the Bay of Plenty Regional Council and the other owners were aware of it and working towards lodging their consents. These were key to the housing as well as the infrastructure.
- There were a number of hurdles with the Bay of Plenty Regional Council Policy Statements and the Freshwater Plan which was not as straight forward if the land was not zoned residential. There was a sense of confidence in the plan change with all those factors taken into account.
- The Council was able to make a submission to the plan change once it understood the pending government and NZTA policy plans..
- In response to a query as to whether getting the work on State Highway 29 as one stage being tied into the release of other 1,600 houses as a trigger point rather than going back to another process, it was agreed that issue would be kept on the table as the hearing would not take place until late 2024.
- There were significant issues that Council were seeking support and to get endorsement for a submission to government from the Council the of the day. In relation to fast tracking it was noted that legislation had not been introduced to Parliament, but staff were continuing to talk to the Ministry for the Environment and other agencies providing what could be helpful for inclusion once it moves through the Select Committee process in March 2024. A Council submission would be compiled and staff would engage back on content before submitting it.
- In response to a query regarding achieving a greater number of homes if the key provisions for three story dwellings met criteria it was noted that the development capacity assessment and range of considerations and from a pure planning perspective there could be as many 75 per hectare or 10,000 homes. In reality this would not be able to happen as there were limitations around transport, infrastructure and the like which would require added benefit. There were also areas where the average would be a lot lower because of land constraints.

Discussion points raised

- It was noted that consenting and funding principles were major hurdles and reinforces central government discussions to allow Council to have more ability and to fund the required investment sooner. If they do not they cannot invest in transport and if don't invest in that, then Tauranga would not get the houses. Council needed to advocate with government for consenting and funding issues, as it was a critical role with the community to deliver homes. There was a need to be bolder on some of the key issues.
- It was requested that when the item came back to Council that it include the triggers to the thresholds contained in the variation and whether there was a need to go through the discretionary activity process if those triggers or thresholds were met. When information was provided around a Council submission, how to look at those and noting any other advocacy that Council could discuss with the government.
- Outside of this forum Commissioners wished to discuss with staff the engagement of governance during plan change preparation process, to ensure that the process, their engagement and the government response. Consideration of matters included the extensive amount of greenspaces which someone need to pay to maintain. Also to include ensuring that they look at wider areas to influence the growth of the city including commercial areas and the tie back to commercial hierarchy.
- Commissioners acknowledged that 8 years had included a lot of work going on behind the scenes, they appreciated the partnership approach with stakeholders and hapu, and taking into account the Wairoa River strategy which was not an easy process. Also the competing drivers and interests with a regional and national overlay.

- This would be an excellent case study of how complex and time consuming such processes could be and a helpful exercise to look at what had been done in terms of effort and to share with the government to unlock land, work collaboratively, form partnerships and the like resulting in 4,000 homes.
- Acknowledged that this was a momentous day for staff to get this change to this point and for the developers as it was the start of the real journey of getting those 4,000 houses. It would be interesting to see what the cost per house was to get it to this stage.

RESOLUTION CO3/24/3

Moved: Commissioner Bill Wasley

Seconded: Commissioner Shadrach Rolleston

That the Council:

- (a) Receives the report "Adoption of Variation 1 – Tauriko West to proposed Plan Change 33".
- (b) Adopts proposed Variation 1 – Tauriko West to proposed Plan Change 33 (Attachment 1) for public notification, to implement the Intensification Planning Instrument (IPI) required under the Resource Management Act (Enabling Housing Supply and Other Matters) Amendment Act 2021.
- (c) Authorises the General Manager: Strategy, Growth and Governance to arrange the public notification process in accordance with the provision of section 95(2) of Part 6 of Schedule 1 of the Resource Management Act 1991 (RMA).
- (d) Delegates authority to the General Manager: Strategy, Growth and Governance to approve any minor and technical changes to the proposed text amendments contained in Annotated Text, Structure Planning Maps and proposed Planning maps contained in Attachment 1, as required prior to public notification.

CARRIED

Attachments

- 1 Presentation Council 26 February 2024 - Tauriko West Variation 1 - Adoption for public notification (A15557102)

11.2 Transfer of Te Pāhou Reserve

Staff Barbara Dempsey, General Manager: Community Services
Alicia Oldham, Strategic Property Advisor
Simon Collett, Manager Commercial Property

Key points

- The area had been classified late last year and the responses had been overwhelming with all in support of the transfer.
- The land would be transferred to the Trust for \$1 subject to easements provided for Council to access their infrastructure and services.

In response to questions

- There would be no ability to erect any substantial improvements or housing on the land and would be restrictive covenants included around that.

Discussion points raised

- Commissioner Tolley noted the audience and thanked Ngāi Te Ahi Settlement Trust Members for their submissions and noted that the transfer made absolute sense.
- Commissioner Rolleston acknowledged the Ngāi Te Ahi land and surroundings that had been created on the land and noted that it had been an area of contention for a long time. The

impact of the infrastructure development, history of Maungatapu and the urban growth on the Trust had been significant as it was a real place of importance, especially with the urupa and stream. He noted that he had worked on the Maungatapu underpass in the early 2000's and recalled the discussions with hapu at that time seeking a potential transfer. Today was restoring their land and gave a mihi to the whanau who had turned up to witness this important decision and to remember those who were not here present today, especially Huia Kakau.

RESOLUTION CO3/24/4

Moved: Commissioner Shadrach Rolleston

Seconded: Commissioner Bill Wasley

That the Council:

- (a) Receives the report "Transfer of Te Pāhou Reserve "; and
- (b) Receives the submissions lodged during the public consultation period 13 December 2023 to 19 January 2024; and
- (c) Agrees to receive the late submission from Buddy Mikaere on behalf of Ngāti Pūkenga Iwi ki Tauranga Trust (the Ngāti Pūkenga runanga); and
- (d) Approves the reconnection of the land known as Te Pāhou Reserve by way of transfer to the Ngāi Te Ahi Settlement Trust at nil consideration; and
- (e) Delegates authority to the Chief Executive to negotiate and approve the terms and conditions of the Sale and Purchase Agreement on the following material terms:
 - (i) *Purchaser*: Ngāi Te Ahi Settlement Trust;
 - (ii) *Purchase Price*: \$1.00;
 - (iii) *Property*: Reserve Land as described;
 - (iv) *Settlement Date*: 10 working days following issue of title for the Reserve Land;
 - (v) *Conditions of Agreement*: Reserve Land title to be subject to registration of easements in favour of Council to enable maintenance and access of Council infrastructure (water main and the stormwater ponds) located within the Reserve Land post transfer; and
 - (vi) *Other Key Terms*: As may be appropriate based on legal advice received by Council.

CARRIED

11.3 Links Avenue Transitional Improvements

Staff Nic Johansson, Head of Transport
Karen Hay, Team Leader: Cycle Plan Implementation

Key points

- A community liaison advisory group had been established in 2022. This concluded the work that had been done with the group and implementation of what had been agreed to.
- Acknowledgement of the panel who had tested, challenged and worked to achieve great outcomes for all.
- This was the last phase for the implementation for the business case which would be wrapped around the implemented change.
- It was not appropriate to take out all of the parking at this time, it had enhanced cycling in the vicinity from the challenges they had faced.
- The cul-de-sac had been opened in December 2023 and they were now waiting for the traffic patterns to normalise. This also gave Council the opportunity to look at it and to consider options for people in the area to access the services they used.

In response to questions

- In response to a query that the testing of normalisation was being carried out too soon due to other roads being closed off and traffic re-routed, it was noted that they would look at extending the period. The congestion was triggered by re-routed traffic from the B to B project which was what had generated the safety issues and primary concern of parents and had also caused a delay in completing the project. SH2 traffic had not returned to the area yet.
- Commissioner Tolley noted that it went back further through the Traffic Systems Plan and considered that governance needed to be over the top of some of the planning as at some stage Links Avenue had become a bus link with 200 buses a day in a residential street, with no consultation with that community. Now with the bus lane, Council had to put in the safety measures. As public transport increased, a query was raised as to how many more buses there would be as this issue was the biggest complaint by residents was that the buses should be on the State Highway. There were other locations where there were up to 50 buses a day outside residential homes and it was asked whether the Council or Regional Councillors had looked at or been aware of the effects of that when it was planned.
- The Chief Executive advised that the public transport blueprint process had been undertaken by the Regional Council and Tauranga City Council in 2017. Governance was disconnected and did not receive and endorse the blueprint but had not understood the full impact on the community, nor appreciated by the Council of the day. B to B had been determined as not suitable for a major bus terminal, however in hindsight it should have been considered.
- In relation to the transitional changes proposed, reassurance was asked that the conversations and engagement continue with the community following the initiated changes. It was noted that part of the transitional change was to test the results which was important and before a full business case was finalised for funding into the future. Even though the panel was disbanded they still wanted to know the cost interventions and the challenges the community still face and they along with the community would be kept updated.
- The bus stop was an incredibly challenging situation to address as it was by the Golf Road intersection. It had been moved down the road to allow for vehicles to pass as the footpath in that area was quite congested and with cyclists going into traffic lane they were not seen. The cycle lane had been brought into the inside of the lane and bus lane moved down so there was sufficient space for vehicles.

Discussion points raised

- Commissioners acknowledged the work completed by the panel and noted that it was not an easy task for residents who cared about their community to grapple with all sorts of rules, people, systems and processes of local government.
- Also acknowledgement for Karen Hay for her willingness to work alongside the community with a can do attitude. Residents commented how they had felt they were being listened to and that Karen was doing the best for them.

RESOLUTION CO3/24/5

Moved: Commissioner Bill Wasley

Seconded: Commissioner Stephen Selwood

That the Council:

- (a) Receives the report "Links Avenue Transitional Improvements.
- (b) Endorses the Links Ave Safety Improvements Phase 3 for Implementation.

CARRIED

12 PUBLIC EXCLUDED SESSION

Resolution to exclude the public

RESOLUTION CO3/24/6

Moved: Commissioner Stephen Selwood

Seconded: Commissioner Shadrach Rolleston

That the public be excluded from the following parts of the proceedings of this meeting.

The general subject matter of each matter to be considered while the public is excluded, the reason for passing this resolution in relation to each matter, and the specific grounds under section 48 of the Local Government Official Information and Meetings Act 1987 for the passing of this resolution are as follows:

General subject of each matter to be considered	Reason for passing this resolution in relation to each matter	Ground(s) under section 48 for the passing of this resolution
<p>13.1 - Public Excluded Minutes of the Council meeting held on 12 February 2024</p>	<p>s7(2)(b)(ii) - The withholding of the information is necessary to protect information where the making available of the information would be likely unreasonably to prejudice the commercial position of the person who supplied or who is the subject of the information</p> <p>s7(2)(h) - The withholding of the information is necessary to enable Council to carry out, without prejudice or disadvantage, commercial activities</p> <p>s7(2)(i) - The withholding of the information is necessary to enable Council to carry on, without prejudice or disadvantage, negotiations (including commercial and industrial negotiations)</p>	<p>s48(1)(a) - the public conduct of the relevant part of the proceedings of the meeting would be likely to result in the disclosure of information for which good reason for withholding would exist under section 6 or section 7</p>
<p>13.2 - Hairini Bridge Detailed Design Direct Appointment</p>	<p>s7(2)(b)(ii) - The withholding of the information is necessary to protect information where the making available of the information would be likely unreasonably to prejudice the commercial position of the person who supplied or who is the subject of the information</p> <p>s7(2)(h) - The withholding of the information is necessary to enable Council to carry out, without prejudice or disadvantage, commercial activities</p>	<p>s48(1)(a) - the public conduct of the relevant part of the proceedings of the meeting would be likely to result in the disclosure of information for which good reason for withholding would exist under section 6 or section 7</p>
<p>13.3 - Project Update; Civic Whare, Exhibition and Museum</p>	<p>s7(2)(b)(ii) - The withholding of the information is necessary to protect information where the making available of the information would be likely unreasonably to prejudice the commercial position of the person who supplied or who is the subject of the information</p> <p>s7(2)(h) - The withholding of the information is necessary to enable Council to carry out, without prejudice or disadvantage, commercial activities</p> <p>s7(2)(i) - The withholding of the information is necessary to enable Council to carry on, without prejudice or disadvantage, negotiations (including commercial and industrial negotiations)</p>	<p>s48(1)(a) - the public conduct of the relevant part of the proceedings of the meeting would be likely to result in the disclosure of information for which good reason for withholding would exist under section 6 or section 7</p>

<p>13.4 - Te Manawataki o Te Papa Infrastructure Funding and Financing Levy</p>	<p>s7(2)(h) - The withholding of the information is necessary to enable Council to carry out, without prejudice or disadvantage, commercial activities</p> <p>s7(2)(i) - The withholding of the information is necessary to enable Council to carry on, without prejudice or disadvantage, negotiations (including commercial and industrial negotiations)</p>	<p>s48(1)(a) - the public conduct of the relevant part of the proceedings of the meeting would be likely to result in the disclosure of information for which good reason for withholding would exist under section 6 or section 7</p>
--	--	--

CARRIED

At 11.53am the meeting adjourned.

At 11.59am the meeting reconvened in public excluded.

11 BUSINESS *(continued)*

11.4 Project update; Civic Whare, Exhibition and Museum

Staff Paul Davidson, Chief Financial Officer
 Mike Naude, Director of Civic Developments
 Graeme Frith, Team Leader: Legal & Business Support

Key points

- The Gateway process for Te Manawataki o Te Papa outlined the next steps and how the gateway was being working through to move the project forward and provided a financial strategy update.
- A further report would be provided to the 18 March 2024 Council meeting once the LTP deliberation process had been worked through.
- The ratepayer contribution was limited to \$151.2M with the balance from non-ratepayer sources and staff were confident the amount could be achieved.
- All of the development design work had been completed and had gone through a stringent value engineering process to ensure that cost savings could be realised. The detail design was now currently underway and expected by the end of May 2024.
- Two major steps had taken place within the development, including the commencement of the enabling works comprising of service relocations, capping and services for the site set up, the demolition of the existing floor pad on the corner of Willow and Hamilton Streets and the commencement of excavation and temporary retention works.
- It was always envisaged that the site works would be carried out in a sequential process and at present the rap piling was underway for Library and Community Hub and expected to be completed by mid-March 2024. The machinery would then move across to commence the rap piles for the Civic Whare, Exhibition and Museum.
- There were a number of trades and building materials that needed to be secured by way of a deposit to ensure that they were included in production line for the time they would be needed. This included timber components, structural steel, lifts and façade work. The importance of the façade was that the manufacturers were involved in completing and working with the architect on the final design of the fabrication of that item.

In response to questions

- Confirmation was needed for the ground conditions and foundations when installing the rap piles and would remove considerable risk for the contract.
- In response to a query as to the project being increased to \$306M it was noted that the additional work included the Art Gallery upgrade. The Civic Whare, Exhibition and Museum component was \$126M.

- Costs were secured and based on the fixed contract and the price that was locked in at the time it was ordered. The Council would only be paying deposit on the early procurement items now with the major cost being in the third quarter of 2027-28.

Discussion points raised

- Commissioners noted that they had consulted with public on Te Manawataki o Te Papa to be completed as one project with the reasoning that it would result in considerable cost savings. The feedback received also confirmed that as Tauranga had a history of not finishing projects.
- It made sense that while large equipment was on site, that it move from one site to the next. This was also the same as there were different ground conditions.
- In relation to the early procurement of materials, Commissioners noted that the erection of the wooden structure for 90 Devonport Road was a different way of building and putting the construction together. Getting into the construction line to have the supplies when they were needed was critical to the timeline of the delivery of some of the items. It was fiscally prudent to go ahead with early works to enable and order materials for delivery, making up 25-30% of the construction cost.

RESOLUTION CO3/24/7

Moved: Commissioner Stephen Selwood
 Seconded: Commissioner Shadrach Rolleston

That the Council:

- (a) Receives the report "Project update; Civic Whare, Exhibition and Museum".
- (b) Notes that Te Manawataki o Te Papa Limited endorse the approach outlined in this report for CWEM Stage 1 Enabling Works and the CWEM Stage 1 Procurement of materials and early trades.
- (c) Notes that an update will be presented to a future Council meeting updating progress on the financial strategy for the funding of Te Manawataki o Te Papa noting that work continues consistent with the principles outlined in the previous Financial Strategy presented to the Council meeting of 24 July 2023.
- (d) Confirms authority for the Chief Executive to enter contracts on behalf of Council for CWEM Stage 1 Enabling Works and the CWEM Stage 1 Procurement of materials and early trades in accordance with approved delegated financial authority processes, subject to prior recommendation from Te Manawataki o Te Papa Limited.

CARRIED

13 DISCUSSION OF LATE ITEMS

Nil

14 CLOSING KARAKIA

Commissioner Shad Rolleston closed the meeting with a karakia.

The meeting closed at 12.11 pm.

The minutes of this meeting were confirmed as a true and correct record at the Ordinary Council meeting held on 18 March 2024.

.....
 Commission Chair Anne Tolley
CHAIRPERSON